

Supplementary Fig. S1. ftz-f1 and ets21c transcripts are upregulated in ras ${ }^{V 12} \operatorname{scrib}^{1}$ tumors in a JNK-Fos-dependent manner
Expression of both ets $21 c$ (ets $21 c^{\text {LONG }}$ and ets $21 c^{\text {SHORT }}$ ) and $f t z-f 1(\alpha-f t z-f 1$ and $\beta-f t z-f 1$ ) isoforms was upregulated in EAD bearing ras $^{V 12}$ scrib $b^{V 12}$ tumors in a JNK-dependent manner ( ras $^{V 12}$ scrib ${ }^{1} b s k^{D N}$ ), albeit to a different extent as shown by qRT-PCR. (B) Loss of kayak (kay ${ }^{3}$ ) rescued expression of ets21c and $f t z-f 1$ in $r a s^{V I 2} s c r i b^{l}$ tumors, mimicking the effect of JNK inhibition ( $r a s^{V 12} \operatorname{scrib}{ }^{1} b s k^{D N}$ ). Data are mean $\pm$ s.e.m.; $\mathrm{n}=3-5 ;{ }^{* * *} p<0.001 ;{ }^{* *} p<0.005 ;{ }^{*} p<0.01$.
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Supplementary Fig. S2. RNAi mediated knockdown of $f t z-f 1$ and $e t s 21 c$ does not affect normal eye development
(A-C) Knockdown of $f t z-f 1(\mathrm{~B})$ or ets $21 c^{\text {LONG }}(\mathrm{C})$ in clones of the EAD had no obvious impact on EAD morphology (marked by FasIII), photoreceptor differentiation (marked by ELAV) or adult eye development ( $A^{\prime}-C^{\prime}$ ). Images show EAD as projections of multiple confocal sections 6 days AEL. (D-E) Adult eyes of animals bearing ras ${ }^{V 12}$ scrib $^{1} f t z-f 1^{R N A i}$ are larger than control. Yet most of the ommatidia are GFP negative, indicating expansion of non-clonal tissue. Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (A-C).


Supplementary Fig. S3. JNK-mediated phosphorylation of Fos is critical to its oncogenic activity in ras ${ }^{V 12}$ scrib ${ }^{1}$ tumors
(A) Similar to fos inhibition via RNAi, expression of fos ${ }^{N-A l a}$ mutant bearing substitutions of the $\mathrm{N}-$ terminal JNK specific phosphorylation sites with alanine in ras $^{V 12} s c r i b^{1}$ clones markedly improved pupation rate ( $p<0.0001$ ) compared to animals bearing ras $^{V 12} s c r i b^{1}$ tumors. The graph shows cumulative percentage of pupae forming over time. Dashed lines are repeated from Fig. 3. (B) Introduction of fos ${ }^{N-A l a}$ into ras $^{V 12}$ scrib ${ }^{1}$ clones suppressed their invasiveness (see Fig. 3 for comparison with ras ${ }^{V 12}$ scrib ${ }^{l}$ ). Four grades of invasiveness were scored based on spreading of clonal GFP-positive cells into larval brains dissected on day 7 AEL. Results are percentage of brains falling into each category. (C) While overexpression of $f o s^{N-A l a}$ in $\mathrm{ras}^{V 12}$ scribl ${ }^{l}$ did not reduce the size of GFP-labeled clones, it partly rescued ELAV expression. (D) Pannier-GAL4 (pnr>) driven jun ${ }^{R N A i}$ recapitulates a previously reported thorax cleft phenotype (Jindra et al., 2004). (E-F) RNAi knockdown of jun in GFP-positive EAD clones results in a depletion of its protein product relative to the surrounding wild-type tissue. Images show EAD dissected 6 days AEL, either as projections of multiple confocal sections (C) or close-up single sections (C', E, F). Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (C), $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (C') and $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for (E, F).


Supplementary Fig. S4. Clonal overexpression of individual TFs is insufficient to induce MMP1 and causes no obvious phenotypes.
(A-E) Similar to GFP-labeled control EAD clones (A), overexpression of $\alpha$ - $f t z-f 1$ (B), $\beta$-ftz-fl (C), ets $21 c^{L O N G}(\mathrm{D})$ or $f o s^{W T}(\mathrm{E})$ affected neither clone size nor their morphology or photoreceptor differentiation marked by ELAV. (F-I) Similar to control (F), overexpression of $\alpha-f t z-f 1$ (G), $\beta$-ftz-fl (H) or ets $21 c^{\text {LONG }}(\mathrm{I})$ in clones of wing imaginal discs altered neither clonal morphology nor MMP1 expression ( $F^{\prime}$-I'). Images are projections of multiple confocal sections of EAD and wing discs on day 6 AEL. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (A-I) and $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ( $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}-\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ ).


Supplementary Fig. S5. Ets21c is sufficient to induce dilp8 and puc expression
(A-D) Overexpression of ets21c in the posterior compartment of the wing imaginal disc using engrailedGAL4, UAS-GFP driver ( $e n>G F P$ ) is sufficient to upregulate Dilp8::RFP expression (B') and activity of puc::lacZ reporter (D). Nuclei are visualized with DAPI. All images show wing disc 6 days AEL as projections of multiple confocal sections. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Supplementary Fig. S6. Tumors with compromised epithelial polarity share "Polarity response transcriptional signature"
(A-C) Venn diagrams depict overlap of deregulated genes between mosaic EAD bearing malignant ras $^{V 12}$ scrib $^{1}$ clones (our study), overgrown scrib ${ }^{l}$ mutant wing discs (Bunker et al., 2015) or abrupt scrib ${ }^{1}$ EAD clonal tumors (Turkel et al., 2013). To determine the intersections between different gene expression datasets, we used cut-off of $+/-1.5$-fold change compared to control for all datasets. The percentages of overlap are given from the perspective of genes regulated in $\mathrm{ras}^{V 12} \operatorname{scrib}^{1}$ that match the other datasets. Contingency tables provide information about directionality of the expression of common transcripts and serve to calculate the significance of overlap using a two-tailed Fisher Exact Probability test. For list of genes see Table S1.


Supplementary Fig. S7. Fos is the master regulator of MMP1 expression
(A-F) Loss of Fos resulted in dramatic downregulation of MMP1 in clonal tissue while knockdown of ets2lc or $f t z-f 1$ or both had no effect. All images show EAD 6 days AEL as projections of multiple confocal sections. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (A-F).

## Supplementary tables

Supplementary Table S1. List of differentially expressed genes, enriched GO term clusters, and TF motif analysis and comparative analysis of tumor transcriptomes

Click here to Download Table S1

Supplementary Table S2. Primer sets for quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) and cloning of ets $21 c^{L O N G}$

|  | Forward primers ( $\left.5^{\prime}=>3^{\prime}\right)$ | Reverse primers ( $5^{\prime}=>3^{\prime}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | qRT-PCR |  |
| rp49 | TCCTACCAGCTTCAAGATGAC | CACGTTGTGCACCAGGAACT |
| ets $21 c^{\text {SHORT }}$ | ATGAGCGTCAGCGTGGACG | GAAGATCATCGAGGTGTGCGGATG |
| $e t s 21 c^{\text {LONG }}$ | ATTAATGCCATGCATCAGGATGTCCG | GTGGGAACTTCCGTCTCCTTCG |
| $e t s 21 c$ | ACATGAACTACGACAAGCTGAGCC | CGTGCACCTTGGTCATGATGTTCT |
| $\alpha-f t z-f 1$ | GGATACCTTCAATGTACCTATGC | GATGATGGGGCATTAGGAGTTG |
| $\beta-f t z-f 1$ | GACCGTACAGTTTATATCGTCGC | CGTGTAGGCATTGTATTCGTGC |
| ftz-f1 | TCCCTACTGCCGATTCCAGAAG | TGTACATGGGTCCGAATTTGTTGC |
| dilp8 | GCACAACAAGCATCACTACATCA | GTTGTAGGACCTGCTCGAGTG |
| mmp1 | AGGGCGACAAGTACTACAAGCTGA | ACGTCTTGCCGTTCTTGTAGGTGA |
| puc | CTTCGTCACATGCCAGATTCTC | AGGCTACTACAGTTAAAAAAGGC |
| cher | ACAAACCCGTGATCCAGGACAA | AGGCCGGGTCCGTAGGCAG |
| $u p d 3$ | AGTGAGCACCAAGACTCTGGACAT | GTGGCGAAGGTTCAACTGTTTGCT |
|  | pTMW UAS-based construct |  |
| $e t s 21 c^{\text {LONG }}$ | AAGAATTCTAATGGCCATTCTACAGAATAGCCGC | AACTCGAGTCAGTTGAATGCATTTGTGGTGG |

Disease Models \& Mechanisms 8: doi:10.1242/dmm.020719: Supplementary information

## Supplementary Table S3. List of genotypes used for clonal analyses

| MOSAIC ANALYSES |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| hsFLP; act $>y^{+}>$GALA, UAS-GFP/CyO | ey-FLPI; act $>y^{+}>$GALA, UAS-GFP; FRT82B, Tub-GAL80 |
| $w^{1118}$ | w;; FRT82B |
| $w ; ~ U A S-\alpha-f t z-f 1$ | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {Vl2 }}$; FRT82B/TM6B |
| w; UAS- $\beta$-fz-f1 | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {Vl2 }}$; FRT82B scrib $/$ /TM6B |
| w; UAS-Myc-ets $21 c^{\text {LONG }}$ | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }}$; FRT82B scrib ${ }^{1}$ UAS-bsk ${ }^{\text {DN/ } / T M 6 B ~}$ |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }} ;$ FRT82B scrib $^{1}$ UAS-fos ${ }^{\text {S5/19 }}$ RNAi/TM6B |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }}$; FRT82B scribl kay $^{3} / T M 6 B$ |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }}$ UAS-jun ${ }^{\text {RNAi }}$; FRT82B scrib ${ }^{1}$ /TM6B |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }}$; FRT82B scrib ${ }^{1}$ UAS-fzz-f1 ${ }^{\text {RNAi }} / T M 6 B$ |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }}$ UAS-ets $21 c^{\text {LONG RNAi }}$; FRT82B scrib ${ }^{1 / T M 6 B}$ |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {l12 }}$ UAS- $\alpha$-ftz-fl; FRT82B/TM6B |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {Vl2 }}$ UAS- $\beta$-ftz-fl; FRT82B/TM6B |
|  | w; UAS-Myc-ets $21 c^{\text {LONG }} ;$ FRT82B/TM6B |
|  | w;; UAS-ftz-f1 ${ }^{\text {RNAi }}$ FRT82B/TM6B |
|  | w; UAS-ets21c ${ }^{\text {LONG RNAi }}$; FRT82B/TM6B |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {l12 }}$ UAS-ets $21 c^{\text {LONG RNAi }}$; FRT82B scribl kay $^{3} / T M 6$ B |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }}$ UAS-ets $21 c^{\text {LONG RNAi }} ;$ FRT82B scrib ${ }^{1}$ UAS-ftz-f1 $1^{\text {RNAi }} / T M 6 B$ |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }}$ UAS-fos ${ }^{\text {wT }}$; FRT82B/TM6B |
|  | w; UAS- $\alpha$-ftz-fl; FRT82B/TM6B |
|  | w; UAS- $\beta$-ftz-fl; FRT82B/TM6B |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }}$ UAS-Myc-ets $21 c^{\text {LONG }}$; FRT82B/TM6B |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 }}$ UAS-Myc-ets21c ${ }^{\text {LONG }} ;$ FRT82B UAS-bsk ${ }^{\text {DN/ } / T M 6 B ~}$ |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {Vl2 }}$ ex::lacZ; FRT82B scrib ${ }^{1 / T M 6 B}$ |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{V 12}$ ex:: lacZ; FRT82B scrib ${ }^{1}$ UAS-ftz-f1 INAi/ $_{\text {RM }}$ 6B |
|  | w; UAS-ras ${ }^{\text {V12 ex: }}$ :lacZ; FRT82B scribl ${ }^{1}$ kay $^{3}$ TM 6 B |

