

Fig. S1. Identification and characterization of $\boldsymbol{P c l}^{\boldsymbol{3}-78^{* 38}}$. (A) Deficiency mapping of 3-78 uncovered three lethal mutations (arrowheads) on chromosome 2R distal to FRT42D in regions 43A4-43D3, 50A1-50A7, and 55B5-55C2. The asterisk indicates the location of 3-78*38. (B-D) Unlike 3-78*56 (B), Pcl $l^{3-77^{*} 38}$ ELF mosaics (C) showed large Fas3-positive NE cell clusters (red, arrowheads). Smaller clusters were detected within the OPC (C, arrow). Targeting of R-cell axons labeled with mAB24B10 (red) and ro- $\tau$-lacZ (blue) was largely normal (D). Brackets indicate the position of the lamina plexus containing R1-R6 growth cones. (E,F) Pcl ${ }^{2777 a}$ ELF mosaics showed large (arrowheads) and small (arrow) Fas3-positive NE cell clusters at the third instar larval stage (E), that persisted into adulthood (F, arrowheads). (G) Strategy to distinguish NE cells and Nbs in the OPC. neur ${ }^{\text {P72 }}$-Gal4 UAS-cd8GFP (green; white in inset) is expressed in Nbs (labeled by Ase, blue) and their progeny but not in NE cells and LPCs (outlined). La, lamina; Me, medulla; ln, lamina neurons, LPC, lamina precursor cells. For genotypes and sample numbers, see Table S2. Related to Figs. 1,2. Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. S2. Pcl represses Hox gene expression in wing imaginal discs. (A-F) Unlike controls (A,C,E), Pcl ${ }^{3-78^{* 38}}$ ELF mosaics showed ectopic Abd-B (red; B), Scr (red; D) and Ubx (red; F) expression in wing imaginal discs (arrowheads). (G,H) Model for differential regulation of Hox gene repression in wing imaginal discs ( G ) and optic lobes (H). For genotypes and sample numbers, see Table S2. Related to Fig. 3. Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. S3. Expression of RDGN members in the OPC and IPC and validation of tools for RNAimediated knockdown in the OPC. Optic lobes were labeled with esg ${ }^{M H 766}$-Gal4, UAS-cd8GFP (green; A,C,D) and E-cad (blue; C). (A-A") At the third instar larval stage, Ey (red; white in A') and

Toy (blue; white in A") were not expressed in OPC or p-IPC NE cells and cell streams (outlined; arrows indicate cell streams). Ey was expressed in a subset of OPC Nbs and two domains of medulla neurons (mn). One domain co-expressed Toy (arrowheads). Toy was expressed in a subpopulation of distal cells (dc). (B) Dac (red) was expressed in lamina precursor cells (LPC), differentiating lamina neurons (ln) and lobula plate neurons (lopn), but not in OPC or p-IPC NE cells. tsh-Gal4 UAScd8GFP (green) was not detected in the optic lobe, co-labeled with aPKC (blue). (C) Optix (red) is not expressed in the p-IPC and cell streams. Optix was detected in dorso-ventral OPC subdomains (cf. Fig. 7F), not in plane in this horizontal view of the central OPC domain. Epithelial and marginal lamina glial cells were labeled by Optix. (D,D') In first instar larval optic lobes labeled with aPKC (blue), so-lacZ (red) was expressed in the OPC and IPC. (E-G) At the third instar larval stage, esg ${ }^{M H 766}-G a l 4$, UAS-cd8GFP (green; E) was specifically expressed in the optic lobe, and not the eye disc (ed). Monitoring esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4 activity during early larval development using the FLPout approach revealed GFP expression (green; F) in the eye disc. ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80 suppressed esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4 activity in eye discs during development (G). GFP expression was detected in glial cells (arrowhead) that originated from the optic stalk, but not in R-cells labeled with mAb24B10 (red). (H-M) In controls, Eya (red, H) and Hth (red, K) were expressed in NE cells of the OPC. In addition, Eya was detected in lamina neurons ( ln ), and Hth in a subset of OPC Nbs and medulla neurons. Expression of
 and $h t h^{\text {IR KK10063O }}$ (M,M') - using esg ${ }^{M H 766}$-Gal4 resulted in efficient knockdown of Eya and Hth expression in OPC NE cells (red, asterisks). For genotypes and sample numbers, see Table S2. Related to Fig. 5. Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (A-C, E-M), $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (D).


Fig. S4. Validation of Optix RNAi-mediated knockdown, assessment of Cut, pnt-lacZ and $E(s p l) m \gamma-G F P$ expression in the optic lobe and effects of ectopic expression of eya, so, and $\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{t h}$ in the p-IPC. (A-B') Compared to controls (A,A'), expression of an Optix RNAi transgene - Optix ${ }^{I R}$ ${ }^{J F 02199}$ - using esg ${ }^{M H 766}-G a l 4$ ( $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}$ ') resulted in efficient knockdown of Optix (red) in dorso-ventral OPC subdomains. Optix expression in glial cells is not affected. (C-D') Optic lobes labeled with esg ${ }^{M H 766}-G a l 4, U A S-c d 8 G F P$ (green) and aPKC (blue) are shown in lateral (C,C') and horizontal views ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D}$ '). Cut (red) was expressed in OPC and p-IPC NE cells and cell streams (D; outlined). Cut expression was increased in the oldest neuronal progeny of the OPC and IPC. (E-H') In hs-FLPout clones (green) expressing eya (E-F') or so (G-H’) in the p-IPC Fas3 was not downregulated (red; E,G), and the formation of Mira-positive Nbs was not induced (red; F,H). (I-J') Ectopic eya/so (I,I') and hth (J,J') induced the formation of Mira-positive Nbs (red) in the p-IPC. (K-L') pnt-lacZ (green;
$\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ ) and $E(s p l) m \gamma-G F P$ (green; L) were expressed in the OPC and p-IPC (dotted line). (M,M') Ectopic hth did not promote the formation of Ey positive Nbs (red) in the p-IPC. Related to Figs. 7,8. For genotypes and sample numbers, see Table S2. Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Table S1. Full genotypes and sample numbers shown in main figure panels.

| Figure | Panel | Genotype | $n={ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fig. 1 | C | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/w ${ }^{1118}$ or Y; esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2 UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 9 |
|  | D ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or } Y ; F R T 42 D \text { ubi-GFP PCNA } \\ & \text { UAS-FLP mס/ro- } \tau \text {-lacZ } \end{aligned}$ | 8 |
|  | D" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ 3-78 ; ~ l a m a-~ \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/ro- } \tau \text {-lacZ } \end{aligned}$ | 14 |
|  | E | $\begin{aligned} & e^{3.5-G a l 80 /+} \text { or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text {; lama-Gal4 } \\ & \text { UAS-FLP } \mathrm{m} \mathrm{\delta} /+ \end{aligned}$ | 31 |
|  | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text {; lama-Gal4 } \\ & \text { UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 4 |
|  | G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ 3-78 \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 40 |
|  | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ 3-78 \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
|  | J,K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl }{ }^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & 60^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & 22^{\mathrm{d}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | M | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP $m \delta / h-l a c Z^{08247}$ | 11 |
|  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl }^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mo/h-lacZ }{ }^{08247} \end{aligned}$ | 8 |
| Fig. 2 | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ; \text { lama-Gal4 } \\ & \text { UAS-FLP } m \delta /+ \end{aligned}$ | 31 |
|  | B,C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl } l^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 107 |
|  | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/dpp-lacZ }{ }^{\text {Exel. } 2} \text { /FRT42D Pcl }{ }^{3-78^{* * 38}} \text {; lama- } \end{aligned}$ | 10/11 |
|  | E | y w ey-FLP/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$; h-lacZ ${ }^{08247} /+$ | 8 |
|  | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y w ey-FLP/+ or } Y ; F R T 42 D \text { ubi-GFP PCNA } A^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ P c l^{3-78^{* 38}} ; h \text { - } \\ & \text { lacZ } Z^{8247} /+ \end{aligned}$ | 6 |
|  | G | $\begin{aligned} & {e y^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \text { or } Y ; ~ F R T 42 D ~ u b i-G F P ~ P C N A ~}_{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl } l^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 7/10 |
|  | H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl } l^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mo/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
|  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl } l^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 17 |
|  | J | neur ${ }^{\text {P72 -Gal4 }}$ UAS-pon-GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 6 |
|  | L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl } l^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 11 |
|  | M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl }{ }^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 8 |
|  | N | whs-FLP ${ }^{122}$ elav-Gal4 ${ }^{c 155}$ UAS-cd8GFP/+ or Y; FRT42D tubPGal80/FRT42D Pcl ${ }^{3-78^{* 38}}$; tubP-Gal4/+ | $40^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | O | $\begin{aligned} & \text { elav-Gal4 }{ }^{c 155} \text { hs-FLP }^{l} /+ \text { or } Y ; \text { tubP-Gal4/UAS-cd8GFP; FRT82B tubP- } \\ & \text { Gal80/FRT82B Sce } \end{aligned}$ | $14^{\text {f }}$ |
| Fig. 3 | A | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP m $\delta /+$ | 3 |
|  | B,C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl } l^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 16 |
|  | D | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP m $\delta /+$ | 2 |
|  | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl }{ }^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
|  | F | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP m $\delta /+$ | 3 |
|  | G | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$ Pcl $l^{3-78^{* 38}}$; lamaGal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ | 5 |


|  | H | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ P c l^{3-78 * 38} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 107 |
|  | J | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$ Pcl $l^{3-78^{* 38}}$; lamaGal4 UAS-FLP mס/UAS-Abd-B ${ }^{\text {IR GDI2024 }}$ | 8 |
|  | K | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ P c l^{3-78^{* * 38}}$; lamaGal4 UAS-FLP mס/UAS-Abd-B ${ }^{\text {IR GDI2024 }}$ | 18 |
|  | L,M | $y ~ h s-F L P^{122}$; act $>y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/UAS-AbdB | 18 |
| Fig. 4 | A | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP m $\delta / d p p-l a c Z^{\text {Exel. } 2}$ | 5 |
|  | B | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$ Pcl $l^{3-78^{* 38}}$; lamaGal4 UAS-FLP m $\delta /$ dpp-lacZ $Z^{\text {Exel. } 2}$ | 15 |
|  | C | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP m $\delta /+$ | 31 |
|  | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl }^{3-78^{* * 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/dpp-lacZ } Z^{\text {xel. } 2} \end{aligned}$ | 9/10 |
|  | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ P c l^{3-78 * 38} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
|  | F | $y$ hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZIUAS-AbdB | 10 |
|  | H | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin ${ }^{\text {YT }}$; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 11 |
|  | I | whs-FLP ${ }^{122}$ elav-Gal4 ${ }^{c 155} U A S-c d 8 G F P /+$ or $Y ; F R T 42 D$ tubPGal80/FRT42D Pcl ${ }^{3-78^{* 38}}$; tubP-Gal4/+ | 5/8 |
|  | J | $y h s-F L P^{122} ;$ act $>y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/UAS-AbdB | $14^{\text {g }}$ |
| Fig. 5 | A | esg ${ }^{\text {MH776 }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin $^{\text {YT }}$; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 11 |
|  | B | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/so ${ }^{7}-\mathrm{lacZ}$; UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 3 |
|  | C | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin $^{\text {YT }}$; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 3 |
|  | D | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 -Gal4/so }}{ }^{7}-\mathrm{lacZ}$; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 10 |
|  | E |  | 6 |
|  | G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { esg }{ }^{\text {MH7666 }} \text {-Gal4/so }{ }^{7} \text {-lacZ; UAS-Dcr2 UAS- } \\ & \text { cd8GFP/UAS-eya }{ }^{\text {IR TRiP.JFo3160 }} \end{aligned}$ | 8 |
|  | H | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; ubi-GFP cycE ${ }^{\text {AR95 }}$ FRT40A/eya ${ }^{\text {clifl }}$ FRT40A; lamaGal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ | 11 |
|  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } y^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ s o^{3} ; \text { lama-Gal4 } \\ & \text { UAS-FLP mo/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 14 |
|  | J | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} /$ FRT42D so ${ }^{3}$; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP m $\delta /+$ | 12 |
|  | K |  | 6 |
|  | L |  | 5 |
|  | M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \text { or } Y ; \text { esgg }_{\text {MH766 }}^{-} \text {Gal4/so }{ }^{7} \text {-lacZ; UAS-Dcr2 UAS- } \\ & \text { cd8GFP/UAS-hth }{ }^{\text {IR TRip.JFO2733 }} \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
|  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { esg }^{M H 766}-\text { Gal4/UAS-so } \\ & \text { cd8GFP/UAS-hth }{ }^{\text {IR TRIPIP.JFO2733 }} \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
| Fig. 6 | B | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/w ${ }^{1118}$ or Y; esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr 2 UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 4 |
|  | C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \text { or } Y ; \text { esg }^{M H 766}-G a l 4 / U A S-\text { so } O^{I R ~ K K 104386} ; U A S-D c r 2 \text { UAS- } \\ & \text { cd8GFP/UAS-eya }{ }^{\text {IR TRPP.JF03160 }} \end{aligned}$ | $10 / 11^{\text {h }}$ |
|  | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { esg }^{M H 766}-\text { Gal4/UAS-so }{ }^{\text {IR KKI04386 }} ; \text { UAS-Dcr } 2 \text { UAS- } \\ & \text { cd8GFP/UAS-eya }{ }^{I R} \text { TRiP.JFO3160 } \end{aligned}$ | $10 / 11^{\text {i }}$ |
|  | E |  | 5/11 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |
|  | G | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/w ${ }^{1118}$ or Y; esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr 2 UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 7 |
|  | H |  | 9/12 ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |
|  | I |  | 8 |
|  | J |  | 10 |
|  | K | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/w ${ }^{1118}$ or Y; esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2 UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 4 |


|  | L | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}-$ Gal4/UAS-so ${ }^{\text {IR KK104386 }} ;$ UAS-Dcr 2 UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-eya ${ }^{\text {IR TRiP.JF03160 }}$ | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M |  | 6 |
|  | N | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/w ${ }^{1118}$ or Y; esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2 UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 9 |
|  | O | $\underset{\substack{\text { ey }^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \\ \text { RRiP.JF02733 }}}{ } \text { or } \text { esg }^{M H 766}-G a l 4 /+; U A S-D c r 2 \text { UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-hth }{ }^{I R}$ | 9 |
|  | Q | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/w ${ }^{1118}$ or Y; esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2 UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 5 |
|  | R | $\underset{\substack{\text { ey }^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \\ \text { TRiPJFO2733 }}}{ } \text { or } \text { esg }^{M H 766}-\text { Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2 UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-hth }{ }^{I R}$ | 10 |
| Fig. 7 | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or Y; ubi-GFP cycE }{ }^{\text {AR95 }} \text { FRT40A/eya }{ }^{\text {clifll }} \text { FRT40A; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
|  | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ s o^{3} ; \text { lama-Gal4 } \\ & \text { UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
|  | C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or Y; ubi-GFP cycE }{ }^{\text {AR95 }} \text { FRT40A/eya }{ }^{\text {clifl }} \text { FRT40A; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 9 |
|  | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { elav-Gal4 }{ }^{c 155} \text { hs-FLP } P^{l} /+ \text { or } Y \text {; tubP-Gal4/UAS-cd8GFP; FRT82B tubP- } \\ & \text { Gal80/FRT82B hth } \end{aligned}$ | 9 |
|  | E |  | 5 |
|  | F | esg ${ }^{\text {MH776 }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin $^{\text {YT }}$; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 7 |
|  | G |  | 8 |
|  | H,I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ P c l^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 / 12^{1} \\ & 13 / 13^{\mathrm{m}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | J,K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ P c l^{3-78 * 38} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 11^{\mathrm{n}}, \\ & 12 / 12^{\mathrm{o}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | L | $y$ hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act $>y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/UAS-AbdB | 6 |
|  | M | $y \mathrm{hs}$-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act $>\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/UAS-AbdB | 18 |
|  | N | $y h s-F L P^{122}$; act> $y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/UAS-AbdB | 6 |
|  | O | $y \mathrm{hs}$-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/UAS-AbdB | 13 |
| Fig. 8 | A | $y \mathrm{hs}$-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act $>\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-so UAS-eyal+ | 7 |
|  | B | $y$ hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-so UAS-eyal+ | 12 |
|  | C | y hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZI+; UAS-hth ${ }^{12} /+$ | 11 |
|  | D | $y$ hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-hth ${ }^{12} /+$ | 18 |
|  | E | $y \mathrm{hs}$-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act $>\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-so UAS-eyal+ | 6 |
|  | F | $y$ hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-so UAS-eyal+ | 13 |
|  | G | $y$ hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act $>y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-so UAS-eyal+ | 7 |
|  | H | $y h s-F L P^{122}$; act> $y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZl+; TM3/+ | 4 |
|  | I | $y$ hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act $>\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-so UAS-eyal+ | 13 |
|  | J | $y h s-F L P^{122} ;$ act $>y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; TM3/+ | 2 |
|  | K | y hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-so UAS-eyal+ | 5 |

> indicate FRT sites;
${ }^{a}$ If not otherwise indicated, in loss-of-function and knockdown experiments, all examined control samples were normal, while all experimental samples showed defects ( $100 \%$ penetrance);
${ }^{\text {b }}$ large Fas3-positive NE cell clusters; ${ }^{\text {c }}$ small OPC NE cell clusters; ${ }^{\text {d }}$ small IPC NE cell clusters;
${ }^{\mathrm{e}} 41 / 47$ clones in the OPC showed ectopic Fas $3 ;{ }^{\mathrm{f}} 21 / 21$ clones in the OPC showed ectopic Fas3;
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ 32/69 Abd-B positive progenitor cells expressed Ase;
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ show $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{ICD}}$ localization defects; ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ show ectopic Nbs/GMCs; ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ have areas with Nbs only; ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ show L'sc labeling in more than 4 cells;
${ }^{1}$ Eya levels reduced in small OPC clusters; ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Eya levels reduced in large OPC clusters;
${ }^{n}$ Hth levels reduced in small OPC clusters; ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Hth}$ levels reduced in large OPC clusters.

Table S2. Full genotypes and sample numbers shown in Supplementary figure panels.

| Figure | Panel | Genotype | $n={ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fig. S1 | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y \text {; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ 3-78 * 56 \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP } m \delta /+ \end{aligned}$ | 16 |
|  | C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl }{ }^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP m } \delta /+ \end{aligned}$ | 107 |
|  | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y \text {; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ P c l^{3-78 * 38} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/ro- } \tau \text {-lacZ } \end{aligned}$ | 8 |
|  | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \text { or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA } \\ & \text { Pcl }{ }^{7777 a} \text {; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP mo/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 28 |
|  | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \text { or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA } \\ & \text { Pcl }{ }^{2777 a} \text {; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP mo/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
|  | G | neur ${ }^{\text {P72 }}$-Gal4 UAS-pon-GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 9 |
| Fig. S2 | A | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / F R T 42 D$; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP m $\delta /+$ | 6 |
|  | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }{ }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/+ or } Y \text {; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D ~ P c l^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP m } \delta /+ \end{aligned}$ | 3 |
|  | C | $\begin{aligned} & e^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \text { or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text {; lama-Gal4 } \\ & \text { UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 6 |
|  | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl }{ }^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
|  | E | $\begin{aligned} & e^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \text { or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text {; lama-Gal4 } \\ & U A S-F L P ~ m \delta /+ \end{aligned}$ | 6 |
|  | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5} \text {-Gal80/+ or } Y ; \text { FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA }{ }^{775} / F R T 42 D \text { Pcl }{ }^{3-78^{* 38}} \text {; lama- } \\ & \text { Gal4 UAS-FLP mס/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
| Fig. S3 | A | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin ${ }^{\text {YT }}$; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 3 |
|  | B | tsh ${ }^{\text {md62l }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin ${ }^{Y T}$; UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 7 |
|  | C | esg ${ }^{\text {MH7766-Gal4/CyO or Pin }}$ ' ${ }^{\text {YT }}$, UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 4 |
|  | D | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 -Gal4/so }}{ }^{\text {- }}$-lacZ; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 5 |
|  | E | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin ${ }^{\text {YT. }}$, UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 3 |
|  | F | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/act $>y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP; UAS-FLP/+ | 3 |
|  | G | $e y^{3.5}$-Gal80/+ or Y; esg ${ }^{\text {MH7766 }}$-Gal4/act> $y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP; UAS-FLP/+ | 5 |
|  | H | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin ${ }^{\text {YT }}$; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 11 |
|  | I | $\underset{\substack{y^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \\ \text { TRiP.JFF3160 }}}{ } \text { or } \text { esg }^{M H 766}-G a l 4 /+; U A S-D c r 2 \text { UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-eya }{ }^{I R}$ | 6 |
|  | J | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-\text { Gal80/UAS-eya }{ }^{\text {IR GD4391I }} ; \text { esg }^{\text {MH766 }} \text {-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2 UAS- } \\ & \text { cd8GFP/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 6 |
|  | K | ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80/w ${ }^{1118}$ or Y; esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2 UAS-cd8GFP/+ | 7 |
|  | L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey } \\ & \text { TRiP.JFO2733 } \end{aligned} \text {-Gal80/+ or Y; esg }{ }^{M H 766}-G a l 4 /+; U A S-D c r 2 \text { UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-hth }{ }^{I R}$ | 9 |
|  | M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \text { or } Y ; \text { esg }^{M H 766}-G a l 4 / U A S-h t h^{I R ~ K K 100630} ; ~ U A S-D c r 2 ~ U A S-~ \\ & \text { cd8GFP/+ } \end{aligned}$ | 6 |
| Fig. S4 | A | esg ${ }^{\text {MH7766 }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin $^{\text {YT }}$; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 7 |
|  | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ey }^{3.5}-G a l 80 /+ \text { or } Y ; \text { esg }^{M H 766}-\text { Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2 UAS-cd8GFP/UAS- } \\ & \text { Optix } \end{aligned}$ | 3 |
|  | C, D | esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4/CyO or Pin ${ }^{\text {YT }}$; UAS-cd8GFP/UAS-cd8GFP | 3 |
|  | E | $y \mathrm{hs}$-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZl+; UAS-eyal+ | 9 |
|  | F | $y$ hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act>y ${ }^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-eyal+ | 6 |
|  | G | y hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-sol+ | 10 |
|  | H | y hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZI+; UAS-sol+ | 2 |
|  | I | $y \mathrm{hs}$-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act> $\mathrm{y}^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-so UAS-eyal+ | 9 |
|  | J | $y$ hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act>y ${ }^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-hth ${ }^{12} /+$ | 11 |

K $P\{P Z\} p n t^{07825}-\operatorname{lacZ} /+\quad 3$
$\mathrm{L} \quad E(s p l) m \gamma-G F P / C y O \quad 3$
$\mathrm{M} \quad y h s-F L P^{122} ; a c t>y^{+}>G a l 4 U A S-G F P d p p-l a c Z /+; U A S-h t h^{12} /+\quad 9$
$>$ indicate FRT sites.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ If not otherwise indicated, in loss-of-function and knockdown experiments, all examined control samples were normal, while all experimental samples showed defects ( $100 \%$ penetrance).

## Supplementary Materials and Methods

The following stocks/crosses were used in this study:
(i) Reporter lines - (1) ro- $\boldsymbol{\tau}$-lac $Z$ (Garrity et al., 1999), (2) h-lacZ ${ }^{08247}$, (3) so ${ }^{7}$-lacZ (Cheyette et al., 1994), (4) dpp-lacZ ${ }^{\text {Exel.2 }}$, (5) $P\{P Z\} p n t^{07825}-l a c Z$ (Samakovlis et al., 1996) and (6) $E(s p l) m \gamma-G F P$ (Almeida and Bray, 2005).
(ii) Gal4 lines - (1) esg ${ }^{M H 766}$-Gal4 (Apitz and Salecker, 2015), (2) w; neur ${ }^{P 72}$-Gal4, UAS-pon$G F P / T M 6 B$ (Bellaiche et al., 2001), and (3) $t s h^{\text {md62l }}$-Gal4 crossed to (4) $\mathrm{Pin}^{Y T} / C y O$; UAS-cd8GFP; (5) esg ${ }^{M H 766}$-Gal4 crossed to $w$; act $>y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP; UAS-FLP; (6) esg $^{M H 766}-G a l ; U A S-c d 8 G F P$ crossed to $s^{7}-\operatorname{lacZ} / C y O$; UAS-cd8GFP .
(iii) Loss-of-function analysis using the $e y^{3.5}$-Gal80, lama-Gal4, UAS-FLP (ELF) system (Bazigou et al., 2007; Chotard et al., 2005) - (1) ELF 2R: yw ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} /$ CyO; lamaGal4 UAS-FLP $m \delta$ crossed to (2) $y w$; FRT42D, (3) $y w$; FRT42D 3-78/Gla Bc, (4) yw; FRT42D Pcl $l^{3-}$ ${ }^{78 * 38} / G l a ~ B c$, (5) yw; FRT42D 3-78*56/Gla Bc, (6) y w; FRT40A FRT42D y ${ }^{+}$Pcl $^{2777 a} / G l a ~ B c$, (7) yw; FRT42D; ro- $\tau-l a c Z$, (8) yw; FRT42D 3-78/Gla Bc; ro- $\tau$-lacZ, (9) yw; FRT42D Pcl ${ }^{3-78 * 38} / G l a ~ B c$; ro- $\tau$ lacZ, (10) yw; FRT42D 3-78*56/Gla Bc; ro- $\tau$-lacZ, (11) yw; FRT42D; h-lacZ ${ }^{08247} / T M 6 B$, (12) yw; FRT42D Pcl ${ }^{3-78^{* 38}} / G l a ~ B c$; h-lacZ ${ }^{08247} / T M 6 B$, (13) yw; FRT42D; dpp-lacZ ${ }^{E x e l .2}$, (14) yw; FRT42D Pcll ${ }^{3-}$
 so ${ }^{3} / G l a ~ B c$; (17) ELF 2L: yw ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80; ubi-GFP cycE ${ }^{A R 95}$ FRT40A/Gla Bc; lama-Gal4 UAS-FLP $m \delta$ crossed to (18) yw; FRT40A, (19) eya ${ }^{\text {clift }}$ FRT40A/Gla Bc (3-5, this study; 6, from J. Müller, MPI of Biochemistry, Munich (Gaytan de Ayala Alonso et al., 2007); 16, 19, from F. Pignoni, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse (Pignoni et al., 1997)).
(iv) Loss-of-function analysis using the ey-FLP transgene (Newsome et al., 2000) - (1) yw ey-FLP; FRT42D ubi-GFP PCNA ${ }^{775} / C y O$ crossed to (2) $y w$; FRT42D, (3) yw; FRT42D Pcl $l^{3-78^{* 38} / G l a ~ B c \text {, (4) }}$ yw; FRT42D; h-lacZ ${ }^{08247} /$ TM6B, (5) yw; FRT42D Pcl ${ }^{3-78^{* 38} / G l a ~ B c ; ~ h-l a c Z ~}{ }^{08247} / T M 6 B$.
(v) Loss-of-function analysis using mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) (Lee and Luo, 1999) - (1) MARCM 2R: w hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$ elav-Gal4 ${ }^{c 155}$ UAS-cd8GFP; FRT42D tubP-Gal80/CyO crossed to (2) yw; FRT42D; tubP-Gal4/TM6B, (3) yw; FRT42D Pcl ${ }^{3-78^{* 38} / G l a ~ B c ; ~ t u b P-G a l 4 / T M 6 B ; ~}$ (4) MARCM 3R: elav-Gal4 ${ }^{c l 55} h s-F L P^{\prime}$; tubP-Gal4/CyO; FRT82B tubP-Gal80/TM6B (from A. Gould) crossed to (5) UAS-cd8GFP/Gla Bc; FRT82B, (6) UAS-cd8GFP/Gla Bc; FRT82B $h t h^{64.1} / T M 6 B$, and (7) UAS-cd8GFP/Gla Bc; FRT82B Sce $/$ TM6B. To induce NE cell clones in the OPC, 24-h embryo collections were heat shocked for $35-70 \mathrm{~min}$ at $24-48 \mathrm{~h}$ AEL in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath. NE clones in the IPC were generated by heat shocks for $40-50 \mathrm{~min}$ at $48-72 \mathrm{~h}$ AEL.
(vi) Knockdown experiments using UAS-RNAi transgenes - (1) yw ey ${ }^{3.5}$-Gal80; esg ${ }^{\text {MH766 }}$-Gal4; UASDcr 2 UAS-cd8GFP/TM6B crossed to (2) UAS-hth ${ }^{I R T R i P . J F 02733}$, (3) UAS-hth ${ }^{I R}{ }^{K K 100630}$, (4) UAS-eya ${ }^{I R}$ ${ }^{\text {TRiP.JF03160 }}$, (5) UAS-eya ${ }^{I R ~ G D 43911}$, (6) UAS-so ${ }^{I R}$ KK104386 , (7) UAS-Optix ${ }^{\text {IR TRiP.JF02199, }}$, (8) so ${ }^{7}$-lacZ/Gla Bc;
 ${ }_{\text {TRiP.JF03160 }}$, (11) UAS-eya $a^{I R G D 43911}$; UAS-so ${ }^{I R}{ }^{K K 104386} ; U A S-h t h^{I R ~ T R i P . J F 02733} / T M 6 B$, and (12) UAS-eya ${ }^{I R}$ ${ }^{\text {GD43911 }} ;$ UAS-hth ${ }^{\text {IR KK100630 }} ;$ UAS-Optix ${ }^{\text {IR TRiP.JF02199 }}$.
(vii) Gain-of-function experiments using the hs-FLPout approach (Ito et al., 1997; Struhl and Basler, 1993) - (1) y $h s-F L P^{122}$; act $>y^{+}>G a l 4$ UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/CyO flies (from A. Baena-Lopez, University of Oxford) crossed to (2) $U A S$ - $A b d-B$ (on II), (3) $U A S$-eya (on III), (4) $U A S$-so (on III), (5) $U A S-$ hth $^{12} / T M 6 B$ (Pai et al., 1998), and (6) UAS-so, UAS-eya/TM6B (Pignoni et al., 1997). Progeny of crosses were heat shocked at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min at 96 h AEL (2) or for 30 min at 72 h AEL (3-6).

In ELF and ey-FLP mosaics, clones lack GFP, while in MARCM mosaics, clones are labeled with GFP; in $h s$-FLPout mosaics, GFP indicates sites of ectopic gene expression. If not otherwise indicated, stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center and are described in FlyBase.

## Mutagenesis screen and deficiency mapping

The forward genetic ethane methyl sulphonate (EMS) mutagenesis screen was performed using the ELF approach for chromosome 2R. 1065 independent lines with homozygous mutant lethal mutations on FRT42D site-containing second chromosomes were screened for R-cell projection defects at the third instar larval stage. This led to the identification of $3-78$ in addition to 29 other mutant lines (Fig. 1D; unpublished observations, H.A., I.S.). Deficiency mapping for 3-78 uncovered three lethal mutations on chromosome arm 2R in the regions 43A4-D3 (with $D f(2 R) E D 1673$ and $D f(2 R) E D 1715$ ), 50A1-A7 (with $D f(2 R) C X 1$ and $D f(2 R) B S C 273$ ), and 55B5-C2 (with $D f(2 R) E D 3610$ and $D f(2 R) B S C 334)$ (Fig. S1A). These were separated by meiotic recombination into $3-78 * 38$, carrying a lethal mutation in 55B5-C2 and 3-78*56, carrying the other two lethal mutations (Fig. 1I). 3-78 and 3$78 * 38$, but not $3-78 * 56$ ELF mosaic animals displayed similar phenotypes with large Fas3-positive ectopic NE cell clusters in the optic lobe (Fig. S1B,C). The cleaned 3-78*38 allele no longer caused R-cell projection defects (Fig. S1D). When testing the three genes included in 55B5-C2, solely alleles of Pcl failed to complement $3-78 * 38$. As both $3-78 * 38$ and $3-78 * 56$ ELF mosaics showed largely normal R-cell projection patterns, the defects observed using the 3-78 mutant chromosome might be caused by genetic interactions of the mutant loci.

## Immunolabeling and imaging

The following primary antibodies were used for immunolabeling: mouse mAb24B10 (1:75, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank [DSHB]), mouse anti-Abd-B (1A2E9, 1:20, DSHB), rabbit anti-Ase (1:5000, from Y.N. Jan, HHMI, San Francisco, (Brand et al., 1993)), rabbit anti-Ato (1:5000, from Y.N. Jan), mouse anti-Cut (2B10, 1:10, DSHB), guinea pig anti-D (1:200, from J.R. Nambu, University of Massachusetts, Amherst/A. Gould, The Francis Crick Institute, London, (Russell et al., 1996)), mouse anti-Dac (mAbdac2-3, 1:50, DSHB), mouse anti-Dlg (4F3, 1:50, DSHB), guinea pig anti-Dpn (1:500, from J. Skeath, Washington University, St. Louis, (Bier et al., 1992)), rat anti-E-cad (DCAD2, 1:2, DSHB), rat anti-Elav (7E8A10, 1:50, DSHB), rabbit anti-Ey (1.8, 1:200, from U. Walldorf, University of Homburg), mouse anti-Eya (10H6, 1:5, DSHB), mouse anti-Fas3 (7G10, 1:5, DSHB; (Patel et al., 1987; Tayler et al., 2004)), mouse and rabbit anti- $\beta$-galactosidase ( $1: 300$, Promega; 1:12,000, Cappel), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000, Molecular Probes), rabbit anti-Hth (1:100, from R. Mann, Columbia University, New York, (Noro et al., 2006)), rat anti-L'sc (1:800, from A. Carmena, Instituto de Neurosciencas, Alicante), mouse anti-Mira (PLF81, 1:50, (Ohshiro et al., 2000) ), mouse anti- ${ }^{\text {ICD }}$ (C17.9C6, 1:50, DSHB), rabbit anti-Optix (1:200, from F. Pignoni, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse), rabbit anti-PH3 (1:100, Millipore/Upstate), rabbit anti-aPKC 5 (sc-216, 1:100, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, (Wodarz et al., 2000)), mouse anti-Pros (MR1A, 1:50, DSHB; (Spana and Doe, 1995)), mouse anti-Repo (8D12, 1:20, DSHB), mouse anti-Scr (6H4.1, 1:20, DSHB), rabbit anti-Slp (54, 1:50, J. Reinitz Segmentation Antibodies, (Kosman et al., 1998)), guinea pig anti-Toy (1.170, 1:200, from U. Walldorf), rabbit anti-Tll (812, 1:20, J. Reinitz Segmentation

Antibodies, (Kosman et al., 1998)), mouse anti-Ubx (FP3.38, 1:20, DSHB) and mouse anti-Wg (4D4, 1:50, DSHB). For immunofluorescence labeling, the following secondary antibodies were used: goat anti-guinea pig, anti-mouse, anti-rabbit, and anti-rat $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{ab}^{\prime}\right)_{2}$ fragments coupled to FITC, Cy3 or Cy5/Alexa Fluor 647® (1:400; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories).

## Quantifications and statistics

Sample sizes were not pre-calculated, but were based on the standard of the field. In groups of control or experimental animals, larvae and adult flies of the correct stage and genotype were chosen randomly and independently from different vials. Data acquisition and analysis used samples with specific genotypes and was not limited in repeatability.

To compare OPC volumes of wild type and $U A S$-hth $h^{I R}$ expressing animals, 11 serial $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ serial optical sections were selected for analysis using Amira 5 (Visage Imaging) from optic lobes that had been imaged in a horizontal orientation before and after the OPC center (defined by the position of the mAb24B10 positive larval optic neuropil).

Mitotic indices are provided as the number of PH3-positive cells per $10^{3} \mu^{3}$ of wild type OPC NE cells or Fas3-positive $\mathrm{Pcl}^{3-78^{* 38}}$ mutant cell clusters. OPC NE cells were distinguished from adjacent dividing Nbs and lamina precursor cells by the presence of E-cad and the lack of neur $>c d 8 G F P$ and Dac (Figs. 2J; S1G). An average of 0.18 cells ( $\pm 0.0795 \%$ confidence interval) per $10^{3} \mu \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of wild type OPC NE cells undergo mitosis during late third instar larval development. While the average size of Fas3-positive mutant epithelial clusters increased from $30.2 \times 10^{3} \mu^{3}$ at 114 h AEL ( $n=7$ ) to $52.4 \times 10^{3} \mu^{3}$ at the wandering third instar larval stage $(n=13)$, the average of mitotic cells at these time points remained constant $(0.087 \pm 0.04$ and $0.09 \pm 0.0395 \%$ confidence interval, respectively).

To determine the number of migratory progenitors that differentiate prematurely into $\mathrm{Nbs}, y$ $h s-F L P^{122}$; act $>y^{+}>G a l 4 U A S-G F P$ dpp-lacZ/UAS-Abd-B larvae were heat shocked at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min at 96 h AEL, dissected after 24 h and co-labeled with Ase, E-cad and Repo. Glial cells were identified by Repo; Repo-negative cells in E-cad expressing cell streams were counted as progenitors.

To quantify the number of Hth- and Ey-positive cells relative to the p-IPC, y hs-FLP ${ }^{122}$; act $>y^{+}>$Gal4 UAS-GFP dpp-lacZ/+; UAS-so UAS-eya/+ larvae were heat shocked at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min at 72 h AEL, dissected after 48 h and co-labeled with Hth and Fas3, and Ey and E-cad, respectively. Images were collected in horizontal orientation from three optical sections per sample ( $6-\mu \mathrm{m}$ distance each). Cells were counted in $50 \mu^{2}$ regions of interest with the lower edge aligned with the lower edge of the p -IPC.
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