

Fig. S1. Phylogenetic analyses of C. elegans (Ce), Drosophila (Dm) and human (Hs) formins. We generated phylogenetic trees using (A) the full-length sequences or (B) the FH2 domain alone, as had been previously done (Chalkia et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010; Mi-Mi et al., 2012). In both panels, the mDia and INF2 families are highlighted. Protein sequences were aligned using the ClustalW function of MacVector (MacVector, Inc. Apex, NC. USA), using the Gonnet matrix with default settings. Phylogenetic trees were generated in MacVector using the neighbor joining method with 1000 bootstrap repetitions. Percent branch support is shown for all branches. We rooted the trees with Ce FOZI-1, a highly divergent formin-like nuclear protein that influences cell fate choices but does not interact with actin (Johnston et al., 2006). We note that for the INF2 family, using full-length sequences provides low bootstrap support for branching, likely due to divergence in sequences outside the catalytic FH1/FH2 domains. However, both C. elegans INF2 paralogs clearly cluster within this family, and using the FH2 domain alone to elucidate relationships between INF2 family members provides more reliable clustering.


Mutated in FSGS (Brown, et al., 2010; Boyer et al., 2011a). Shaded (E184 and R218) are required in INF2-DID for interaction with mDia-DAD (Sun, et. al., 2011).
Mutated in FSGS + CMT (Boyer, et al., 2011b).
Mediate intramolecular DID-DAD interaction in mouse mDia1 (Nezami, et al., 2006).

Fig. S2. Residues required for intra- and inter-molecular DID/DAD interactions, and residues mutated in human disease, are conserved in the divergent INFT-2 "DID". Alignment of the DID regions of human (Hs) INF2 and C. elegans (Ce) INFT-2. We used the mouse (Mm) mDial DID sequence as a reference, because it has been crystallized bound to its DAD and used to define DID/DAD interacting residues (Nezami et al., 2006). These residues are highlighted in blue here. We note that many of these are conserved in the divergent INFT-2 DID, including some that when mutated affect mDia DID/DAD interactions (e.g. mDia1 Ile222, corresponding to INFT-2 Ile214, mDia1 Ile259, corresponding to INFT-2 Val258, and mDia1 Leu260, corresponding to INFT-2 Leu 259). Residues mutated in INF2 that lead to FSGS or FSGS+CMT are highlighted in Green and Red, respectively. The two residues tested that affected INF2-DID to mDia-DAD interaction (Sun et al., 2011), Glu184 and Arg218, are conserved in Ce INFT-2 (shaded).


Fig. S3. Phenotypes in cyk-1(ts) exc-6(0) double mutants resemble those seen in exc-6(0) alone. Photomicrographs (A-C) showing multiple lumens (arrows) and a disorganized F-actin structure at the leading edge (dashed circle), both characteristic phenotypes of exc-6(0) mutants (Shaye and Greenwald, 2015). Images were acquired via wide-field microscopy, using a Plan-Apochromat 40x/1.4 Oil objective, as described in Materials and Methods. Scale bar is $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Quantification of the (E) penetrance and (F) severity of the cystic phenotype, as well as the $(\mathbf{G})$ penetrance of the multiple lumen phenotype in wildtype $(\mathrm{n}=30)$, exc-6(0) $(\mathrm{n}=60)$, cyk-1 (ts) $(\mathrm{n}=60)$ and $c y k-1(t s) \operatorname{exc}-6(0)$ doubles $(\mathrm{n}=43)$. Significance for penetrance of cystic and multiple lumen phenotypes was calculated by a two-tailed Fisher's exact test. Significance for the severity of the cystic phenotype was calculated with a Mann-Whitney test.


Fig. S4. Other phenotypes caused by Venus::EXC-5 overexpression are also modified by inft-2(0) and cyk-1(ts). Quantification of the (A) penetrance and (B) severity of the cystic phenotype in wild-type carrying arEx2404 (Ex[Venus] n=30), wild-type carrying arEx2360 (Ex[Venus::EXC-5] n=65), inft-2(0); $\operatorname{arEx} 2360(\mathrm{n}=30)$, cyk-1(ts); arEx2360 (n=60) and cyk-1 (ts); inft-2(0); arEx2360 (n=46). Significance for penetrance the cystic phenotype was calculated by a two-tailed Fisher's exact test. Significance for the severity of the cystic phenotype was calculated with a Kruskal-Wallis test for multiple comparisons.


Movie 1. Venus::CYK-1 (yellow) is cytoplasmic and is also found in dynamic juxta-apical punctae in wild-type. Arrows mark the first appearance of punctae that were visible for at least two frames ( $\sim 90$ seconds). In this $\sim 10$-minute movie we counted at least 12 such punctae. F-actin, labelled with LifeAct::TagRFP (magenta), is found at the lumen-lining apical membrane, and can be seen accumulating in some of the juxta-apical Venus::CYK-1 labelled punctae. This movie is a maximum projection of seventeen $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ z-steps taken using the settings for Venus::CYK-1 described in the "Spinning Disk Microscopy Settings" section of the Supplementary Materials and Methods.


Movie 2. 3D projection of the same wild-type EC seen in Fig. 2F, showing that that when not present in juxta-apical punctae, Venus::CYK-1 appears cytoplasmic and reaches the basolateral side (entire cytoplasm is marked by CFP). Eight $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick sections were used to generate this projection, and images were acquired as described for Venus::CYK-1 in the "Spinning Disk Microscopy Settings" section of the Supplementary Materials and Methods.


Movie 3. Venus::CYK-1 (yellow) accumulation in juxta-apical punctae is greatly reduced in $c d c-42\left(0^{*}\right)$. Arrows mark the first appearance of punctae that were visible for at least two frames ( $\sim 60$ seconds). In this $\sim 10$-minute movie we only found 2 such punctae, and both were found near the basolateral domain, not juxta-apical (compare to wild-type Movie S1). F-actin, labelled with LifeAct::TagRFP (magenta), is found at the lumen-lining apical membrane and can be seen accumulating in some motile punctae, although most are not marked with Venus::CYK-1 and their localization is more variable than in wildtype. This movie is a maximum projection of four $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ z-steps taken using the settings for Venus::CYK-1 described in the "Spinning Disk Microscopy Settings" section of the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

## Supplementary Materials and Methods

## Strains

Standard methods were used for strain handling and maintenance (Brenner, 1974). Mutant alleles are described in WormBase (www.wormbase.org). Primers used to confirm genotypes by sequencing or PCR are listed in the "Primers" table below. The following GFP-marked balancer chromosomes were used in crosses and to maintain sterile or lethal mutants: mIn1[mIs14], hT2[qIs48], nT1[qIs51] (Edgley et al., 2006), and $q$ Cl[nIs189] (Andersen et al., 2008).

Table S1. Strains

| Strain | Genotype* | Figure(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GS6602 | arls198 | 1A, G. 3A, B. 4A, B |
| GS7284 | exc-6(gk386); arls198 | 1B, G. 3A |
| GS7022 | exc-5(rh232); arls 198 | 1C, G. 4A, B |
| GS7266 | exc-6(gk386); exc-5(rh232); arls198 | 1D, G |
| GS8109 | cdc-42(gk388)/m/n1[m/s 14]; arls198 | 1E, G |
| GS8110 | cdc-42(gk388)/m/n1[m/s14]; exc-6(gk386); arls198 | 1F, G |
| GS8124 | arls195; arEx2360 | 1H, J |
| GS8125 | cdc-42(gk388)/mIn1[mls 14]; arls195; arEx2360 | 11, J |
| GS8122 | arls195; arEx2411 | 1 J |
| GS7924 | arls195; arEx2348 | 2B |
| GS8115 | arls 198; arEx2406 | 2 C |
| GS8126 | cdc-42(gk388)/mIn1[mls14]; arls 198; arEx2406 | 2 C |
| GS7933 | arls195; arEx2357 | 2D |
| GS8119 | arls198; arEx2410 | 2E |
| GS8127 | cdc-42(gk388)/mIn1[mls 14]; arls 198; arEx2410 | 2E |
| GS6716 | inft-2(ok1296); arls 198 | 3A. 4A, B |
| GS7637 | cyk-1(or596ts); arls198 | 3A. 4A, B |
| GS7025 | exc-6(gk386); inft-2(ok1296); arls198 | 3A |
| GS7638 | cyk-1(or596ts) exc-6(gk386); arls 198 | 3A |
| GS7959 | cyk-1(or596ts); inft-2(ok1296); arls198 | 3A, C. 4A, B |
| GS7960 | cyk-1 (or596ts) exc-6(gk386); inft-2(ok1296); arls198 | 3A |
| GS8113 | arls198; arEx2404 | 3D, 3E |
| GS8150 | cyk-1(or596ts); inft-2(ok1296); arls198; arEx2404 | 3D |
| GS8151 | cyk-1(or596ts); inft-2(ok1296); arls198; arEx2406 | 3D, 4C, D |
| GS8152 | cyk-1(or596ts); inft-2(ok1296); arls198; arEx2410 | 3D |
| GS7953 | arls 198; arEx2360 | 3E |
| GS8120 | inft-2(ok1296); arls198; arEx2360 | 3E |
| GS8146 | cyk-1(or596ts); arls 198; arEx2360 | 3E |
| GS8147 | cyk-1(or596ts); inft-2(ok1296); arls 198; arEx2360 | 3E |
| GS8105 | cyk-1(ok2300)/qC1[n/s189]; arls 198 | Not shown |
| GS8106 | exc-6(gk386) cyk-1(ok2300)/exc-6(gk386) qC1[nls189]; arls198 | Not shown |
| GS8107 | cyk-1(ok2300)/qC1[nls189]; inft-2(ok1296); arls198 | Not shown |

*: Integrated arIs transgenes rescue unc-119(ed3), and extrachromosomal arEx transgenes rescue pha-1(e2123ts). These mutations may be present in some backgrounds for transgene selection. Full genotypes available on request.

## Transgenes and Plasmids

Details of plasmid constructions are available upon request. Extrachromosomal transgenes were generated by germline micro-injection of plasmid mixes (Evans, 2006). Injection mixes contained the selection marker pBX, which rescues pha-1(e2123ts) (Granato et al., 1994), and the Hygromycin resistance construct pIR98 (Radman et al., 2013), both at $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. Mixes with GFP-expressing fosmids were injected into unc-119(ed3) pha-1(e2123ts); arIs195 hermaphrodites. Mixes with Venus-expressing constructs were injected into unc-119(ed3) pha-1(e2123ts); arIs198 hermaphrodites. Transformants were selected by pha-1(ts) rescue at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thereafter transgenes were followed by fluorescent expression of constructs, pha-1 (ts) rescue, and/or survival on hygromycin plates.

## Table S2. Transgenes and plasmids

| Transgene | Construct(s) | Plasmid | Concentration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arls195 | glt-3p::LifeAct::TagRFP | See Shaye and Greenwald, 2015 |  |
| arls198 | glt-3p::CFP |  |  |
|  | glt-3p::LifeAct::TagRFP |  |  |
| arEx2348 | inft-2::gfp | fosmid | $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{\mu l}^{\prime}$ |
| arEx2349 | cyk-1::gfp fosmid |  |  |
| arEx2357 |  | fosmid | $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| arEx2360 | glt-3p::Venus::exc-5 | pDS630 | $6 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| arEx2361 |  |  |  |
| arEx2404 | glt-3p ::Venus | pDS242 | $6 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| arEx2411 |  |  |  |
| arEx2405 | glt-3p::Venus::inft-2 | pDS453 | $6 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| arEx2406 |  |  |  |
| arEx2408 | glt-3p::Venus::cyk-1b | pDS631 | $6 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{\mu l}$ |
| arEx2409 |  |  |  |
| arEx2410 |  |  |  |

Note: all glt-3p-driven constructs use the unc-54 $3^{\prime} U T R$.

## Primers

For primers used to amplify cDNAs, blue sequences are heterologous, restriction sites used for cloning cDNAs into pDS242, the glt-3p $::$ Venus::unc-54'3 vector, are underlined, start and stop codons are bold.

Table S3. Primers

| Oligo | Sequence | Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| oDS320 | ATGGATTTACCAGCGCAAAG | Detect inft-2(ok1296) <br> allele deletion |
| ODS321 | ATTCAACGGTCGAACAGAGC | Amplify inft-2 cDNA |
| ODS322 | CCGTTTTCTTCTGCTTCCTG | Detect cyk-1(ok2300) <br> allele deletion |
| ODS399 | CGGGGTACCGACGTCAGGCGGCCGCGGACCGGTAAAAATGGTGAAGAAGCGCCAAAAC |  |
| ODS400 | TCATCTAGAATCAAACTGGACTTCCTACCAAGG | ACGAAGATGAACTTGTCGGC |

Primers (cont'd)

| Oligo | Sequence | Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oDS430 | TCGTCTGATGATGATGGAC | Sequence inft-2 cDNA |
| oDS431 | TCCAAGACCATCCAACGGAG |  |
| oDS432 | ACATCCACTTCTCCCGTTC |  |
| oDS501 | TGCAAGTTGTTTGGTACGGA | Detect cdc-42(gk388) allele deletion |
| oDS502 | CAAGAATGGGGTCTTTGAGC |  |
| oDS503 | ACGGCGTAATTGTCGAAGAC |  |
| oDS646 | AATGCCAGTGGAGAAGGAGC | Detect cyk-1(or596ts) allele. Use with oDS417 |
| oDS694 | CGGGGTACCCCCGGGCATAAAAATGGAAGAACTCACGAAAACAGTTCGG | Amplify exc-5 cDNA |
| oDS695 | TCAACTAGTATTATACCGGTGCAGCTCCTTCAGATTGCTCGGATCCAGAATTCCG |  |
| oDS696 | TCCAGATTTGAGAAGTTCGC | Sequence exc-5cDNA |
| oDS697 | TTACAAGCAGCTGCACATGC |  |
| oDS703 | CGGGATATCGGTACCCATAAAAATGTCTAGCGATGATTATGAGTCAATTG | Amplify cyk-1b cDNA |
| oDS704 | TCAGCGGCCGCTCATGCTGAGCGGAAATCATTAAGACGTGCGAGAAG |  |
| oDS705 | GAGAATCATTTGCCTTGTCAGG | Sequence cyk-1b cDNA |
| oDS706 | CAGTTATTGGCGGTAGAGC |  |

## Spinning Disk Microscopy Settings

- INFT-2::GFP (Fig. 2B) grown at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. GFP ( 488 nm ) laser power at $10.4 \%$, EM gain 595, and exposure 360 ms . TagRFP ( 561 nm ) laser power at $5.5 \%$, EM gain 570 , and exposure 150 ms .
- Venus::INFT-2 (Fig. 2C, D) grown at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CFP ( 445 nm ) laser power at $1.0 \%$, EM gain 650 , and exposure 400 ms . YFP $(514 \mathrm{~nm})$ laser power at $15.0 \%$, EM gain 605 , and exposure 700 ms . TagRFP $(561 \mathrm{~nm})$ laser power at $10.0 \%$, EM gain 600 , exposure 700 ms .
- CYK-1::GFP (Fig. 2E) grown at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. GFP ( 488 nm ) laser power at $10.0 \%$, EM gain 521, and exposure 600 ms . TagRFP ( 561 nm ) laser power at $5.0 \%$, EM gain 570 , and exposure 260 ms .
- Venus::CYK-1 (Fig. 2F) grown at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CFP ( 445 nm ) laser power at $1.0 \%$, EM gain 650, and exposure 400 ms . YFP $(514 \mathrm{~nm})$ laser power at $14.4 \%$, EM gain 605 , and exposure 700 ms . TagRFP ( 561 nm ) laser power at $10.0 \%$, EM gain 685, exposure 685 ms .
- Venus::INFT-2 (Fig. 4C, D) in wild-type subjected to temperature shift $\left(15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ as control. CFP $(445 \mathrm{~nm})$ laser power at $1.0 \%$, EM gain 260 , and exposure 400 ms . YFP ( 514 nm ) laser power at $10.0 \%$, EM gain 600 , and exposure 650 ms . TagRFP ( 561 nm ) laser power at $10.0 \%$, EM gain 590, exposure 320 ms .
- Venus::INFT-2 (Fig. 4C, D) in cyk-1(ts) subjected to temperature shift $\left(15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to reduce CYK-1 function. CFP ( 445 nm ) laser power at $1.0 \%$, EM gain 260 , and exposure 350 ms . YFP $(514 \mathrm{~nm})$ laser power at $10.0 \%$, EM gain 600 , and exposure 650 ms . TagRFP ( 561 nm ) laser power at $3.0 \%$, EM gain 590, exposure 400 ms .
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