## Supplementary material file 1

## Bead implantation in chick limb buds

Heparin beads (Sigma) were soaked in $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of recombinant human FGF4 (R\&D Systems) for 30 min on ice. Affi-Gel blue beads (Biorad) were soaked with $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of TGF- $\beta 2$ (R\&D Systems), with 10 mM of PD184352 (PD18) (Axon Medchem) or SIS3 (Merck) chemical inhibitors. FGF4 or TGF $\beta 2$ beads were grafted into the right wings of chick embryos at E3/E4 (HH19/HH21). TGF $32+$ PD18 beads were grafted together in limbs of E3/E4 chick embryos. FGF4+SIS3 beads were grafted together in limbs of E3/E4 chick embryos. Embryos were harvested 4, 6 or 24 h after grafting, and grafted right and contralateral left limbs were processed for in situ hybridisation to sections or for RT-q-PCR analysis. The left wings from the same embryos were used as controls.

## Production and grafting mFgf4/RCAS-expressing cells to chick limb buds

$\mathrm{mFg} 4 / \mathrm{RCAS}$-expressing cells were prepared for grafting as previously described (EdomVovard et al., 2002). Cell pellets were grafted in the middle of the right wings of E3.5/HH21 chick embryos. The embryos were fixed 4 days after grafting at E7.5 and processed for in situ hybridisation to sections or for RT-q-PCR analysis. The left wings from the same embryos were used as controls.

## Chick and mouse limb explant cultures

Limb buds were dissected from E3(HH18/19) and E5(HH25/26) chick embryos and from E9.5 mouse embryos and cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in Optimem medium. For 24 h explants, limbs were embedded in collagen gel as described in (Placzek and Dale, 1999). Limb explants were treated with recombinant human TGF $\beta 2$ (R\&D Systems) for 6 h or 24 h
at $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ or with FGF4 (R\&D Systems) at $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$. The TGF $\beta 2$ signalling pathway was blocked using SB431542 (SB43, Selleck Chemicals) or SIS3 (Merck) chemical inhibitors. The MAPK ERK signalling pathway was blocked using PD184352 (PD18) chemical inhibitor (Axon Medchem). All inhibitors were diluted in DMSO (Fluka) and added to the medium at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (SB43), $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (SIS3) or $3.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (PD18), for 6 h or 24 h . As controls, we used media with DMSO for the chemical inhibitors and media with HCl for TGFß2. After treatments, experimental and control explants were fixed and processed for RT-q-PCR analyses.

## RNA isolation, reverse transcription and quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNAs were isolated from chick limbs, chick limb explants or mouse limb explants at different developmental stages as previously described (Havis et al., 2012). 500 ng of RNA was used as template for cDNA synthesis. Primer sequences used for RT-q-PCR are listed in supplementary material Table $S 1$. The relative mRNA levels were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The $\Delta$ Cts were obtained from Ct normalized with chick S17 and GAPDH for chick samples or with mouse $18 S$ and Gapdh mRNA levels for mouse samples. For HH18/19 and HH25/26 chick limb explants, we pooled 8 and 5 limb buds, respectively, to obtain enough material in one RNA sample. We pooled 14 E9 mouse limb buds to obtain enough material in RNA samples. Results were expressed as standard error of the mean. $P$ values were analysed by unpaired Student's $t$-test using Microsoft Excel. Asterisks in figures indicate the different P values * <0.05; ** <0.01 and $* * *<0.001$.

## In situ hybridisation and Immunohistochemistry

Control or manipulated chick limbs (E3 to E9) were fixed in farnoy (60\% ethanol 100, 30\% formaldehyde $37 \%$ and $10 \%$ acetic acid) and processed for in situ hybridisation to
wholemounts or to $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wax tissue sections with digoxigenin-labelled probes, which were detected with NBT/BCIP reagents (Havis et al., 2014). The antisense mRNA probes were used as described: ETV4 (Brent and Tabin, 2004), FGF4 (Niswander et al., 1994), mFgf4, FGF8 (Mahmood et al., 1995), SCX (Schweitzer et al., 2001), SPRY2 (Minowada et al., 1999), TGFB2 and TGFB3 (Merino et al., 1998). TNMD (chEST332f24) and THBS2 (ch972h17) are EST probes (SourceBioScience).
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## Supplementary Figures



Figure S1
SMAD2/3 inhibition in FGF4 gain-of function experiments does not modify $S C X$ activation by FGF4. FGF4 (A-D) or FGF4+SIS3 (E-H) beads were grafted to right forelimbs of E3/E4 (HH19/HH22) chick embryos. 6 hours after grafting, FGF4- or FGF4+SIS3-treated embryos were processed for in situ hybridisation analyses. (B-D, F-H) Transverse sections of manipulated embryos at the limb levels were hybridised with the SCX probe. FGF4 (A-D) or FGF4+SIS3 (E-H) beads activate SCX expression in right forelimbs (D,H) in a similar manner. This indicates that the blockade of the SMAD2/3 pathway using the SIS3 inhibitor does not modify the $S C X$ induction after FGF4 application.


## Figure S2

Inhibition of muscle contraction induces a delay of muscle development. Forelimbs of control (A,C,E,G,I) and DMB-treated (B,D,F,H,J) embryos fixed $48 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{D})(\mathrm{n}=2)$ or 72 h (E-J) ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) after control or DMB application were transversely sectioned at the level of the zeugopod and hybridised with MYOD (A,B, E-H) and SCX (C,D,I,J) probes. (A,C), (B,D), (G,I), (H,J) are adjacent sections hybridized with MYOD (A,B,G,H) and SCX (C,D,I,J) probes. (A-D) 48 h after DMB injection, muscle masses visualised by MYOD expression did not display any obvious defect (A,B), while $S C X$ expression was slightly downregulated (C,D). (E-J) 72 h after DMB injection, limb muscle development was delayed indicated by splitting defects in dorsal regions (F,H) compared to controls (E,G), at proximal (E,F) and distal (G,H) levels of zeugopod regions, while SCX expression was lost in zeugopod tendons $(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J})$. For all sections, dorsal is to the top and posterior is to the left. u, ulna, r , radius.


Figure S3
Muscle contraction is required to maintain $S C X$ expression in stylopod/zeugopod tendons in chick hindlimbs. (A-D) Hindlimbs of control (A,C) and DMB-treated (B,D) embryos fixed $48 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})(\mathrm{n}=6)$ or $72 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D})(\mathrm{n}=5)$ after control solution or DMB application were hybridised with SCX probe. (E) RT-q-PCR analyses of mRNA levels for SCX, ETV4 and SPRY2 genes in hindlimbs where digits were removed and in digits of DMBtreated embryos $(\mathrm{n}=15), 48 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{n}=10)$ and $72 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{n}=5)$ after DMB application. For each gene, the mRNA levels of control limbs were normalised to $1 . S C X$ expression was decreased in stylopod and zeugopod regions of hindlimbs of DMB-treated embryos assessed by in situ hybridisation and RT-q-PCR analyses. P values were analysed by two-tail and unpaired Student's $t$-test using Microsoft Excel. ${ }^{*} P<0.05$; $* * * P<0.001$; Error bars indicate s.e.m.


## Figure S4

SCX expression is downregulated in zeugopod forelimb regions following flaccid paralysis in PB-treated embryos. (A-F) Limbs of control (A,C,E) and PB-treated (B,D,F) embryos fixed $48 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})$ or $72 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F})$ after control solution or PB application were hybridised with $S C X$ probe. (A,B) 48 h after PB application, $S C X$ expression was slightly downregulated in PB-treated embryos (n=4). (C-F) 72 h after PB application, $S C X$ expression was downregulated in stylopod/zeugopod regions of forelimbs (D) and hindlimbs (F) compared to control limbs (n=4) (C,E). (G,H) Muscle paralysis did not show any obvious cartilage modification $(\mathrm{H})$ compared to control limbs (G).


## Figure $\mathbf{S 5}$

## Endogenous TGFB2 and TGFB3 expression in forelimbs of E7.5 chick embryos.

 Adjacent transverse forelimb sections of E7.5 embryos at the levels of the zeugopod (A-F), digits (G-L) and digit tips (M-R) were hybridised with the SCX (A,D,G,J,M,P), TGFB2 (B,E,H,K,N,Q) and TGFB3 (C,F,I,L,O,R) probes. (A-C), (G-I) and (M-O) are adjacent sections. (D-F), (J-L) and (P-R) are high magnifications of (A-C), (G-I) and (M-O) panels, respectively. TGFB2 was expressed in muscles but also in tendons (E,H,K,N, arrows) based on $S C X$ expression on adjacent sections (D,G,J,M, arrows). TGFB3 displayed a strong expression in muscles but also a faint expression in tendons (C,F,I,L, arrows). At digit tips, TGFB2 (N,Q) and TGFB3 (O,R) were observed in SCX expression domain (M,P) underneath the ectoderm (P-R, arrows). All forelimb sections are dorsal to the top and posterior to the left. u, ulna, r, radius.