A

|  | MpFER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Identity | Malectin | ECD | Full protein |
| AtFER | 33.5 | 31.0 | 43.3 |
| AtHERK2 | 31.7 | 31.8 | 45.7 |
| AtANX2 | 30.6 | 27.2 | 40.3 |
| AtANX1 | 29.8 | 26.5 | 39.8 |
| AtTHE1 | 29.2 | 28.4 | 46.0 |

C

| \% Identity | ECD CpRLK1 | CpRLK1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| MpFER | 25.7 | 29.2 |
| Mp1g17720 | 35.3 | 45.7 |
| AtFER | 28.0 | 41.5 |

D

| RMSD | AtFER |
| :--- | :---: |
| MpFER | 0.139 |
| CpRLK1 | 2.347 |
| CHBRA125g00560 | 0.352 |




Fig. S1. The CrRLK1L Family Appeared together with Land Plants. Related to Fig. 1.
(A) Percentage of identity of the malectin-like domain, the complete ECD, and the full protein of MpFER with AtFER, AtHERK2, AtANX2, AtANX1, and AtTHE1. (B) A rooted neighbour-joining tree of the amino acid sequence of the predicted malectin-like domains was generated using ClustalW. CrRLK1L members from Marchantia polymorpha (Mp), Physcomitrium patens (Pp), Selaginella moellendorffii (Smoe), and Arabidopsis thaliana (At) were used. Algal CpRLK1 and CHBRA125g00560, as well as Mp1g17720 (Mapoly001s0111) were also included. The numbers indicate the bootstrap values (\%) from 1000 replications. The given scale represents a substitution frequency of 0.1 amino acids per site.
(C) Percentage of identity of the ECD and full protein of CpRLK1 with MpFER, AtFER, and Mp1g17720 (Mapoly001s0111).
(D) RMSD values for prediction of MpFER, CpRLK1, and CHBRA125g00560 3D structures of the ECD based on the AtFER ECD.
(E) Structural superposition of the ECD of AtFER (blue) and CpRLK1 (purple).
(F) Structural superposition of the ECD of AtFER (blue) and CHBRA125g00560 (orange) from Chara brunii.

A
MpmiR160 Mp1g26670
GCACCTCCTCTCTCCGACTGCAGCCCGTTTCGAGATCCGAGGACTTGCTCGACGCGACTAATTGGGGAGGCCAGACTG CACTTGCCTGGCTCCCTGTATGCCAACTGAGGAGCTCCTCAGAGACCTTGACAGGCTCCGTAGCTGGCATTCAGGGGG CCATGCAGGAGGAAGTCGCTACCTCCCGCAAGGTGCGACTAGCTTTCTGTCTTGGGTGCACACCTCACTGATGTTTGA TAGATtTACTTA
amiR-MpFER1
TGCAAGCTTGCACCTCСTСTСTCCGACTGCAGCCCGTTTCGAGATCCGAGGACTTGCTCGACGCGACTAATTGGGGAG GCCAGACTGCACTTGACGTAGGCAAACTTGTCGAACTGAGGAGCTCCTCAGAGACCTTGACAGGCTCCGTAGCTCGAC ATGTTTGTCTACCTCAGGAGGAAGTCGCTACCTCCCGCAAGGTGCGACTAGCTTTCTGTCTTGGGTGCACACCTCACT GATGTTTGATAGATTTACTTAGGATCCATA
amiR-MpFER2
TGCAAGCTTGCACCTCCTCTCTCCGACTGCAGCCCGTTTCGAGATCCGAGGACTTGCTCGACGCGACTAATTGGGGAG GCCAGACTGCACTTCGATGTCGAAAATCCAGGTGACTGAGGAGCTCCTCAGAGACCTTGACAGGCTCCGTAGCCACCT GCATTTTTGACAACGAGGAGGAAGTCGCTACCTCCCGCAAGGTGCGACTAGCTTTCTGTCTTGGGTGCACACCTCACT GATGTTTGATAGATTTACTTAGGATCCATA
amiR-MpFER3
TGCAAGCTTGCACCTCCTCTCTCCGACTGCAGCCCGTTTCGAGATCCGAGGACTTGCTCGACGCGACTAATTGGGGAG GCCAGACTGCACTTCCGAACTTCCTCAGAGACTCACTGAGGAGCTCCTCAGAGACCTTGACAGGCTCCGTAGCGAGTC TGTGAGGGAGTTGGGAGGAGGAAGTCGCTACCTCCCGCAAGGTGCGACTAGCTTTCTGTCTTGGGTGCACACCTCACT GATGTTTGATAGATTTACTTAGGATCCATA


Fig. S2. Design of amiR-MpFER Precursors. Related to Fig. 3.
(A) MpmiR160 (Mapoly0002s0211, Mp1g26670) and amiR-MpFER1, amiR-MpFER2, and amiR-MpFER sequences. miRNA sequences are in red and miRNA* in blue. Cloning sequences from amiR-MpFER constructs are in bold.
(B) Drawing of the minimum free energy structure of MpmiR160 and amiR-MpFER3 constructs predicted by the RNAfold web server (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at//cgi-
bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi). Red arrows indicate location and orientation of the mature miRNA in the precursor. The structures are coloured by base-pairing probabilities; for unpaired regions the colour denotes the probability of being unpaired.


Fig. S3. Reduction in Thallus and Cell Area in Plants with Reduced MpFER
Levels. Related to Figs. 3 and 5.
(A) Violin plot of thallus area of wild-type (WT), Mpfer-1, and amiR-MpFER3-2 plants at different days after putting gemmae on plates. $n=30$. Areas were estimated using ImageJ software.
(B) Relative expression level of MpFER in 14 day-old WT and Mpfer-1 gemmalings, as measured by qRT-PCR. MpEF1 was used as internal control. Shown are means $\pm$ standard error of the mean (SEM) of three biological replicates. Statistical analysis was performed by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) follow by a post-hoc Duncan test, no significant difference was observed.
(C) Schematic of MpFER transcripts in WT (upper) and Mpfer-1 plants (lower).
(D) Pictures of growing rhizoids at different time points (in h). Yellow arrows indicate a growing rhizoid in Mpfer-1 line that burst between 3 and 19 h .
(E) Representative images from cell surface areas measured in WT, amiR-MpFER21, and amiR-MpFER3-2 plants. Scale bar, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(F) Violin plot of cell areas of WT, amiR-MpFER2-1, and amiR-MpFER3-2 plants.

Difference is significant based on the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test and a linear regression model with a highly significant interaction ( $p<0.001$ ). Orange circles indicate the group mean and the corresponding vertical bars the standard deviation for each group.
(G) Induction of antheridiophores in WT and two independent amiR-MpFER3 lines.

Three plants were grown in each sterile plastic box under far-red light induction.


Fig. S4. MpRALF Peptides Belong to the AtRALF1-clade of the RALF Family.

## Related to Fig. 6.

(A) A rooted neighbour-joining tree of the amino acid sequence of the predicted mature RALF peptides was generated using ClustalW. RALF members from M. polymorpha and A. thaliana were used. Red arrows indicate RALFs that are known ligands of CrRLK1Ls. The numbers indicate the bootstrap values (\%) from 1000 replications. The given scale represents a substitution frequency of 0.1 amino acids per site. (B) Amino acid sequence comparison of AtRALF1 and MpRALF1-3. Predicted signal peptides are in black, predicted mature peptides in light blue, conserved Cys in red, and predicted S1P recognition sites in violet.
(C) Processing pathway AtRALF1 by the S1P protease.
(D) A rooted neighbour-joining tree of the amino acid sequence of the S1P orthologs was generated using ClustalW. S1P members from M. polymorpha, P. patens, and A. thaliana were used. The numbers indicate the bootstrap values (\%) from 1000 replications. The given scale represents a substitution frequency of 0.1 amino acids per site.


Fig. S5. Two LORELEI-like Proteins Are Encoded in the M. polymorpha Genome. Related to Fig. 6.
(A) A rooted neighbor-joining tree of the amino acid sequence of LRE orthologs was generated using ClustalW. LRE members from M. polymorpha, P. patens, and A.
thaliana were used. The numbers indicate the bootstrap values (\%) from 1000 replications. The given scale represents a substitution frequency of 0.1 amino acids per site.
(B) Amino acid sequence of AtLRE, MpLRE1, and MpLRE2. Conserved Cys are in light blue, the ND motif in red, and the GPI-anchoring site in green.
(C) Cartoon representation of the predicted 3-dimensional structure of MpLRE1, showing predicted alpha-helices.
(D) Structural superposition of AtLGG2 (blue) and MpLRE1 (green).
(E) Cartoon representation of the predicted 3-dimensional structure of the MpFER/MpLRE1/MpRALF1 complex, showing predicted alpha-helices and betasheets.
(F) Heatmap depicting relative gene expression based on RNAseq data (row-Z-score of vs normalized counts) of MpFER and the . polymorpha orthologs of AtMRI, AtRALF1, and AtLRE across different tissues. Vegetative and reproductive tissues are grouped by green and orange, respectively. Averaged expression values are represented with colours of increasing red and blue intensity indicating upregulation and downregulation of gene expression, respectively.


H


Fig. S6. Expression of $\mathrm{Mp}_{\mathrm{MRI}} \mathrm{R}^{240 C}$ Suppresses the Bursted Rhizoid Phenotype of amiR-MpFER3 Lines but Leads to Aberrant Epidermis Development. Related to Figs. 6,7.
(A to C) Epidemical pictures of thalli from the wild-type (WT) (A), and amiR-MpFER3 + proMpMRI:MpMRIR240C lines \#6 (B) and \#8 (C), which both partially suppressed the bursting rhizoid phenotype (Fig. 6).
( D and E ) Relative expression of $\operatorname{MpFER}(\mathrm{D})$ and $\mathrm{MpMRI}(\mathrm{E})$ against the geometric mean of the reference genes MpACT1, MpACT7, and MpAPT3 in WT, amiR-MpFER32, and 5 lines (\#5 to \#9) co-transformed with the amiR-MpFER3-2 and proMpMRI:MpMRIR240C constructs. Expression levels of three biological replicates were assessed by droplet digital PCR (ddPCR). The y-axis corresponds to the log2-ratio between the test and the geometric mean of the reference genes. Shown are means $\pm$ SEMs of three biological replicates. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a post-hoc Duncan test (*P $<0.01$ ).
(F) Representative pictures of 10-day old gemmalings of wild-type (WT) and two different lines overexpressing MpFER (proMpEF1:MpFER-Cit). Scale bar, 1 mm .
(G) Western blot analysis of proMpEF1:MpFER-Cit lines from Fig. S6A using an antiGFP antibody. WT lines were used as negative controls. The Ponceau membrane staining of the most intense band at 55 kDa (presumably Rubisco) was used as a loading control.
(H) Representative images of the antheridial receptacle of WT and proMpEF1:MpFERCit plants. Scale bar, 2 mm.

Table S1. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR, ddPCR, and 3' RACE-PCR

| Name | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| MpFER | CGAGGAGCATTGCGAGATG |
| MpFER | AGGTCGGTGCCGTAGAGATG |
| MpEF1a | AGGTTGTCACCATGGGAAAGGAGA |
| MpEF1a | TCACACGCTTGTCAATACCTCCCA |
| MpMRI | TGGCAGCTCGTCTCCACTCT |
| MpMRI | AGTCATGGCGTACTCGGGTG |
| MpACT7 | AGGCATCTGGTATCCACGAG |
| MpACT7 | ACATGGTCGTTCCTCCAGAC |
| MpACT1 | GAGCGCGGTTACTCTTTCAC |
| MpACT1 |  |
| CGACATGGACGGCCTGGAGAGGGGAG |  |
| MpAPT3 | CGAAAGCCCAAGAAGCTACC |
| MpAPT3 | GTACCCCCGGTTGCAATAAG |
| 3'RACE-Fwd1 | AACGGTGGTTGGATGGTCGATTAG |
| 3'RACE-Fwd2 |  |
| Oligo(dC) | CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCVN |

Table S2. List of primers used for cloning

| Name | Target | Sequence |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| proMpFER F | MpFER | TAGTTGGAATGGGTTCGAATGCTGTCGACCACTGACTTC |
| proMpFER R | MpFER | TTATGGAGTTGGGTTCGAAGTAGTGTATCCTCCAGCCGCTTT |
| MpFER F | MpFER | GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCT- <br> AGAGCCCAAGGAGGAAGGGCGACCA |
| MpFER R | MpFER | GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTT- <br> CCTTCCTTGAGGGTTCACCAGCTG |

Table S3. List of publicly available RNA-seq samples downloaded from SRA and used in this study. Sample list of publicly available RNA-seq samples that were used in this study.

The table also includes the tissue classification in which every sample was grouped with, their corresponding phase (vegetative vs sexual), and the genotype they correspond two. BC
= Back Crossed to TAK1 genotype followed by the number of back crosses.

| SRR_ID | SRX_ID | Organism | Tissue Group | Phase | Strain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SRR896228 | SRX301558 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Thallus | Vegetative | Tak1 |
| SRR896225 | SRX301555 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Archegoniophore | Sexual | Tak2BC4 |
| SRR896226 | SRX301560 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Thallus | Vegetative | Tak1 |
| SRR896229 | SRX301557 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Thallus | Vegetative | Tak1 |
| SRR896224 | SRX301559 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Sporeling | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2BC4 |
| SRR896230 | SRX301553 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Antheridiophore | Sexual | Tak1 |
| SRR896227 | SRX301554 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Thallus | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2BC4 |
| SRR896223 | SRX301556 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Sporophyte | Sexual | Tak1xTak2BC4 |
| SRR971246 | SRX346276 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Archegoniophore | Sexual | Tak2 |
| SRR971244 | SRX346274 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Thallus | Vegetative | Tak2 |
| SRR971248 | SRX346277 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Antheridiophore | Sexual | Tak1 |
| SRR971249 | SRX346278 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Archegoniophore | Sexual | Tak2 |
| SRR971243 | SRX346272 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Thallus | Vegetative | Tak1 |
| SRR971245 | SRX346275 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Antheridiophore | Sexual | Tak1 |
| SRR1553299 | SRX682817 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Sporophyte | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| SRR1552617 | SRX682160 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2 |
| SRR1553294 | SRX682811 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Apical_Notch | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2 |
| SRR1553297 | SRX682815 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Sporophyte | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| SRR1553296 | SRX682814 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Apical_Notch | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2 |
| SRR1553276 | SRX682793 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2 |
| SRR1553295 | SRX682813 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Apical_Notch | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2 |
| SRR1553298 | SRX682816 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Sporophyte | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050343 | DRX045349 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050346 | DRX045352 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Antheridiophore | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050347 | DRX045353 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Antheridiophore | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050348 | DRX045354 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Antheridiophore | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050353 | DRX045359 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Archegoniophore | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050344 | DRX045350 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050351 | DRX045357 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Archegoniophore | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050349 | DRX045355 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Antheridium | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050352 | DRX045358 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Archegoniophore | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050345 | DRX045351 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR050350 | DRX045356 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Antheridium | Sexual | Tak1xTak2 |
| DRR118950 | DRX111959 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak1 |
| DRR118945 | DRX111954 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak2BC3 |
| DRR118951 | DRX111960 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak1 |
| DRR118943 | DRX111952 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak2BC3 |
| DRR118944 | DRX111953 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak2BC3 |
| DRR118949 | DRX111958 | M.Polymorpha;WT | Whole_Plant | Vegetative | Tak1 |

Table S4. Quality estimation values generated during the modelling processes. For each target protein, several templates were considered for model comparison and validation. General Model Quality Estimate (GMQE) score and the QMEAN DIsCo score are generated by SWISS-MODEL web server [60]: higher values indicate better accuracy in model prediction. RMSD stands for root-mean-square deviation and measures the average distance between the atoms of paired superimposed proteins, thus, the lower the values, the more similar is the modelled protein to the template.

| Template Gene | Target Gene | Template PDB | GMQE | QMEAN DisCo | RMSD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AtFER | MpFER | 6a5b.1.A | 0.66 | $0.71 \pm 0.05$ | 0.139 |
| AtANX1 | MpFER | 6fig.1.A | 0.64 | $0.68 \pm 0.05$ | 0.144 |
| AtANX2 | MpFER | 6fih.1.A | 0.58 | $0.62 \pm 0.05$ | 0.104 |
| AtLLG1 | MpLRE1 | 6a5d.2.A | 0.52 | $0.70 \pm 0.10$ | 0.11 |
| AtLLG2 | MpLRE1 | 6a5e.1.B | 0.45 | $0.63 \pm 0.11$ | 0.14 |
| AtLLG1 | MpLRE2 | 6a5d.2.A | 0.44 | $0.67 \pm 0.05$ | 0.15 |
| AtLLG2 | MpLRE2 | 6a5e.1.B | 0.34 | $0.57 \pm 0.09$ | 0.18 |
| AtFER | CpRLK1 | 6a5b.1.A | 0.45 | $0.51 \pm 0.05$ | 2.347 |
| AtANX1 | CpRLK1 | 6fig.1.A | 0.48 | $0.52 \pm 0.05$ | 3.038 |
| AtANX2 | CpRLK1 | 6fih.1.A | 0.49 | $0.52 \pm 0.05$ | 1.721 |
| AtFER | CHBRA125g00560 | 6a5b.1.A | 0.58 | $0.61 \pm 0.05$ | 0.352 |
| AtANX1 | CHBRA125g00560 | 6fig.1.A | 0.59 | $0.59 \pm 0.05$ | 0.41 |
| AtANX2 | CHBRA125g00560 | 6fih.1.A | 0.59 | $0.59 \pm 0.05$ | 0.481 |

