Mitochondrial import, health and mtDNA copy number variability seen when using type II and type V CRISPR effectors
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ABSTRACT
Current methodologies for targeting the mitochondrial genome for research and/or therapy development in mitochondrial diseases are restricted by practical limitations and technical inflexibility. A molecular toolbox for CRISPR-mediated mitochondrial genome editing is desirable, as this could enable targeting of mtDNA haplotypes using the precision and tuneability of CRISPR enzymes. Such ‘MitoCRISPR’ systems described to date lack reproducibility and independent corroboration. We have explored the requirements for MitoCRISPR in human cells by CRISPR nuclease engineering, including the use of alternative mitochondrial protein targeting sequences and smaller paralogues, and the application of guide (g)RNA modifications for mitochondrial import. We demonstrate varied mitochondrial targeting efficiencies and effects on mitochondrial dynamics/function of different CRISPR nucleases, with Lachnospiraceae bacterium ND2006 (Lb) Cas12a being better targeted and tolerated than Cas9 variants. We also provide evidence of Cas9 gRNA association with mitochondria in HeLa cells and isolated yeast mitochondria, even in the absence of a targeting RNA aptamer. Our data link mitochondrial-targeted LbCas12a/crRNA with increased mtDNA copy number dependent upon DNA binding and cleavage activity. We discuss reproducibility issues and the future steps necessary for MitoCRISPR.
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INTRODUCTION
Mitochondria are crucial for the maintenance of cellular energy levels. In humans, all 37 genes contained within mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) encode or aid biosynthesis of proteins involved in the OXPHOS pathway (DiMauro and Schon, 2003). Despite mtDNA contributing only ~1% of mitochondrial proteins – the remainder being encoded in the nucleus – four of the five OXPHOS complexes contain mtDNA-encoded subunits. Consequently, mutations in both nuclear and mitochondrial genomes can result in mitochondrial diseases with a range of clinical manifestations.

Mitochondrial diseases are currently incurable, with a lack of understanding of the complexities of mitochondrial genetics and mitochondrial biology impeding identification of targets for the development of treatments (Rahman and Rahman, 2018). Existing therapies mainly focus on symptom management through exercise and dietary supplements (Chicani et al., 2013; DiMauro and Hirano, 2009). Thus, the development of mitochondrial molecular genetics techniques that could be used therapeutically for mitochondrial diseases remains an important challenge.

Inherited disease-causing mtDNA mutations have been shown to be either homoplasmic, where near to 100% of mtDNA copies carry the mutation, or heteroplasmic, where the mutation is carried by a subset of the total mtDNA. In general, mutation load above ~70% is required to present a severe phenotype, although this threshold is disease specific. Molecular tools to edit mtDNA in order to correct disease-associated mutations are particularly desirable, and this approach has now been demonstrated using a split bacterial deaminase (DddA) for targeted C/G to T/A conversions in double-stranded (ds)DNA (Mok et al., 2020). While this method is in its infancy, the field has developed targeted endonucleases to produce dsDNA breaks in mtDNA haplotypes, which are then degraded by the mitochondria rather than being repaired (Moreton et al., 2017; Peeva et al., 2018). The remaining wild-type (WT) mtDNA then replicates to re-establish mtDNA copy number. This ‘heteroplasmy purification’ has been successfully demonstrated in cell culture and in mouse models using restriction endonucleases (MitoREs), zinc finger nucleases (MitoZFNs), TALENs (MitoTALENs) and homing endonucleases (Gammage et al., 2018; Patananan et al., 2016). However, although independently validated (Phillips et al., 2017), these tools are impractical and not widely adopted, because (i) REs match few clinical mutations and cannot be readily re-engineered (Bogdanove et al., 2018), and they additionally have high ‘off-target’ cleavage rates (Bayona-Bafaluy et al., 2005; Srivastava and Moraes, 2001); (ii) ZFNs require rounds of protein engineering/refinement; (iii) assembly of TALE parts is hindered by problematic cloning of DNA repeats; and (iv) TALENs and ZFNs can have low import efficiency, and can be mis-trafficked (e.g. ZFs have internal nuclear targeting sequences) (Hashimoto et al., 2015; Minczuk et al., 2006; Moraes et al., 2014). To overcome some of these difficulties, we have investigated the use of a re-engineered flexible version of the CRISPR method for mitochondrial genome manipulation (Fig. 1A).

CRISPR systems have revolutionised molecular genetics by providing a quick and convenient method to efficiently target proteins to almost any short DNA sequence (Sternberg and Doudna, 2015). In this system, CRISPR guide (g)RNAs target effector nucleases to form a DNA/RNA hybrid (R-loop) at the target sequence, displacing the non-complementary DNA strand and cleaving the two strands of DNA. Binding is assisted by a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) that is recognised by the
CRISPR nuclease. There are several CRISPR-Cas system types from different species, of which the type II *Streptococcus pyogenes* (Spy) Cas9 and *Staphylococcus aureus* (SaCas9), and type V *Lachnospiraceae bacterium* (Lb) Cas12a and *Acidaminococcus sp.* (As) Cas12a have been tested in this study. Cas9 has been widely used for genome engineering. It comprises two nuclease domains, the RuvC-like and HNH domains, which generate blunt-ended DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) (Jinek et al., 2012; Mojica et al., 2009). Cas12a, also referred to as Cpf1, contains a single RuvC endonuclease domain that cleaves the two DNA strands in turn, resulting in a staggered DSB with a 5′ overhang (Swarts, 2019).

Steps towards development of CRISPR machinery for mtDNA have been described by others. Jo et al. first introduced a standard nuclear targeted CRISPR/Cas9 system to edit mtDNA (Jo et al., 2015). They unexpectedly reported that introducing nuclear-targeted Cas9 and unmodified gRNAs in HeLa cells resulted in mtDNA cleavage (Jo et al., 2015). However, there have not been any published applications of this tool, and attempts to reproduce
these data by us and by others (Pereira and Moraes, 2017) have not been successful. The second report was published by Orishchenko et al. using a FLAG–Cas9 targeted to fragmented mitochondria of viral-producing cells by appending a COX8A mitochondria-targeting sequence (MTS) (Orishchenko et al., 2016; Verechshagina et al., 2019). The paper showed only one cell in which anti-FLAG colocalised with very fragmented mitochondria, and did not describe any experiments to address mitochondrial gRNA localisation. As with the Jo et al. work, these data have not yet been independently corroborated. A recent publication on MitoCRISPR attempted to add mitochondrial-targeting structures to the gRNA for the first time (Loutre et al., 2018). The authors showed a decrease in mtDNA copy number with COX8A-Cas9 and gRNAs modified to contain the targeting sequences from 5S RNAs. Two gRNAs were required to produce a reduction of mtDNA copy number; a single target gRNA had no significant influence on mtDNA content. The work was carried out in a heteroplasmic cell line, but the authors did not observe a shift in heteroplasmy.

The explosion in gene editing research more widely has been driven by the simplicity, reproducibility and adaptability of CRISPR-Cas systems. Almost immediately after the first descriptions of CRISPR-Cas9, multiple labs demonstrated reliable gene editing by the facile recoding of a gRNA/crRNA spacer sequence. This has not so far been the case with MitoCRISPR. We suggest that ‘leaky’ mitochondria, possibly occurring during isolation, and/or failing mitochondrial proteostasis in Cas9-expressing cells, might explain some or all of the observations in these previous publications. It is critical that MitoCRISPR is fully characterised (mtDNA copy number reduction alone is not sufficient) and that there is clear evidence of reproducibility, validated by independent labs.

By designing and re-engineering several versions of CRISPR nuclease and gRNAs, including testing alternative MTSs, smaller paralogues, and the addition of mitochondrial targeting RNA aptamers, we have here assessed (i) CRISPR/Cas9 mitochondrial targeting and influence on mitochondrial dynamics/function; and (ii) DNA cleavage activity in a model mitochondrial disease cell line (mitochondrial encephalomyopathy, lactic acidosis and stroke-like episodes cytoplastic hybrids; MELAS cybrids). We explored the requirements for Cas9 gRNA association with mitochondria, including the influence of targeting RNA aptamers. Our study shows that SpyCas9 can be localised to mitochondria, but with low efficiency and causing severe mitochondrial network damage. However, LbCas12a was found to be efficiently expressed and targeted to the mitochondrial matrix via the TOM/TIM pathway in WT or MELAS (cybrids). Finally, we present our attempts to demonstrate an effect on mtDNA of a mitochondrial-targeted LbCas12a/crRNA combination; while we observed increases in mtDNA copy number in the MELAS disease model cybrid, dependent upon DNA binding and cleavage activity, this had poor reproducibility across independent validations and stable or prolonged Cas12a expression had deleterious effects on respiration. Our data emphasise the importance of CRISPR enzyme and mitochondrial targeting strategy selection, and further highlight how manipulation of the mitochondrial genome can have unpredictable outcomes in living cells.

RESULTS

Design of a MitoCRISPR system – targeting the CRISPR-Cas9 system to mitochondria

The development of a reliable MitoCRISPR system requires: (i) the targeted delivery of a CRISPR nuclease to the mitochondria by fusion to a peptide MTS; (ii) mitochondrial targeting of a CRISPR gRNA, possibly through fusion to a mitochondrial targeting domain; (iii) formation of a functional CRISPR ribonucleaseprotein (RNP) complex inside the mitochondrial matrix; and (iv) functional nuclease activity of the CRISPR RNP complex (Fig. 1A). For direct import of non-native proteins, a naturally occurring MTS is commonly cloned upstream of the protein of interest (Verechshagina et al., 2018). In the context of this project, CRISPR prods were delivered as DNA, either stably expressed through incorporation into the genome, or transiently expressed from a transfected plasmid.

In contrast to the mitochondrial protein import pathway, the import of mitochondrion RNA is poorly understood (Gammage et al., 2018). Mitochondrial import of CRISPR gRNA/crRNA therefore emerges as the critical challenge of developing MitoCRISPR. Several studies have described methods to import RNA into mitochondria, either harnessing natural mitochondrial RNA import machineries, or through non-natural means (Fig. 1B,C) (Dovydenko et al., 2015; Kolesnikova et al., 2010; Niazi et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). It has been proposed that short structured RNA sequences [aptamers; e.g. from tRNAs, non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) such as 5S ribosomal RNA, or the RNA component of RNase P] can be appended to an exogenous RNA for import (e.g. Comte et al., 2013; Loutre et al., 2018); however, there is no consensus of understanding, and the existence of a dedicated pathway for mitochondrial import of RNAs is debated (Dovydenko et al., 2015; Loutre et al., 2018). Several functional ncRNAs are believed to be imported into the mitochondria, including tRNAs, the 5S RNA, MRP RNA, RNaseP RNA and mitochondrial microRNAs (mitomiRs), and although whole transcriptome analyses of mitochondria and mitoplasts have confirmed the presence of these (Merce et al., 2011; Sripada et al., 2012), their mechanisms of import are not fully explored. Mitochondrial RNA import pathways differ from one system to another. Trypanosomes import all their tRNAs through the TOM/TIM system, whereas S. cerevisiae import just one, tARNAlys (Fig. 1B). Import of tRNAlys involves the protein factors enolase (ENO2P) and pre-LysRS, the pre-cursor of the mitochondrial lysyl-tRNA, acting in concert with a functional protein import machinery (Entelis et al., 2006; Kamenski et al., 2010).

In mammalian cells, mitochondrial import of 5S ribosomal RNA (rRNA), mitochondrial RNaseP RNA (MRP) and RNaseP RNA (RP) occurs by unknown mechanisms, although evidence implicates factors including rhodanese (Rhod) in the case of the 5S rRNA (Magalhães et al., 1998; Smirnov et al., 2010, 2008), and possibly PNPase during 5S, MRP and RP RNA import (Fig. 1B) (Holzmann et al., 2008; Puranam and Attardi, 2001; Wang et al., 2012). Despite conflicting evidence on the existence and mechanisms of mammalian RNA import, several groups have proposed minimal structural motifs that are sufficient to direct import (Fig. 1C). The FID1 RNA, derived from tRK1, is the structure found to have the highest import efficiency; a related control sequence, the AD RNA, does not have the same effect (Kolesnikova et al., 2010). Moreover, truncating RNase RP and MRP RNAs has allowed identification of similar ~20 nt RNA hairpins in both RP and MRP RNA that direct mitochondrial import. Attaching these hairpins to non-imported RNAs can target RNAs for import, as shown with GAPDH (Wang et al., 2010). For import of Cas9 gRNA or Cas12a crRNAs into mitochondria, we used either the FD, AD or RP motifs through attachment at the 5′ ends (Fig. 1B,C).
SpyCas9 gRNAs co-fractionate with mitochondria in HEK293 cells and isolated yeast mitochondria both with and without a targeting aptamer

The subcellular localisation of modified Cas9 gRNAs was determined by northern blotting. A cytoplasmic RNA (trnALeu) and a mitochondrial RNA (trnALys) were used as control markers. Probes designed against the corresponding transfected gRNA are described in the Materials and Methods section. Cells were transfected with unmodified gRNA, gRNA with 5′RP, and gRNA with 5′FD, and the hybridisation signal was determined using a probe for the hairpin region of the gRNA (Fig. 1D,E). Strong signals for all three gRNAs were observed in the nuclear fraction and, to a lesser extent, the mitochondrial fraction (Fig. 1F). The 5′FD gRNA produced the weakest signal in the mitochondrial fraction, contrasting with a stronger signal for the unmodified gRNA. Note that the cytoplasmic tRNA probe also gave a signal for the mitochondrial fraction, and both cytoplasmic and mitochondrial tRNA probes gave strong nuclear fraction signals (Fig. 1F), possibly due to contaminating mitochondria retained during fractionation.

To provide a sensitive method for following RNA import into isolated mitochondria, gRNAs were in vitro transcribed with 32P-UTP. Unmodified gRNA and a modified gRNA were incubated with mitochondria with or without a membrane potential (±VOA). This control was included in case the protein import pathway was somehow required for polynucleotide import. Following a 10-min incubation at 25°C, pellet mitochondria were treated with RNase to degrade non-imported RNA. RNA was then extracted from the yeast import mix by TRIzol extraction, and samples were separated on urea-PAGE (Fig. 1G). Both unmodified and 5′FD modified gRNAs were protected from RNase-mediated digestion, presumably by the mitochondrial membrane(s) (Fig. 1G). We cannot determine whether the gRNA protection is due to RNA protection by membrane association or genuine import. The absence of altered levels of protection in the presence of V0A suggest that in either case the membrane potential does not play a role, also excluding a role for TOM/TIM import pathway.

Our results indicate that gRNA can appear to be associated with mitochondria regardless of whether an RNA import aptamer was attached or not. This could provide support for the possibility that MitoCRISPR can be achieved without targeted import of the RNA. However, we note that these assays do not report on RNA import to the matrix. What our results clearly show is that association with mitochondrial membranes can protect RNA from RNases.

SpyCas9 is localised to mitochondria with low efficiency and causes mitochondrial damage

SpyCas9 was expressed from human codon optimised genes in two formats (Fig. 2A) – as nuclear-targeted SpyCas9 (NLS–SpyCas9–GFP) and mitochondria-targeted SpyCas9 (MTS–SpyCas9–GFP). A control protein, mitochondria-targeted GFP (MTS–GFP), was also included (Fig. 2A). We first tested the N-terminal MTS from human cytochrome c oxidase subunit viii (COX8A), one of the most widely used signal peptides comprising a 29-residue helix. Different transfection conditions, cell fixation methods and mitochondrial markers were used to assess the targeting efficiency and influence of mitochondria targeted Cas9 in human hTERT RPE1 cells (Fig. 2B–I). Cells transiently transfected with MTS–GFP showed mitochondrial GFP localisation confirming the ability of the COX8A MTS to direct proteins to the mitochondria under our experimental conditions (Fig. 2B,C). Using MTS–SpyCas9–GFP, some GFP signal was observed in the mitochondria of RPE1 cells following a 19-h transfection (Fig. 2B); however, the signal was weak, and many cells still showed nuclear and cytosolic GFP fluorescence. As expected, NLS–SpyCas9–GFP was mostly localised to the nucleus (Fig. 2B,C). Over longer expression periods using MTS–Cas9–GFP (up to 48 h), mitochondrial morphology was disrupted, and the GFP signal became progressively cytosolic (Fig. 2C,D).

Detailed analysis confirmed expected levels of mitochondrial colocalisation for each of these constructs (Fig. 2E), and revealed that MTS–SpyCas9–GFP, but not NLS–SpyCas9–GFP, significantly impacted on mitochondrial properties, as assessed by cytoplasmic occupancy (density; Fig. 2F), and mitochondrial network integrity (branch length; Fig. 2D,G). Live imaging of hTERT RPE1 cells stained with MitoTracker Red, suggested that, in a proportion of cells transfected with MTS–SpyCas9–GFP, mitochondrial membrane potential was severely disrupted (Fig. 2H); however, upon quantification of MitoTracker fluorescence, no significant differences from MTS–Cas9–GFP controls were recorded within the population of MTS–SpyCas9–GFP-positive cells (Fig. 2I). Cell-to-cell variability in MitoTracker intensity was probably caused by differences in MTS–SpyCas9–GFP expression levels, although this was not directly assessed. In general, mitochondria in the NLS–Cas9–GFP expressing cells were healthier, despite showing higher expression levels (Fig. 2H; asterisk indicates lower exposure time). Taken together, these results indicate that SpyCas9 is not efficiently targeted to mitochondria, and where targeted, is disruptive to mitochondrial homeostasis.

Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial import of various CRISPR-Cas systems

The established approach to determine the best CRISPR effector for gene editing applications is by empirical testing. Consequently, to improve CRISPR nuclease targeting efficiency and avoid the mitochondrial damage seen with SpyCas9, we tested three effectors previously validated for other methods; hence, SaCas9, and the type V effectors, LbCas12a and AsCas12a, were re-engineered as human codon optimised genes, and appended to alternative MTSs. The comparative probability of constitutive mitochondrial import was predicted for each variant using online tools (Fig. S1). There was no expectation for these proteins to be naturally imported to mitochondria, but there might be potential intrinsic features that would affect this process. Fig. S1A shows the domain organisation of SpyCas9, SaCas9 and LbCas12a. The overall structure and organisation of Cas9 and Cas12a are quite different (Yamano et al., 2016). LbCas12a has a RuvC-like endonuclease domain that is similar to the RuvC domain of Cas9; however, LbCas12a does not have an HNH endonuclease domain. One of the main structural differences is that the N-terminus of LbCas12a adopts a mixed α/β structure that is distinct to the N-terminal α-helical recognition lobe of Cas9 (Fig. S1A). Thus, this part of the protein might influence import to mitochondria. Moreover, using a bioinformatic prediction tool for identifying putative mitochondrial pre-sequences and cleavage sites (Fukasawa et al., 2015), LbCas12a was suggested to have a much higher predisposition to be imported to mitochondria than the other CRISPR variants (Fig. S1B).

Other parameters that might affect the efficiency of mitochondrial import of a construct were also analysed (Fig. S1C–E). When analysing their size, relative charge and hydrophobicity, LbCas12a and SaCas9 were predicted to be imported more efficiently, as they are smaller, more positively charged and less hydrophobic than AsCas12a or SpyCas9 (Fig. S1C). This could explain the poor mitochondrial targeting efficiency shown with SpyCas9 (Fig. 2).

Another parameter could be the linear charge distribution of the N-termini (Fig. S1D), since this will be the first region to be
Fig. 2. See next page for legend.
Fig. 2. Low SpyCas9 mitochondrial import efficiency is observed using a standard targeting sequence in hTERT-immortalised human RPE1 cells. (A) Diagram representing the constructs used. The nuclear-targeting signal (MLS) is shown in red, the mitochondrial-targeting signal (MTS) in yellow, linkers in grey and GFP in green. (B) RPE1 cells transfected with MTS–Cas9–GFP, NLS–Cas9–GFP or MTS–GFP. Cells were fixed with −20°C methanol and stained with anti-HSP60 antibodies (19 h post transfection). Some cells also have nuclear or cytosolic GFP signal. (C) RPE1 cells transfected with MTS–Cas9–GFP, NLS–Cas9–GFP or MTS–GFP. Cells were fixed with formaldehyde and stained with anti-TOM20 antibodies (48 h post transfection). Cytosolic Cas9 localisation is observed after transfection with mitoCas9–GFP. (D) Fragmented mitochondria are observed in MTS–Cas9–GFP-expressing cells (48 h post transfection). (E–G) Analysis of mitochondrial parameters in RPE1 cells expressing MTS–GFP, NLS–Cas9–GFP or MTS–Cas9–GFP. (H–I) Analysis of mitochondrial membrane potential assessed by MitoTracker fluorescence. Example fields to the left, showing a cell (outlined in MitoTracker image) expressing MTS–Cas9–GFP with reduced membrane potential; quantification is shown to the right. (*)=10-fold lower exposure time was applied. Results are mean±s.d.; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; ****P<0.0001; NS, not significant (one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s test). Scale bars: 10 µm. a.u., arbitrary units.

imported. LbCas12a has a more positively charged N-terminus than SaCas9, so it could be more efficiently imported, whereas the latter is significantly smaller and has an overall more positive charge. We suggest that future studies might consider applying similar analysis to the expanding range of CRISPR effectors to help narrow the search for potential MitoCRISPR effectors. In terms of the MTS, we compared the COX8A MTS with the 69-residue MTS from Neuraspore crassa ATPase subunit 9 (Su9) (Hartl et al., 1989; Westermann and Neupert, 2000), and a cryptic, internal 42-residue crRNA [based on previous reports of MitoCRISPR-type activity (Hashimoto et al., 2015). In this study, we used cybrid cell line versions of a MELAS syndrome mutation as a possible model system to test the development of Cas12a-based MitoCRISPR tools. MELAS is one of the most common mitochondrial disorders. It is associated with neurological symptoms and other secondary manifestations, such as depression, cardiomyopathy and diabetes mellitus (Finsterer, 2004; Montagna et al., 1988; Sproule and Kaufmann, 2008). Although this syndrome can be caused by different mutations, 80% of patients harbour a transition of adenine to guanine at the 3243 position in the MT-TL1 gene [tRNALeu (UUR)] of mtDNA. This mutation leads to defects in mitochondrial protein synthesis and respiratory chain function (Chan, 2006; Lieda et al., 2009), and has the added practical advantage in this study of allowing rapid identification of WT and mutant mtDNA levels by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), as the mutation creates a novel restriction site for the enzyme ApaI (see Fig. S2A).

During our attempts to establish a working MitoCRISPR system using Su9LbCas12a–GFP with non-targeted and 5’RP crRNAs [based on previous reports of MitoCRISPR-type activity using non-targeted gRNAs (Jo et al., 2015; Orishchenko et al., 2016)], we encountered several practical difficulties that highlight the challenges of developing MitoCRISPR as a tool: (i) despite very few obvious morphological changes, mitochondria in cell lines stably expressing Su9LbCas12a–GFP have reduced respiratory capacity (Fig. 4); (ii) as proteins are imported into mitochondria in their unfolded states, this precludes the use of assembled ribonuclear particles (Figs S3 and S4); (iii) the limited availability of suitable PAM sequences (a limitation of any CRISPR system, but particularly acute for heteroplasmy purification that must be targeted to specific mutations); (iv) the lack of specificity towards mutant versus WT mtDNA, due to inherent insensitivity of LbCas12a to mismatches (Fig. S5A,B); (v) inherent crRNA processing by LbCas12a, a feature that could prove useful for limiting the persistence of in situ CRISPR activity (Fig. S5C); (vi) poor reproducibility in functional MitoCRISPR assays (Fig. 5). In the following sections, we present our findings with respect to these limitations, along with attempts to demonstrate LbCas12a-mediated MitoCRISPR activity in cybrid cells.
Fig. 3. See next page for legend.
Mitochondrial targeting of Su9LbCas12a–GFP in cybrid cell lines for MitoCRISPR

Based on Apal-mediated digestion, the heteroplasmy load of the cybrids used in this project was ∼100% for both WT and MELAS (Fig. S2A). Unfortunately, we were unable to source cybrid lines with intermediate heteroplasmy loads. Nonetheless, we consider that the ∼100% WT and MELAS cybrids still provide suitable controls to determine CRISPR activity and specificity. Su9LbCas12a–GFP and Su9–GFP were stably expressed in the cybrids by lentiviral infection followed by FACS sorting for high and low expressing populations (Fig. 4A,B; Fig. S2B–D). LbCas12a localisation to the mitochondrial matrix was confirmed by cell fractionation (Fig. 4C), TOM/TIM import blockers (Fig. S3) and immunoelectron microscopy (immunoEM) (Fig. 4D,E). In the cell fractionation experiments, mitochondria were isolated from WT cybrids transfected with Su9LbCas12a–GFP and treated with proteinase K to test whether mitochondria-targeted LbCas12a was protected from degradation (and therefore had been fully imported). Following the proteinase K treatment, both the mitochondrial matrix marker HSP60 (also known as HSPD1) and LbCas12a, but not the outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM) marker TOM20, were retained (Fig. 4C). Three LbCas12a bands were observed in the mitochondrial fraction using either polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies, likely comprising: (i) the precursor form; (ii) the mature protein; and (iii) a product likely corresponding to Su9LbCas12a separated from GFP (as this band was not detected with the antibody recognising GFP). Notably, the precursor:mature LbCas12a ratio changed following proteinase K treatment, such that only the precursor form was accessible and susceptible to degradation. WT cybrids stably expressing Su9LbCas12a–GFP were processed for immunoEM using anti-GFP (Fig. 4D) or anti-LbCas12a (Fig. 4E) primary antibodies, and 10 nm gold-conjugated secondary antibodies. A proportion of mitochondria had damaged or missing cristae in many of these cells (data not shown); however, focusing on mitochondria of healthy appearance, Su9LbCas12a–GFP was found to be localised to the mitochondrial matrix (Fig. 4D,E). Import of LbCas12a to the mitochondrial matrix through the TOM/TIM import route was confirmed by use of mitochondrial import blockers [MitoBioCK; MB-10 (a TIM23 inhibitor) or MB-12 (DECA; a TIM23 and ATP synthase inhibitor)] (Fig. S3). As expected, mis-localisation of the Su9LbCas12a fusion protein from mitochondria to the cytosol was observed in RPE1 cells (Fig. S3A) and WT/MELAS cybrids (Fig. S3B,C) treated with 20 µM MB-10 or 10 µM MB-12 for 24 h.

The impact of LbCas12a mitochondrial import on mitochondrial respiration

The bioenergetic profile of cybrids stably expressing Su9LbCas12a–GFP was measured using the Seahorse XF Cell Mito Stress Test (Fig. 4F,G). As expected, WT cybrids, but not MELAS cybrids, showed normal mitochondrial respiration (oxygen consumption rate, OCR) profiles; however, OCR measured in WT cybrids stably expressing mitochondrial-localised LbCas12a at either low or high expression levels was significantly reduced (Fig. 4F). This was not observed with the control stable line expressing Su9–GFP (Fig. 4F). Against an already low baseline, mitochondrial respiration profiles were not further reduced in the MELAS cybrids stably expressing Su9LbCas12a–GFP (Fig. 4G). These data indicate that prolonged expression of Su9LbCas12a–GFP, although not adversely and grossly affecting mitochondrial morphology (Fig. 3), does impact on mitochondrial functionality at the level of mitochondrial respiration. We can therefore conclude that LbCas12a is localised to the matrix, as confirmed by cell fractionation or EM, but that mitochondrial respiration is affected in cybrids stably expressing Su9Cas12a–GFP. Whether this is due to exogenous protein overload and proteostasis stress, partial impairment of the protein import pathway due to the ongoing import of an unnatural mitochondrial protein, or Cas12a DNA-binding activity in the absence of crRNA is currently unclear.

Requirement for unfolding of LbCas12a during mitochondrial import

Any effective MitoCRISPR system would need to consider the mode of delivery of both CRISPR protein and gRNA/crRNA. In this study, we have focussed on expressing CRISPR proteins on plasmids and viral vectors. We also considered whether native CRISPR proteins appended with MTSs could be imported into mitochondria as pre-assembled RNPs for MitoCRISPR. Fig. S4A shows that import into isolated yeast mitochondria with recombinant Su9LbCas12a–Myc requires chemical denaturation, as no product is detected in proteinase K-treated samples using native protein (with or without intact proton motive force). To test for possible import of mitochondrial-targeted Cas12a post translation in living cells, we treated WT cybrids stably expressing Su9LbCas12a–GFP with MB-10 for 24 h (to block import), then washed out the drug and incubated cells for up to a further 16 h in the presence of cycloheximide (to block new protein expression). Although the bulk of the GFP signal remained cytosolic, a minor fraction of the protein produced before translation inhibition and after the release of the import block colocalised with TOM20-labelled mitochondria. This might be indicative of partial import of pre-folded Cas12a (Fig. S4B).

Analysis of mitochondrial LbCas12a cleavage activity and mtDNA levels in MELAS cybrids

We next tested whether expression of Su9LbCas12a with crRNAs could result in measurable changes in mtDNA, as has been suggested by previous studies. Since we used homoplasmic MELAS cybrids as the model system, a reduction in mtDNA copy number was expected following CRISPR nuclease activity inside mitochondria [mtDNA DSBs have been demonstrated to not be repaired, but rather the broken mtDNA is eliminated, probably by mitochondrial nucleases (Moretton et al., 2017)].

We designed Cas12a crRNAs specific for the MELAS mutation. Two different crRNAs were used to target the MT-TL1 gene comprising the MELAS mutation (Fig. S5A). Each of them targeted a different DNA strand, and both were tested in untargeted or in mitochondrial-targeted formats. First, the DNA cleavage activity of
LbCas12a using the four different crRNAs was assessed by cleavage assays in vitro (Fig. S5B). In these experiments, WT or mutant MT-TL1 DNA was cloned into a plasmid (pSP1), which was cut with a similar cleavage efficiency using any of the designed crRNAs. However, the cleavage appeared to be nonspecific as LbCas12a targeted both WT and mutant DNA containing the

Fig. 4. MTS–Su9LbCas12a localises to the mitochondrial matrix in WT or MELAS cybrids, but reduces mitochondrial respiration. (A) WT and (B) MELAS cybrids stably expressing Su9–GFP or Su9LbCas12a–GFP with low or high expression levels. (C) Immunoblots of subcellular fractions of WT cybrids transiently expressing Su9LbCas12a–GFP. Immunoblots were carried out using a monoclonal anti-LbCas12a antibody, anti-GFP, mitochondrial markers (anti-HSP60 and anti-TOM20) and anti-tubulin. The positions of possible Su9LbCas12a–GFP species are indicated as follows: (1) full-length; (2) LbCas12a–GFP (i.e. with MTS removed); (3) (Su9)–LbCas12a (i.e. with GFP removed). PNS, post-nuclear supernatant; Mitos, mitochondrial fraction. (D,E) ImmunoEM images of mitochondria in WT cybrids stably expressing Su9LbCas12a–GFP stained with anti-GFP (D) or anti-LbCas12a (E) and 10 nm gold secondary antibodies. Arrows indicate gold particles. (F,G) Mitochondrial respiration profiles in (F) WT and (G) MELAS cybrids stably expressing Su9LbCas12a–GFP. Respiration parameters were measured using a XF Cell Mito Stress Test (Seahorse). Oligo, oligomycin; Rot/Anti, rotenone/antimycin A. Seahorse traces are shown to the left; oxygen consumption rate (OCR) data are shown to the right; n=3. Results are mean±s.d.; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; NS, not significant vs control (Student’s t-test). Scale bars: 10 µm.
MELAS mutation (ApaI was used to distinguish the WT and mutant target sequences). The unspecific binding could be due to the insensitivity of Cas12a to mismatches at certain locations in the spacer (Swarts et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2019 preprint), or due to a recently reported lack of specificity of Cas12a under some conditions (Murugan et al., 2020). It should be noted that the cleavage activity is likely to be independent of a 5' modification, since the 5' RP crRNA was found to be processed by LbCas12a in vitro (Fig. S5C). This feature of Cas12a is potentially useful since a 5' modification could be made to a crRNA to allow efficient import, and upon RNP assembly in the matrix, the crRNA would be processed so decreasing the likelihood of possible off-target effects.

Testing Su9LbCas12a–GFP for evidence of MitoCRISPR activity in MELAS cybrids

Despite its apparent lack of specificity, we decided to test the functionality of the Cas12a-crRNA system inside mitochondria. We compared three versions of LbCas12a: WT LbCas12a; a PAM

Fig. 5. Quantitative analysis of mitochondrial DNA and mRNA levels in MELAS cybrids following transient transfection of Su9LbCas12a–GFP. (A) Schematic diagram of the domain organization of WT LbCas12a, a PI mutant lacking the PAM-interacting (PI) domain, and the inactive form dLbCas12a (D832A). (B) Representative images showing expression and mitochondrial localisation of WT, PI mutant and dLbCas12a following co-transfection with PLKO.1 control in MELAS cybrids. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of 5' RP crRNA transcription in MELAS cybrids following PLKO.1, 5' RP crRNA(1) or 5' RP crRNA(2)/Su9LbCas12a-GFP co-transfections. (D) qPCR analysis of COXII DNA levels following PLKO.1, crRNA(1), 5' RP crRNA(1), crRNA(2), or 5' RP crRNA(2)/WT, PI mutant, or dLbCas12a co-transfections. (E) qRT-PCR analysis of 5' RP crRNA transcription in MELAS cybrids following 5' RP crRNA(2)/WT, PI mutant or dLbCas12a co-transfection as shown. (F,G) qRT-PCR analysis of MT-TL1 (F) and COXII (G) expression in MELAS cybrids following PLKO.1, crRNA(1), 5' RP crRNA(1), crRNA(2) or 5' RP crRNA(2)/Su9LbCas12a-GFP co-transfection. Data was normalised to GAPDH. Results are means±s.e.m.; *P<0.05; ***P<0.001; ns, not significant vs PLKO.1 control (Student’s t-test).
interacting (PI) domain mutant (PAM recognition is essential for initiation of R-loop formation); and a RuvC inactive version (dLbCas12a) containing the point mutation D832A, which is able to associate with DNA but not produce DNA breaks (Fig. 5A). For this test, we opted to use transient transfection of Cas12a proteins and crRNAs to avoid the functional decline observed in mitochondria over time in stable cell lines. Both WT and mutant forms of Su9LbCas12a–GFP localised strongly to mitochondria in MELAS cybrids co-transfected with a control (PLKO.1) plasmid (Fig. 5B). Next, mtDNA levels were analysed in MELAS cybrids transiently co-transfected (48 h) with unmodified crRNAs [crRNA(1) or crRNA(2)] or 5′RP crRNAs and mitochondria-targeted Su9LbCas12a–GFP (Fig. 5C–H). crRNA transcription was achieved by cloning the corresponding crRNA sequence into a PLKO.1 vector containing a U6 promoter.

Transcriptional activity of the transfected PLKO.1 plasmids was evaluated from crRNA levels using quantitative (q)RT-PCR in MELAS cybrids co-transfected with WT LbCas12a (Su9LbCas12a–GFP) (Fig. 5C). To evaluate mtDNA copy number, COXII DNA levels were measured by quantitative (q)PCR following a 48-h co-transfection of cybrids with WT or mutant Su9LbCas12a–GFP and the corresponding crRNA or empty PLKO.1 control vector (Fig. 5D). An unexpected increase in mtDNA levels was observed after co-transfection of MELAS cybrids with WT Su9LbCas12a–GFP and crRNA(2) or 5′RP crRNA(2). This effect was not observed using either of the mutant forms of Su9LbCas12a–GFP (non-DNA binding/non-cleavage) or using the crRNA(1)/5′RP(1) RNA versions (Fig. 5D), suggesting that both DNA binding and nuclease activity might be necessary to observe this effect. Similar crRNA transcription levels were observed using any of the protein variants after co-transfection of MELAS cybrids with 5′RP crRNA(2) and WT or mutant forms of Su9LbCas12a–GFP (Fig. 5E). This indicates that the lack of effect on mtDNA levels using mutant forms of Su9LbCas12a–GFP was not due to a lower crRNA transcription or transcription efficiency. Moreover, MT-TRL1 and COXII mRNA levels also increased after co-transfection with WT Su9LbCas12a–GFP and crRNA(2) (Fig. 5F,G), although in this assay, we also recorded reduced COXII mRNA levels in cells co-transfected with WT Su9LbCas12a and crRNA(1) (Fig. 5G).

These data suggested that WT (active) Su9LbCas12a–GFP in conjunction with crRNA(2) (with or without mitochondrial targeting) elicits changes in mitochondria, resulting in elevated mtDNA levels. To begin to understand the biology underpinning these changes, we carried out a further set of validation experiments to confirm the increase in COXII DNA levels after co-transfection with WT Su9LbCas12a–GFP and crRNA(2); however, no significant changes in mtDNA levels were observed (Fig. 5H). The reasons for this apparent variability in mitochondrial-targeted CRISPR/Cas12a system effects are currently unclear and will require further experimentation, including explicit demonstration of crRNA import into mitochondria and heteroplasmy purification using suitable cell lines.

**DISCUSSION**

Given the impact that technology for the efficient manipulation of mtDNA would have on the study of mtDNA and disease, it is not surprising that there have been several published efforts to develop MitoCRISPR techniques. However, none have yet described a tool that is as efficient as the best ZFN and TALEN examples. The most successful iteration to date has reported a depletion of mtDNA copy number (Loutre et al., 2018), but CRISPR-mediated heteroplasmy purification, the gold-standard for mtDNA editing, has yet to be achieved. The work here aimed to provide a careful validation of MitoCRISPR toolkit parts.

Despite being used in all the previous studies, mitochondria-targeted SpyCas9 (COX8A–Cas9) showed poor mitochondrial localisation in transiently transfected mammalian cells as analysed by immunofluorescence. Moreover, cells expressing mitochondria-targeted SpyCas9 suffered a dramatic deterioration in mitochondrial morphology and function, which is likely to impact on cell viability. Overexpression and mitochondrial import of this large protein is likely to be disruptive to mitochondrial morphology/physiology. In contrast, LbCas12a was successfully expressed and localised to the mitochondrial matrix using several MTs as measured by immunofluorescence, western blotting and immunoEM, with the most successful MTs being Su9. However, careful analysis of mitochondrial LbCas12a import and cellular impact using cell lines stably expressing Su9Cas12a–GFP showed evidence of mitochondrial damage caused by the prolonged expression of mitochondria-targeted LbCas12a. Therefore, if the mitochondria-targeted CRISPR/LbCas12a system were to be used further, transient expression likely would be needed to prevent mitochondrial disturbance. However, a more significant problem in using Cas12a is possible off-target cleavage activity. In contrast to the very reliable nuclease gene knockouts of classical CRISPR, heteroplasmy purification requires that the targeting occurs exactly at the mutated sequence. With the limitations of PAM availability, protospacer sequence choice could be limited to a single sequence. Consequently, if mismatches are tolerated by Cas12a, it will be difficult to achieve specificity.

The obvious difference in mitochondrial import efficiency observed for SpyCas9 and LbCas12a could be explained by various characteristics of the proteins overviewed in this work. The overall domain organisation of these enzymes is significantly different, particularly with respect to their N-terminal secondary structures, which are typically key for successful protein import into mitochondria. Furthermore, amino acid bias or differences in overall peptide charge of imported proteins also needs be considered, as favourable interactions with the TOM/TIM machinery will encourage mitochondrial import. This is consistent with LbCas12a being smaller, more positively charged and less hydrophobic than SpyCas9, which would also explain the higher mitochondria targeting efficiency shown for Cas12a. Moreover, MTS accessibility is important for protein import, and it may be that the peptide is suboptimally presented on Cas9. The use of alternative MTs or introducing a flexible linker between the MTS and Cas9 structure in conjunction with directed in silico modelling, might ameliorate this. An appreciation of those features that favour Cas12a import over Cas9 could also be used to modify Cas9 structure, optimising it for mitochondrial import (for example, using a split Cas9; Wright et al., 2015). Alternatively, general rules governing mitochondrial import could be applied when screening the large number of CRISPR-Cas variants in the pangenome (Makarova et al., 2020). Alternative CRISPR-Cas enzyme may prove more efficient and less disruptive to organelle health. Ultimately, the standard empirical testing approach may prove to be the only way to reliably judge whether an effector protein–MTS combination will be useful.

Our data on RNA import add to other evidence for mitochondrial localisation of gRNAs without the requirement for targeting modifications (Gammage et al., 2018; Jo et al., 2015; Loutre et al., 2018). It should be noted that our assays do not explicitly demonstrate import, but rather RNase protection by membrane association and/or co-fractionation. Previous reports also suffer the same limitation. For protein import assays, evidence of delivery to
the matrix is ascertained by a change in protein size as the MTS is proteolytically removed (as seen in our in vitro Cas12a import assay; Fig. S4A). However, there are not any reports of an equivalent RNA aptamer processing activity in mitochondria to use as a functional readout. Further careful examination of mitochondrial compartments would be needed to prove whether the crRNA is matrix-located. A limitation of the aptamer-facilitated import of Cas9 gRNAs for mtDNA editing is that once bound to Cas9, 5′ modified gRNAs only support formation of small R-loops and completely block DNA cleavage (G.M. and M.D.S., unpublished observations). The processing of 5′ modifications by Cas12a removes any potential inhibition and is thus an additional advantage of the type V system over type II Cas9.

Data presented here indicate that in the presence of an active mitochondria-targeted Cas12a and crRNA, it is possible to observe an increase in the copy number of mtDNA. Changes in COXII and MT-TL1 mRNA levels were also recorded. Given that defects in mitochondria, such as dysfunctional mitochondrial dynamics or fragmentation, were not observed, the change in mtDNA copy number might be due to a feedback mechanism triggered to (over)compensate for a perceived reduction in mtDNA. However, the lack of reproducibility in this assay currently remains the greatest hurdle in the application of MitoCRISPR. Given the non-ideal cybrid line available to us and lack of clear evidence for RNA import, we did not pursue the copy number experiments further. We would argue that any future studies need to demonstrate RNA import and to use heteroplasmy purification in the absence of significant changes in mitochondrial health as a benchmark for success, and that reproducibility and independent validation should be important goals. The recent breakthrough in base editing of success, and that reproducibility and independent validation should  

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents and Antibodies**

Unless stated otherwise, all reagents were from Sigma. Stock solutions of carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP; C7259; 10 mM), DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; D9542; 1 mg/ml), MB-10 (MCule; P-579576172), MB-12 (PHR1300-500MG), cycloheximide (TOKU-E, C804) and proteasine K (Thermo, E00491; 10 mg/ml) were stored at −20°C. The following primary antibodies were used: anti-GAPDH [G8796; 1:2000 immunoblotting (IB)]; anti-GFP for IB (Covance, M0818R; 1:2000); anti-GFP for immunofluorescence (G8796; 1:2000); anti-HSP60 [H1419; 1:2000 IB, 1:200 immunofluorescence (IF)]; anti-Tom20 (BD Biosciences, 612278; 1:750 IB, 1:75 IF); anti-tubulin (T5168; 1:200 IF); monoclonal anti-LbCas12a (GeneTex, GTX133301; 1:1000 IB, 1:100 IF); anti-HRP (Stratech, G32-62DC-SGC; 1:10,000); anti-rabbit-IgG conjugated to HRP (Stratech, G33-62G-SGC; 1:10,000); anti-mouse/rabbit-IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, A-11012-A-11034; 1:300 IF); anti-mouse/rabbit-IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen, A-11031-A11036; 1:300 IF); anti-mouse/rabbit-IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen, A-21236-A21244; 1:300 IF); MitoTracker red CM-H2Xros was from Thermofisher (M7513); VOA is 1 mM valinomycin, 10 mM oligomycin and 5 µM antimycin A.

**Cell lines and cell culture**

hTERT-immortalised human Retinal Pigment Epithelial (RPE1) cells (ATCC, ref. no. CRL-4000) were maintained in high-glucose DMEM (D5796) supplemented with 10% FBS (F7524) at 37°C in 5% CO2. WT ACH cytoplasmic hybrid cells or 9αT3 MELAS cybrids carrying m.A3243G mtDNA mutation (a kind gift from Dr José Sanchez-Alcázar, Seville, Spain; Garrido-Maraver et al., 2015) were maintained in high-glucose DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1 µM penicillin/streptomycin (P4333) and 50 µg/ml uridine at 37°C in 5% CO2.

**Plasmids and transfection**

Transient transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 Reagent (Thermo Scientific, 11668027) and OptiMEM reduced serum medium (Gibco) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The efficacy of cell transfection was checked using fluorescence microscopy. Plasmid sequences are available on request: pD1301-AD (DN20.2) was used for expression of NLS–SpyCas9–GFP or COX8A–SpyCas9–GFP and unmodified Cas9 gRNA template generation; pEX-A2 (Eurofins) was used for 5′/5′′/5′ FD gRNA template generation; pSPl (Sikinsky laboratory, Vilnius University, Lithuania; Szczelkun et al., 2014) was used as a plasmid cleavage assays substrate plasmid; pEGFP (Clontech) was used for expression of COX8A/ATG4D–(Su9Cas9/LbCas12a/AsCas12a–GFP) or LbCas12a–GFP; Sub-GFP (Advigen, #23214; Chen et al., 2003) was used for expression of Su9–(Cas9/LbCas12a/AsCas12a–GFP)/PI-PGFP and Su9–(mutant/dLbCas12a–GFP); pLVX-puro (Clontech) was used for stable expression of Su9–LbCas12a–GFP; PLKO.1 (Advigen #10878; Moffit et al., 2006) was used for expression of Cas9 crRNAs; SaCas9, LbCas12a, AsCas12a, dLbCas12a–GFP; Sub-GFP (Advigen, #104563; Tak et al., 2017) were used for protein template generation.

**Viruses, transduction and stable cell lines**

Lentivirus were generated in HEK293T cells by transient transfection using PEI reagent. 27 µg of the plasmid of interest was transfected together with 20.4 µg of the packaging plasmid pAX2 and 6.8 µg of the envelope plasmid pMD2. Viruses were harvested 48 h after transfection. Medium was collected and centrifuged at 1500 g for 5 min and filtered with a 0.45 µm filter to remove cells and debris. Viruses were concentrated using Lenti-X Concentrator (Clontech) and then cleared by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. Viruses were stored at −80°C. For viral transduction, cybrids were plated in 6 cm dishes and transduced with the corresponding lentiviruses (7.5 µl/ml) in the presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene to increase transduction efficiency. After 2 days, GFP-positive cells were selected by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). The efficacy of stable line generation was checked using fluorescence microscopy.

**Immunoblotting**

Cells grown on 6-well plates were initially washed with ice-cold PBS, then lysed with 100–200 µl/well of ice-cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate (D6750), 150 mM NaCl (S9888), 0.1% SDS (436143) supplemented with one tablet of protease inhibitor per 10 ml of RIPA buffer. The homogenates were incubated on ice for 15 min, then cleared by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. Supernatants were collected and combined with three volumes of clarified supernatant. The mixture was incubated 1 h at 4°C, then centrifuged at 1500 g for 45 min at 4°C and the pellet resuspended in N2B27 medium or DMEM. For viral transduction, cybrids were plated in 6 cm dishes and transduced with the corresponding lentiviruses (7.5 µl/ml) in the presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene to increase transduction efficiency. After 2 days, GFP-positive cells were selected by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). The efficacy of stable line generation was checked using fluorescence microscopy.

**Subcellular fractionation and proteinase K treatment**

Cybrid cells were plated on 10 cm dishes and transected with the Su9LbCas12a–GFP construct using Lipofectamine 2000 for 48 h. Cells were lysed in ice using a ball bearing cell homogeniser (twenty passes at
10 µm clearance; IsobioTech, Heidelberg, Germany) then centrifuged at 800 g for 5 min to remove the heavy nuclear pellet. The postnuclear supernatant was then centrifuged for 15 min at 10,000 g, and the resulting mitochondria-containing pellet was washed with 140 µl import buffer and incubated in the absence or presence of 0.1 mg/ml proteinase K for 15 min on ice. The reaction was stopped by the addition of PMSF to 1 mM.

**Immunofluorescence and microscopy**

Cells were seeded on coverslips. Cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with 4% formaldehyde for 15 min or −20°C methanol for 5 min. Cells were then incubated 30 min with primary antibody (listed above) in PBS. Cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with the secondary antibodies (listed above) and counterstained with DAPI (Life Technologies, D121490, 100 ng/ml) for 10 min. Cells were then washed again with PBS and mounted in Mowiol. Fixed-cell images were obtained using an Olympus IX-71 inverted microscope (60× Uplan Fluorite objective; 0.65–1.25 NA, oil immersion lens) fitted with a CoolSNAP HQ CCD camera (Photometrics, AZ) driven by MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices). Confocal microscopy was carried out using a Leica SP5-AOBS confocal laser scanning microscope (63×1.4 NA oil immersion objective or 100×1.4 NA oil immersion objective) attached to a Leica DM I6000 inverted epifluorescence microscope. Laser lines were: 100 mW argon (for 458, 488, 514 nm excitation), 2 mW Orange HeNe (594 nm), and a 50 mW diode laser (405 nm). The microscope was run using Leica LAS AF software (Leica, Germany). Image analysis was performed using Fiji (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA).

**Analysis of DNA or cDNA levels by qRT-PCR**

Cybrid cells were plated on 6 cm dishes (DNA) or 6-well plates (cDNA). Cells were transiently transfected with the corresponding constructs for 2 days. For DNA extraction, cells were then washed with ice-cold PBS and lysed with 200 µl lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA and 50 mM Tris pH 8.1) and incubated on ice for 10 min. For the purification of DNA, the phenol-chloroform method was used. Finally, the DNA pellet was resuspended in a final volume of 15 µl and diluted for qRT-PCR analysis with specific primers. For RNA extraction, after the corresponding transfection, cells were washed with PBS and then cells were lysed in 350 µl RLT buffer (Qiagen). Total RNA was extracted through columns using RNeasy kit (Qiagen, 74104) following manufacturer’s instructions. Total DNA was extracted from cybrid DNA using QIAQuick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). RNA samples were reverse transcribed using High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA™ Kit (Thermo Scientific, 4387406), according to manufacturer’s protocol. The DNA or cDNA samples were amplified using SYBR Green (Life Technologies). The reaction was carried out using StepOnePlus System (Applied Biosystems) and the following conditions were selected: after an initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles with 95°C for 15 s (denaturation), 60°C for 30 s (annealing) and 60°C for 30 s (elongation). For the analysis, DNA or mRNA levels were estimated using the $\Delta\Delta CT$ method normalising data to GAPDH levels. The reaction was carried out using StepOnePlus System (Applied Biosystems) and the following conditions were selected: after an initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles with 95°C for 15 s (denaturation), 60°C for 30 s (annealing) and 60°C for 30 s (elongation). For the analysis, DNA or mRNA levels were estimated using the $\Delta\Delta CT$ method normalising data to GAPDH levels. (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). For each cleavage reaction, 3 mM plasmid substrate (pSP1) was assembled in RB buffer at 37°C and preheated for 5 min. The reaction was started by addition of 50 nM assembled Cas12a CRISPR complex, which was incubated for the time period specified. The reaction was quenched by adding 80°C 3× STEB and incubating at 80°C for 5 min. Samples were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide at 20 V overnight (16 h) and visualised by UV irradiation.

**Seahorse bioenergetics**

Cybrid cells were plated according to manufacturer’s instructions on 8-well Seahorse XFp plates (Agilent, 103510-100). The day of the assay, culture media was replaced with Seahorse XF base medium (Agilent) supplemented with 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM glutamine, and 10 mM glucose (pH 7.4) for 1 h at 37°C. The Mito Stress Test Kit (Agilent) was prepared to a final volume of 20 µl, containing a final concentration of 1× reaction buffer, 1 mM ATP, GTP and CTP. UTP, 0.5 MBq/reaction (Hartman Analytic, SRF 210). To this, 0.25 µg template DNA and 1 µl T7 RNA pol mix was added. The assembly was incubated at 37°C for 10 min, treated with DNase and purified with MicroBioSpin (BioRad) columns.

**Denaturing urea-PAGE**

RNA was separated on a denaturing urea-PAGE gel containing 10% (w/v) acrylamide, 1× Tris-borate EDTA (TBE) and 50% (w/v) urea. Gel mix was prepared, filter sterilised with a 0.2 µm nitrocellulose membrane and stored at 4°C for up to 2 weeks. Gels were set using the BioRad Mini-PROTEAN 3 system and pre-run in 1× TBE at 200 V for 45 min prior to loading samples. RNA samples were heated to 90°C with 1× RNA loading dye for 10 min and migrated in 1× TBE at 200 V. Gels were stained with 1 ml 1/1000 SYBR Gold Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (ThermoFisher Scientific) and imaged with the GelDoc™ XR+ system (BioRad).

**CRISPR complex assembly and cleavage assay**

250 nM *Lachnospiraceae bacteria* Cas12a protein was mixed with 250 nM crRNA in SB and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. For each cleavage reaction, 3 mM plasmid substrate (pSP1) was assembled in RB buffer at 37°C and preheated for 5 min. The reaction was started by addition of 50 nM assembled Cas12a CRISPR complex, which was incubated for the time period specified. The reaction was quenched by adding 80°C 3× STEB and incubating at 80°C for 5 min. Samples were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide at 20 V overnight (16 h) and visualised by UV irradiation.

**gRNA/crRNA sequences used**

The following gRNA and crRNA sequences were used for Cas9 and Cas12a, respectively (shown in 5′–3′ orientation). Cas9 gRNA: GCCGC-AAAAGAGGAAAGGACAGUUUUAGAGCGUAGAAAGAACGGAU-AAAUAAGGGCAUGUGCUAGGUUAUCAACUGUUGAAAGGCGACC-AGAGGCUGGCUUUUUU; Cas9 5′-RP: GUCUCUCUGAGGCG-GAGGAGGACUGAAGAGACAGGACAGUGCCAGUAAAGACUAGA-AUAAGCGCAAGUUAAGGAAGGCGACCGUAGCUAGUCGUAGGUUAUCAACUGUUGUUAAAAGUGGCACCGAGCUGGCUUUUUU; Cas9 5′-FD (F1D1): GGCGCAUACUGGUAGCCUGCGCCTCCCAAGGCGCACC-GCCGCUAAGGAGGAAAGGACAGUUUUAGAGCGUAGAAAGAACGGAU-AAAUAAGGGCAUGUGCUAGGUUAUCAACUGUUGAAAGGCGACC-AGAGGCUGGCUUUUUU; Cas12a crRNA (1): AAUUUCUACAUAG-UUGAAGAUGUAAAGGGCGAGGCCCCGGAUAC; Cas12a crRNA (2): AUUUCUACAUAGUUGAAGGCGAAGUACCGCGCCGCUGCUACU;

**Isolation of yeast mitochondria**

Yeast (YPH499) were grown in 400 ml YPG with Pen/Strep at 24°C, 150 rpm for ~48–72 h. When OD600=7.5, cells were sub-cultured into 2×1 liters YPG pH 5.2 supplemented with Pen/Strep to OD600=0.5, then grown overnight at 19°C, 120 rpm. When OD600=1.2–1.8, cells were pelleted (4000 g, 10 min, RT) and pellets were resuspended in 1× TBE at 4°C for up to 2 weeks. Gels were set using the BioRad Mini-PROTEAN 3 system and pre-run in 1× TBE at 200 V for 45 min prior to loading samples. RNA samples were heated to 90°C with 1× RNA loading dye for 10 min and migrated in 1× TBE at 200 V. Gels were stained with 1 ml 1/1000 SYBR Gold Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (ThermoFisher Scientific) and imaged with the GelDoc™ XR+ system (BioRad).
of 33P-labelled RNA, gentle vortex and incubation at 25°C. Import was stopped after 5 min with VOA. The sample was split into two Eppendorf tubes (50 μl each) and one tube incubated with 5 μg RNase A for 15 min at 25°C. RNase was stopped with 1.5 μl RNase inhibitor and incubated for 10 min at 25°C, then washed twice with 250 μl yeast import buffer with 1 μl RNase inhibitor. Samples were centrifuged at 17,000 g for 10 min, and washed pellets either run on a denaturing urea-PAGE gel directly, or the RNA extracted with TRizol reagent. For TRizol extraction of RNA, each pellet was resuspended in 250 μl TRizol reagent and tubes were incubated at room temperature for 5 min, 50 μl chloroform was added and tubes incubated for a further 5 min at room temperature. Samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 12,000 g and 4°C, and the upper aqueous phase transferred to a new tube with 125 μl isopropanol with 0.05 μl glycogen and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Precipitated RNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 10 min, 4°C, and pelleted RNA was washed twice with 250 μl 75% (v/v) ethanol (7500 g, 5 min, 4°C), air dried and resuspended in 10 μl 2× formamide RNA-loading buffer. For direct separation by denaturing-PAGE electrophoresis, pellets were resuspended in 5 μl yeast import buffer and 5 μl 2× formamide RNA-loading buffer. RNA in formamide RNA loading buffer was heated to 100°C for 10 min before separation by denaturing urea-PAGE. The gel was fixed with in-gel fixing solution [10% (v/v) methanol, 10% (v/v) acetic acid] for 1 h, then 30 min gel fixing solution with 5% (v/v) glycerol. The gel was dried with a pre-programmed schedule (up to 80°C, over 2 h) on a BioRad model 583 gel dryer, and then exposed on a phosphorimager screen (Fujifilm). The phosphor screen was imaged with a Typhoon Trio scanner (GE Healthcare).

Cellular fractionation and isolation of RNA for northern blotting
HeLa cells were seeded to be 90% confluent on the day of the fractionation. Medium was aspirated, and cells were washed twice with PBS. Cells were detached with trypsin and transferred into a 15 ml falcon tube and centrifuged at 600 g for 4°C for 10 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml ice-cold buffer [0.44 M sorbitol, 40 mM EDTA, 10 mM HEPEs-NaOH (pH 6.7), 0.1% (w/v) SDS]. Cells were homogenised using an 8 μm spacing ball bearing, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 100 μl of whole-cell extract was kept for later RNA extraction. The remaining homogenate was centrifuged at 1500 g for 5 min at 4°C to pellet nuclei. The mitochondria-containing supernatant was collected and centrifuged at 15,000 g for 20 min at 4°C to pellet mitochondria. The supernatant, representing the cytosolic fraction, was kept on ice and the pellet was washed with isolation buffer and resuspended in 300 μl isolation buffer prior to disruption of the outer mitochondrial membrane with 60 ng/μl digitonin for 7 min at room temperature. Digitonin was diluted by a wash with 700 μl isolation buffer, and after a final wash the mitochondrial pellet, nuclear pellet, cytoplasmic fraction and whole-cell sample were resuspended in 500 μl TRizol and stored at −80°C prior to RNA extraction as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

Northern blotting
Urea-PAGE gels containing separated RNAs were electro-transferred onto Hybond XL nylon membrane (GE Healthcare) at 12 V over 12 h in 0.5× SSC. RNAs were fixed onto nylon membrane by UV irradiation at 1500×100 μJ/cm². Membranes were prehybridised in 6× SSC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS, 10× Denhardt’s solution for 1 h at 65°C. 20× SSC [3 M NaCl, 0.3 M sodium citrate, 1 mM EDTA; 100× Denhardt] at 2% (w/v) BSA, 2% (w/v) Ficoll 400, 2% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone]. Hybridisation of 8×7 cm membranes in 50 ml falcons used 2.9 ml prehybridisation solution. Membranes were hybridised in one volume of prehybridisation solution containing one volume [(probes) 2 mg/ml] 5′-3′ labelled oligonucleotide probe by incubation overnight at 5°C. Probes of known cytoplasmic and mitochondrial RNAs were used as positive controls for cellular compartments, and designed hybridisation probes against gRNAs and mitochondrial targeted RNA aptamers determined transfected RNA cell localisation. Following hybridisation, membranes were washed three times for 10 min in 2× SSC and 0.1% (w/v) SDS at room temperature and exposed on a phosphorimager screen which was imaged with a Typhoon-Trio scanner (GE Healthcare). The following primers/oligonucleotides were used [5′-3′ (length)]: Cyto tRNAγ3, hybridisation probe, ACTTGAACCTGGAG (16); Mito tRNALe probe, GAACCTGACTCAAAG (18); Mito tRNAThe hybridisation probe, TCTCCGTTTACAAAGC (17); tRK1 hybridisation probe: TGGAGCC-CTTAGGG (16); and gRNA hybridisation probe, GACCGACTCG-GTCCACCT (20).

Labelling hybridisation probes
Dedehyphosphoryl DNA oligonucleotides were labelled with bacteriophage T4 polynucleotide kinase by incubation for 1 h at 37°C with a 5-fold molar excess of 10 mCi/ml γ-32P-ATP (Hartman Analytic, SRP 301) as per the manufacturers’ instructions. The reaction was terminated by addition of EDTA (final concentration 20 mM), and probes were purified from unincorporated nucleotide with BioRad Micro Bio Spin P-6 columns.

Statistics
Graphical results were analysed with GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA), using an unpaired Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA, as indicated. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001. Results are expressed as mean±s.e.m or mean±s.d., as indicated.
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