Changes in subcellular structures and states of pumilio 1 regulate the translation of target Mad2 and cyclin B1 mRNAs
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ABSTRACT
Temporal and spatial control of mRNA translation has emerged as a major mechanism for promoting diverse biological processes. However, the molecular nature of temporal and spatial control of translation remains unclear. In oocytes, many mRNAs are deposited as a translationally repressed form and are translated at appropriate times to promote the progression of meiosis and development. Here, we show that changes in subcellular structures and states of the mRNA-binding protein pumilio 1 (Pum1) regulate the translation of target mRNAs and progression of oocyte maturation. Pum1 was shown to bind to Mad2 (also known as Mad2l1) and cyclin B1 mRNAs, assemble highly clustered aggregates, and surround Mad2 and cyclin B1 RNA granules in mouse oocytes. These Pum1 aggregates were dissolved prior to the translational activation of target mRNAs, possibly through phosphorylation. Stabilization of Pum1 aggregates prevented the translational activation of target mRNAs and progression of oocyte maturation. Together, our results provide an aggregation-dissolution model for the temporal and spatial control of translation.
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INTRODUCTION
Diverse biological processes, including meiosis, embryonic development and neuronal plasticity, are promoted by translational activation of dormant mRNAs at appropriate timings and places (Buxbaum et al., 2015; Martin and Ephrussi, 2009; Mendez and Richter, 2001; Mili and Macara, 2009). This temporal control of translation has been most extensively studied in oocyte meiosis. Fully grown vertebrate oocytes are arrested at prophase I of meiosis and accumulate thousands of translationally repressed mRNAs in the cytoplasm (Kotani et al., 2017; Masui and Clarke, 1979; Winata and Korzh, 2018). In response to specific cues, such as hormones, oocytes resume meiosis and are arrested again at metaphase II. This process is termed oocyte maturation and is necessary for oocytes to acquire fertility. For proper progression of oocyte maturation, hundreds of dormant mRNAs are translationally activated in periods specific to distinct mRNAs (Chen et al., 2011; Luong et al., 2020), which are generally categorized as early meiosis I, late meiosis I and meiosis II. Of these, cyclin B1 mRNA, which encodes the regulatory subunit of maturation/M-phase-promoting factor (MPF), is translated in the early period of meiosis I, and the newly synthesized cyclin B1 proteins in this period are a prerequisite for the progression of meiosis (Davydenko et al., 2013; Kondo et al., 2001; Kotani and Yamashita, 2002; Ledan et al., 2001; Polanski et al., 1998).

Translational activation of the dormant mRNAs, including cyclin B1, has been shown to be directed by the cytoplasmic polyadenylation of mRNAs, which is mediated by the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element (CPE) in their 3′ UTR (McGrew et al., 1989; Sheets et al., 1994). The CPE-binding protein 1 (CPEB1) functions in both repression and direction of the cytoplasmic polyadenylation (Barkoff et al., 2000; de Moor and Richter, 1999; Gebauer et al., 1994; Tay et al., 2000). Although many dormant mRNAs contain CPEs, they are translated in different periods during oocyte maturation, indicating that there must be additional mechanisms to determine the timings of translational activation of distinct mRNAs. However, the molecular and cellular mechanisms by which translational timings of hundreds of mRNAs are coordinated remain unclear.

Pumilio 1 (Pum1) is a sequence-specific RNA-binding protein that belongs to the Pumilio and Fem-3 mRNA-binding factor (PUF) family, which is highly conserved in eukaryotes from yeast to human (Spassov and Jurecic, 2003; Wickens et al., 2002). Pum was identified in Drosophila as a protein that is essential for posterior patterning of embryos (Lehmann and Nüsslein-volhard, 1987) and it was shown to repress the translation of target mRNAs in a spatially and temporally regulated manner (Asaoka-Taguchi et al., 1999; Murata and Wharton, 1995). In Xenopus, zebrafish and mouse oocytes, Pum1 has been shown to bind to cyclin B1 mRNA and determine the timing of translational activation of cyclin B1 mRNA during oocyte maturation (Kotani et al., 2013; Nakahata et al., 2003; Ota et al., 2011a; Piqué et al., 2008). Pum1-knockout mice are viable but defective in spermatogenesis (Chen et al., 2012) and oogenesis (Mak et al., 2016). Pum1-deficient mice also showed neuronal degeneration in the brain, which is caused by an increase in ataxin 1 protein (Gennarino et al., 2015). In the mouse testis and brain, Pum1 was shown to target more than 1000 mRNAs (Chen et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2017). The amount of protein synthesized from these Pum1-target mRNAs, but not the amount of mRNA, was increased in Pum1-deficient mice, indicating that Pum1 represses the translation of target mRNAs (Chen et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2017).

Despite the importance of Pum function in diverse systems, how Pum regulates the translation of target mRNAs remains to be elucidated.

In addition to sequence-specific RNA-binding proteins, we previously demonstrated that formation and disassembly of cyclin B1 RNA granules determine the timing of translational activation of...
mRNA; the granular structures of cyclin B1 mRNA formed in immature germinal vesicle (GV)-stage oocytes were disassembled at the same time as translational activation of mRNA, and stabilization and dissociation of these granules prevented and accelerated the mRNA translation, respectively (Kotani et al., 2013). Binding of Pum1 was shown to be required for the mRNA granule formation, implying that Pum1 regulates the translational timing of target mRNAs through formation and disassembly of granules (Kotani et al., 2013).

P granules are cytoplasmic granules that consist of mRNAs and RNA-binding proteins, and have been shown to behave as liquid droplets with a spherical shape in *C. elegans* embryos (Brangwynne et al., 2009). In addition, several RNA-binding proteins that are assembled into stress granules have been shown to produce liquid droplets in *vivo* and in cultured cells (Lin et al., 2015; Molliex et al., 2015). Although phase changes in these liquid droplets into solid-like assemblies have been linked to degenerative diseases (Li et al., 2013; Weber and Brangwynne, 2012), more recent studies have demonstrated the assembly of solid-like substructures within stress granules (Jain et al., 2016; Shinya, 2019), suggesting physiological roles of the solid-like assemblies.

In this study, we identified Mad2 mRNA as one of the Pum1-target mRNAs in mouse oocytes and found that Mad2 and cyclin B1 mRNAs were distributed as distinct granules in the cytoplasm. Interestingly, Pum1 was assembled into aggregates exhibiting highly clustered structures, and these aggregates surrounded Mad2 and cyclin B1 RNA granules. The Pum1 aggregates dissolved shortly after resumption of meiosis, possibly because of phosphorylation, resulting in translational activation of Mad2 and cyclin B1 mRNAs in early meiosis I. These results provide an aggregation–dissolution model for temporal and spatial control of mRNA translation. Since Pum1 aggregates resembled solid-like assemblies, the results suggest the physiological importance of phase changes of proteins during RNA regulation.

**RESULTS**

**Expression of Mad2 is translationally regulated during mouse oocyte maturation**

Mad2 has been shown to function as a component of spindle assembly checkpoint proteins to ensure accurate segregation of chromosomes in meiosis I of mouse oocytes (Homer et al., 2005). However, how Mad2 is accumulated in oocytes remains unknown. To clarify the mechanism of Mad2 accumulation in meiosis I, we first analyzed the expression of Mad2 mRNA in mouse oocytes. Using purified RNAs from ovaries, we determined that there were two splicing variants of Mad2 mRNA by RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1A). RT-PCR and quantitative PCR analyses of oocytes isolated from ovaries showed that the short version of Mad2 mRNA was dominant in oocytes (Fig. 1A,B). In addition, *in situ* hybridization analysis of ovary sections with the tyramide signal amplification (TSA) system detected the expression of short, but not long, Mad2 mRNA in oocytes (Fig. 1C). Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) analysis showed that short Mad2 mRNA was distributed in the oocyte cytoplasm where it was present as RNA granules (Fig. 1D). In contrast, long Mad2 mRNA was not detected by FISH analysis (Fig. S1A). These results suggest that short Mad2 mRNA is crucial for the synthesis of Mad2 protein in oocytes.

We then analyzed the expression of Mad2 protein in oocytes. Immunoblot analysis showed that the amount of Mad2, as well as that of cyclin B1, increased after resumption of meiosis (Fig. 1E). Consistent with this, a poly(A) tail assay showed that the poly(A) tails of Mad2 mRNA were elongated 4 h after resumption of meiosis, as is the case for cyclin B1 (Fig. 1F). Inhibition of protein synthesis with puromycin prevented the accumulation of Mad2 in oocytes even when meiosis had resumed (Fig. S1B). To rule out a possibility that Mad2 protein becomes stabilized after resumption of meiosis, we analyzed the stability of Mad2 by expressing GFP-Mad2 followed by puromycin treatment. The rate of destruction of GFP–Mad2 in immature oocytes was similar to that in mature oocytes (Fig. S1C), indicating that the stability of Mad2 is not changed. Taken together, these results indicate that Mad2 protein accumulates during the early period of oocyte maturation because of the translational activation of dormant mRNA stored in oocytes.

**Mad2 mRNA is a Pum1-target mRNA and forms granules that are distinct from cyclin B1 RNA granules**

We then assessed the mechanism by which the translation of Mad2 mRNA is temporally regulated. Since Mad2 mRNA was translated in a period similar to that of cyclin B1 mRNA and contains several putative pumilio-binding elements (PBPs) in its 3′UTR (Fig. S2A), we investigated whether Pum1 binds to Mad2 mRNA by using an immunoprecipitation assay followed by RT-PCR. Mad2 and cyclin B1 mRNA, but not α-tubulin and β-actin mRNAs, were detected in precipitations with an anti-Pum1 antibody, while neither of them was detected in precipitations with control IgG (Fig. 2A), indicating that Pum1 targets Mad2 mRNA as well as cyclin B1 mRNA. From these results, we speculated that both mRNAs were assembled into the same granules. However, double FISH analysis showed that the two mRNAs formed distinct granules (Fig. 2B). The granules containing Mad2 mRNA rarely overlapped with those containing cyclin B1 mRNA (0.18%, n=2748). Formation of distinct granules of Mad2 and cyclin B1 mRNA resembles formation of Map2, CaMKIIα and β-actin RNA granules in neurons, in which distinct mRNAs were assembled into different granules (Mikl et al., 2011).

Time course analysis showed that the number of Mad2 RNA granules was decreased at 4 h (prometaphase I) and that the granules had almost completely disappeared at 18 h (metaphase II) after resumption of meiosis, which is consistent with the changes in cyclin B1 RNA granules (Fig. 2C,D) (Kotani et al., 2013). The amount of Mad2 mRNA was not changed in oocytes at 18 h after resumption of meiosis (Fig. S2B), indicating that the decrease in the number of Mad2 RNA granules is caused by granule disassembly. These results suggest that translation of Mad2 mRNA is temporally regulated through formation and disassembly of RNA granules, similar to the cytoplasmic regulation of cyclin B1 mRNA (Kotani et al., 2013).

**Pum1 forms aggregates that surround target mRNAs**

To further assess the mechanism by which translation of Mad2 and cyclin B1 mRNAs is temporally and spatially regulated by Pum1, we analyzed the distribution of Pum1 in the oocyte cytoplasm. Immunofluorescence analysis showed that Pum1 was non-uniformly distributed in the cytoplasm of immature oocytes and appeared to form aggregates in highly clustered structures (Fig. 3A). This signal was specific to Pum1 since no signal was detected when the antibody was absorbed with N-terminus region of Pum1 (amino acids 225–399) (Fig. S2C), which includes the region recognized by the antibody (amino acids 225–275). Simultaneous detection of Pum1 protein and cyclin B1 and Mad2 mRNAs showed that clusters of Pum1 aggregates covered cyclin B1 and Mad2 RNA granules (Fig. 3B,C). In most cases, Pum1 aggregates surrounded and partially overlapped with cyclin B1 and Mad2 RNA granules at the periphery (Fig. 3C; 95.1%, n=268 for cyclin B1; 98.4%, n=124 for Mad2), while in remaining cases Pum1 aggregates were localized at...
the center of granules in addition to the periphery (Fig. 3C; 4.9% for cyclin B1; 1.6% for Mad2). These distribution patterns were specific to Mad2 and cyclin B1 mRNAs since (1) the average distance between randomly distributed dots and Pum1 aggregates was 1.8-fold longer than the experimental distance between the center of RNA granules and Pum1 aggregates ($P < 0.001$) (Monte Carlo simulation; 100 permutations) and (2) α-tubulin mRNA was not surrounded by Pum1 and instead it was uniformly distributed in the cytoplasm (Fig. S2D).

To assess the molecular mechanisms of Pum1 aggregation, we then examined the distribution of GFP–Pum1 and mutant forms of Pum1 in mouse oocytes. GFP–Pum1 was distributed in a way similar to that of endogenous Pum1, i.e. it appeared to form highly clustered aggregates (Fig. 3D,E) and surrounded cyclin B1 and Mad2 RNA granules (Fig. S2E). Pum1 contains a glutamine/asparagine (Q/N)-rich domain (Fig. S2F), also identified as a prion-like domain (Lancaster et al., 2014), which is thought to promote highly ordered aggregation of proteins (Lancaster et al., 2014; Salazar et al., 2010). GFP–Pum1 that lacks the Q/N-rich domain (GFP–Pum1ΔQN) (Fig. 3F) was distributed uniformly throughout the oocyte cytoplasm (Fig. 3D). Taken together, these results indicate that Pum1 assembles into highly clustered aggregates and that this is mediated by the Q/N-rich domain, and that these aggregates cover target mRNAs.

We then analyzed the distribution of Pum1 lacking the N-terminus (GFP–Pum1ΔN) or lacking the C-terminus, which contains the PUF domain, which is responsible for binding to target mRNAs (Zhang et al., 1997) (GFP–Pum1ΔC; Fig. 3F). GFP–Pum1ΔN formed aggregates similar to those of GFP–Pum1 (Fig. S2G, and see Fig. 6A). In contrast, GFP–Pum1ΔC formed aggregates larger than those of GFP–Pum1 (Fig. S2G, and see Fig. 6A), indicating that the C-terminal PUF domain is involved in regulating the size of aggregates.

Pum1 shows insoluble and immobile properties in immature oocytes

We then examined the properties of endogenous Pum1 by ultracentrifugation. Since we were unable to obtain appropriate amounts of materials by using mouse oocytes, we used zebrafish oocytes for this analysis. Zebrafish Pum1 has been shown to target cyclin B1 mRNA (Kotani et al., 2013) and it contains the Q/N-rich domain also identified as a prion-like domain (Fig. S2F). Ultracentrifugation analysis showed that most of the endogenous Pum1 (64.8±3.4%, mean±s.d., $n=3$) was concentrated in an insoluble fraction in immature oocytes (Fig. 4A), supporting the results of the immunofluorescence showing that endogenous Pum1 forms aggregates (Fig. 3). We next examined the properties of GFP–Pum1 in mouse oocytes by fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis. As...
a control, GFP–Pum1ΔQN was analyzed. After photobleaching, the fluorescence of GFP–Pum1 and GFP–Pum1ΔQN gradually recovered (Fig. 4B). The fluorescence recovery curves were fitted to a double exponential association model (Fig. S3). The halftime of recovery (t1/2) of the first fraction of GFP–Pum1 was rapid, while that of the second fraction of GFP–Pum1 was slow (Fig. 4C, left), suggesting that a proportion of Pum1 is in large complexes. Moreover, a critical finding was that a significant fraction of GFP–Pum1 (40.7±8.6%, mean±s.d., n=12) showed immobility (not recovering after photobleaching), while only a small fraction of GFP–Pum1ΔQN (13.6±5.5%, n=14) was static (Fig. 4B,C, right). Thereby, the Q/N-rich region promotes the assembly of Pum1 into highly ordered aggregates in an immobile state. To analyze the details of Pum1 recovery after photobleaching, we observed changes in GFP–Pum1 in aggregates using a high-resolution microscope. The intensity of GFP–Pum1 in aggregates recovered slowly and only partially (Fig. 4D), supporting the notion that Pum1 aggregates exhibit an immobile property.

We further analyzed the properties of Pum1 by permeabilizing oocytes with digitonin. A recent study demonstrated that liquid-like droplets of RNA-binding proteins rapidly shrunk and dissolved within 2 to 3 min after this treatment, while stable assemblies of RNA-binding proteins that exhibit solid-like properties were maintained in cultured cells (Shiina, 2019). After permeabilization with digitonin, GFP rapidly diffused out of the oocytes (Fig. 4E,F). In contrast, the structure and intensity of GFP–Pum1 aggregates persisted after permeabilization (Fig. 4E,F). Collectively, the immunofluorescence, ultracentrifugation, FRAP and permeabilization analyses suggest that Pum1 assembles into aggregates in a solid-like state in immature oocytes. The study by Shiina (Shiina, 2019) demonstrated that GFP–Pum1 forms solid-like substructures of RNA granules in cultured cells, which is consistent with our results in oocytes.
Pum1 aggregates are dissolved prior to translational activation of target mRNAs

We next examined whether the distribution and properties of Pum1 changed during oocyte maturation. Time course analysis of GFP–Pum1 showed that the Pum1 aggregates disappeared after resumption of meiosis (Fig. 5A). Most of the aggregates of GFP–Pum1 had disappeared 4 h after resumption of meiosis, at which time poly(A) tails of Mad2 and cyclin B1 mRNA were elongated (Fig. 1F) and the granules of both RNAs had disappeared (Fig. 2C), suggesting a link between translational activation of target mRNAs and Pum1 dissolution. Consistent with these observations, the ultracentrifugation assay showed that a large proportion of endogenous Pum1 was soluble (69.0±4.4%, n=3) in mature oocytes, compared with the proportion in the soluble fraction in immature oocytes (35.2±3.4%, n=3) (Fig. 4A). In contrast, the Golgi matrix protein GM130 (also known as GOLGA2) remained insoluble in mature oocytes (Fig. 4A). FRAP analysis in mouse oocytes indicated that the t½ of GFP–Pum1 was not significantly different between immature and mature oocytes (Fig. 5B,C, left). Taken together, these results indicate that Pum1 aggregates dissolve during oocyte maturation and suggest that there is a relationship between changes in the property of Pum1 and temporal regulation of target mRNA translation.

Stabilization of Pum1 aggregates prevents the translation of target mRNAs

We next assessed whether the change in the aggregation status of Pum1 was involved in the translational regulation of target mRNAs. Through observing the distributions of truncated forms of Pum1 after resumption of meiosis, we found that the large aggregates of GFP–Pum1 ΔC were stable and persisted until 18 h (Fig. 6A). In contrast, GFP–Pum1 ΔQN no longer formed aggregates (Fig. S4A), and the aggregates of GFP–Pum1 ΔN dissociated within 4 h (Fig. S4B; Fig. 6A). Consistent with the observations after resumption of meiosis, GFP–Pum1, GFP–Pum1 ΔQN, and GFP–Pum1 ΔN did not affect the progression of oocyte maturation, while GFP–Pum1 ΔC prevented polar body extrusion (Fig. 6A,B). Temporal synthesis of proteins is required for proper spindle formation in meiosis I (Davydenko et al., 2013; Kotani and Yamashita, 2002; Polanski et al., 1998; Susor et al., 2015). In oocytes expressing GFP–Pum1 ΔC, meiosis I spindles were defective, while correct meiosis II spindles were formed (Fig. 5B,C, right).
Spindles were formed in oocytes expressing GFP at 18 h after resumption of meiosis (Fig. 6C).

We found that RNA granules of Mad2 and cyclin B1 disappeared in oocytes expressing GFP and GFP–Pum1, GFP–Pum1ΔQN and GFP–Pum1ΔN at 4 h after resumption of meiosis (Fig. 6D,E), as in the case of non-injected oocytes (Fig. 2C,D). In contrast, both RNA granules were maintained in oocytes expressing GFP–Pum1ΔC, although the number of cyclin B1 RNA granules was slightly decreased (Fig. 6D,E). Aggregates of GFP–Pum1ΔC, but not GFP alone, surrounded cyclin B1 RNA granules (Fig. S4C). The amounts of GFP–Pum1 and mutant forms of Pum1 were 1.6–1.8-fold larger than those of endogenous Pum1 (Fig. S4D). Synthesis of Mad2 and cyclin B1 was attenuated in oocytes expressing GFP–Pum1ΔC, while the amounts of both proteins were not changed in oocytes expressing GFP and GFP–Pum1, Pum1ΔQN and Pum1ΔN (Fig. 6F; Fig. S5A). These results suggest that insoluble...
GFP-Pum1ΔC inhibited translational activation of Pum1-target mRNAs by stabilizing Pum1 aggregates and RNA granules, resulting in failure in spindle formation and polar body extrusion.

Cyclin B1 synthesis after resumption of meiosis has been shown to promote bipolar spindle formation in meiosis I via activating MPF in meiosis I (Polanski et al., 1998). At 9 h after resumption of meiosis, bipolar structures of meiosis I spindles were observed in oocytes expressing GFP (94% of oocytes, n=17) as in the case of non-injected oocytes (89% of oocytes, n=9), while meiosis I spindles were still in round shapes without poles in oocytes expressing GFP–Pum1ΔC (60% of oocytes, n=15) (Fig. 6G), which is consistent with the attenuation of cyclin B1 synthesis (Fig. 6F). Injection of cyclin B1 mRNA carrying the SV40 3′UTR, which lacks PBE, at 1 h after resumption of meiosis completely rescued the formation of bipolar spindles (100% of oocytes, n=8) (Fig. 6G). The results indicate that the inhibition of protein synthesis by expression of GFP–Pum1ΔC is indeed a cause of the abnormal progression of meiosis. Under this condition, 50–100% of the oocytes underwent germinal vesicle breakdown (GVBD) (Fig. 7A,B) in a manner dependent on protein synthesis since puromycin treatment prevented GVBD (Fig. 7A). Injection of the anti-Pum1 antibody, but not control IgG, prevented GVBD, dissolution of GFP–Pum1 aggregates, and synthesis of cyclin B1 and Mad2 (Fig. 7B–D; Fig. S5B). The injected anti-Pum1 antibody was distributed within the cytoplasm in a similar manner to that of endogenous Pum1 (Fig. 7E). These results strongly suggest that the anti-Pum1 antibody inhibited the dissolution of endogenous Pum1 aggregates and thereby prevented the translational activation of Pum1-target mRNAs.

Pum1 phosphorylation is linked with the dissolution of aggregates

We finally assessed the mechanism by which Pum1 aggregates are dissolved. As observed in Xenopus and zebrafish (Ota et al., 2011a; Saitoh et al., 2018), the electrophoretic mobility of Pum1 was reduced in mature mouse oocytes (Fig. 8A, left). This reduction was recovered upon phosphatase treatment (Fig. 8A, right), indicating that Pum1 is phosphorylated during mouse oocyte maturation. Treatment of immature oocytes with okadaic acid (OA), a protein phosphatase 1 and 2A (PP1 and PP2A) inhibitor (Bialojan and Takai, 1988), induced Pum1 phosphorylation and rapid dissolution of Pum1 aggregates (Fig. 8B–D). These results suggest that kinases

---

Fig. 5. Insoluble and immobile properties of Pum1 are changed during oocyte maturation. (A) Time course of GFP–Pum1 at 0, 2, 4 and 18 h after resumption of meiosis. Similar results were obtained from six independent experiments. Dashed lines outline edge of germinal vesicle (GV). (B) FRAP analysis of GFP–Pum1 in immature and mature mouse oocytes. Fluorescence recovery curves in immature (n=12) and mature (n=6) oocytes are shown (means ± s.d.). (C) Values of half time of recovery (t½) of the first (1st) and second (2nd) fractions of GFP–Pum1 (left) and percentage of GFP–Pum1 (right) present in immobile fractions for immature (Im) and mature (M) oocytes. **P<0.01 (unpaired t-test). Scale bars: 20 µm (A), 10 µm (B).
Fig. 6. Stabilization of Pum1 aggregates through expression of Pum1ΔC prevents the translation of target mRNA. (A) Distributions of GFP, GFP–Pum1ΔN and GFP–Pum1ΔC at 0 and 18 h after resumption of meiosis. Dashed lines outline edge of germinal vesicle (GV). (B) Percentages of oocytes not injected (−) and injected with GFP, GFP–Pum1 (Pum1), GFP–Pum1ΔQN (ΔQN), GFP–Pum1ΔN (ΔN) and GFP–Pum1ΔC (ΔC) that extruded a polar body (means±s.d.; n=3). The numbers in parentheses indicate the total numbers of oocytes analyzed. **P<0.01 (unpaired t-test relative to the oocytes injected with GFP). (C) Immunofluorescence of β-tubulin (red) in oocytes injected with GFP or GFP–Pum1ΔC (Pum1ΔC) at 18 h after resumption of meiosis. DNA is shown in blue. Arrows indicate multiple poles. Similar results were obtained from three independent experiments. (D) FISH analysis of Mad2 (green) and cyclin B1 (red) mRNAs in oocytes expressing GFP, GFP–Pum1ΔQN (Pum1ΔQN), GFP–Pum1ΔN (Pum1ΔN) and GFP–Pum1ΔC (Pum1ΔC) at 0 and 4 h after resumption of meiosis. (E) The numbers of RNA granules per 100 µm² in individual oocytes in D were counted (mean±s.d.). The numbers in parentheses indicate the total numbers of oocytes analyzed. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 (unpaired t-test). (F) Immunoblotting of cyclin B1, Mad2 and γ-tubulin in oocytes not injected (−) and injected with GFP, GFP–Pum1 (Pum1), GFP–Pum1ΔQN (ΔQN), GFP–Pum1ΔN (ΔN) and GFP–Pum1ΔC (ΔC) 4 h after resumption of meiosis. Similar results were obtained from three independent experiments. (G) Immunofluorescence of β-tubulin (red) in oocytes not injected (Control) and injected with GFP, GFP–Pum1ΔC (Pum1ΔC) or GFP–Pum1ΔC followed by the injection with cyclin B1 mRNA (Pum1ΔC+cyclin B1) at 9 h after resumption of meiosis. DNA is shown in blue. GV, germinal vesicle; PB, polar body. Scale bars: 20 µm (A,C,G), 5 µm (D).
Fig. 7. Stabilization of Pum1 aggregates by anti-Pum1 antibody prevents the translation of target mRNA. (A) Percentages of oocytes incubated with (+) and without (−) puromycin (Puro) that induced GVBD (mean±s.d.; n=3). **P<0.01 (unpaired t-test). (B) Percentages of oocytes not injected (−) and injected with anti-Pum1 antibody (α-Pum1) or control IgG (IgG) that induced GVBD (mean±s.d.; n=5). *P<0.05 (unpaired t-test). (C) Distribution of GFP-Pum1 in oocytes injected with anti-Pum1 antibody (α-Pum1) or control IgG (IgG). Dashed lines outline edge of indicated region or oocyte. (D) Immunoblotting of cyclin B1, Mad2 and γ-tubulin in oocytes not injected (−) and injected with anti-Pum1 antibody (α-Pum1) or control IgG (IgG) at 0 and 18 h after resumption of meiosis. Similar results were obtained from two independent experiments. (E) Distribution of the injected anti-Pum1 antibody (magenta). DNA is shown in blue. (F) Immunoblotting of Pum1 in oocytes not injected (−) and injected with anti-Pum1 antibody (α-Pum1) or control IgG (IgG) at 0 and 18 h after resumption of meiosis. Similar results were obtained from two independent experiments. GV, germinai vesicle; PB, polar body. Scale bars: 20 µm.

**DISCUSSION**

Extensive biochemical studies have demonstrated the importance of cis-acting mRNA elements and trans-acting RNA-binding proteins in the temporal regulation of translation (Radford et al., 2008). However, their cytoplasmic and molecular mechanisms remain largely unknown. Our results provide an aggregation–dissolution model for temporal and spatial control of mRNA translation, that is, Pum1 aggregates in clustered structures ensure translational repression of target mRNAs by stably maintaining their granular structures, and the dissolution of aggregates, possibly mediated by phosphorylation, permits the disassembly of granules and translational activation of mRNAs. Given that many dormant mRNAs stored in oocytes contain PBEs (Chen et al., 2011) and Pum1 targets more than 1000 mRNAs in the testis and brain (Chen et al., 2012), Pum1 would be expected to target a large number of mRNAs in oocytes. In addition, clusters of Pum1 aggregates might comprise granules of these target mRNAs and related proteins, and thereby allow their coordinated regulation. Our results will be a basis for understanding how translational timings of hundreds of mRNAs are coordinately regulated.

**Phase changes of Pum1 and translational regulation of target mRNAs**

Recent studies have demonstrated that many of the RNA-binding proteins harbor prion-like domains and that some of these proteins

...
Fig. 8. Phosphorylation of Pum1 is coupled with the dissolution of aggregates, disassembly of RNA granules and translational activation of target mRNAs. (A) Analysis of phosphorylation of Pum1 (P-Pum1). Left, immature (Im) and mature (M) oocytes were analyzed by immunoblotting. Right, immunoblotting after treatment with (+) and without (−) alkaline phosphatase (AP). Similar results were obtained from two independent experiments. (B) Top, Pum1 phosphorylation in oocytes treated with OA (+) or DMSO (−). Similar results were obtained from four independent experiments. Bottom, Pum1 phosphorylation in oocytes at 60 min after treatment with (+) and without (−) OA or Plk4 inhibitor. (C) Time course of GFP–Pum1 in oocytes treated with DMSO, OA, or OA and Plk4 inhibitor for 0–120 min after treatment. Similar results were obtained from three independent experiments. (D) Quantitative analysis (mean±s.d.) of Pum1 aggregates in oocytes treated with (+) and without (−) OA or Plk4 inhibitor. The numbers in parentheses indicate the total numbers of oocytes analyzed. **P<0.01 (unpaired t-test). (E) FISH analysis of Mad2 (green) and cyclin B1 (red) mRNAs in oocytes treated with DMSO, OA or OA and Plk4 inhibitor (OA+Plk4 inhibitor) at 120 min after treatment. (F) The numbers of RNA granules per 100 µm² in individual oocytes in E were counted (mean±s.d.). The numbers in parentheses indicate the total numbers of oocytes analyzed. **P<0.01 (unpaired t-test). (G) Immunoblotting of Mad2, cyclin B1 and γ-tubulin in oocytes treated with (+) and without (−) OA or Plk4 inhibitor 120 min after resumption of meiosis. Similar results were obtained from three independent experiments. Dashed lines outline edge of indicated region or oocyte. GV, germinal vesicle. Scale bars: 20 µm.
have the ability to assemble RNA granules (Decker et al., 2007; Gilks et al., 2004; Rejins et al., 2008). These RNA-binding proteins were shown to promote liquid–liquid phase separation, resulting in the assembly of protein–RNA complexes into droplets (Elbaum-Garfinkle et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2015; Molliex et al., 2015; Nott et al., 2015). These droplets are thought to function as partitions that effectively maintain stability and/or translational repression of mRNAs. In contrast, phase transition of the liquid droplets into solid-like structures such as amyloid fibrils has been thought to contribute to pathological diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Li et al., 2013; Weber and Brangwynne, 2012). However, more recently, solid granules were found to assemble during muscle regeneration in a physical state (Vogler et al., 2018). In addition, core regions of stress granules were shown to exhibit solid-like properties (Jain et al., 2016; Shinya, 2019). Although these findings suggest the involvement of solid granules in RNA regulation, the physiological importance of the phase changes of protein aggregation from liquid to solid states and vice versa remains unclear.

In this study, we demonstrated that Pum1 assembled into aggregates in highly clustered structures through the Q/N-rich region and that these aggregates showed insoluble and immobile properties in immature oocytes (Figs 3 and 4). After initiation of oocyte maturation, the Pum1 aggregates dissolved into a soluble and mobile state (Figs. 4A and 5). The mutant form of Pum1 that lacks the C-terminal PUF domain, Pum1ΔC, formed stable aggregates and these structures persisted after initiation of oocyte maturation (Fig. 6A; Fig. S2G). Pum1ΔC is expected to be unable to bind to target mRNAs but to have the ability to form assemblies via the Q/N-rich region. Since it has been shown that RNA molecules can ‘buffer’ the assembly of RNA-binding proteins that harbor prion-like domains into solid-like aggregates (Maharana et al., 2018), it is possible that the lack of the RNA-binding ability of Pum1ΔC results in the assembly of large and stable aggregates, as in the case of RNA-binding proteins such as TDP43 and FUS. Pum1ΔC would stabilize endogenous Pum1 aggregates via Q/N-rich region-mediated assembly into or around endogenous Pum1 aggregates, and thereby prevent the translational activation of Pum1-target mRNAs (Fig. 6). The anti-Pum1 antibody also prevented dissociation of Pum1 aggregates and synthesis of cyclin B1 and Mad2 (Fig. 7). This antibody could act to stabilize Pum1 aggregates by inhibiting Pum1 phosphorylation (Fig. 7F) as discussed below, although we cannot rule out a possibility that the antibody affected the conformation or composition of Pum1 assemblies. Collectively, our results suggest a physiological significance of phase changes of protein aggregation in translational repression and activation of target mRNAs.

**Regulation of the subcellular structures and states of Pum1 by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation**

P granules are the germline granules in *C. elegans* that are important for fate decisions of germline cells. Live imaging of embryos demonstrated that P granules behave as dynamic liquid droplets (Brangwynne et al., 2009). Intriguingly, disassembly of *C. elegans* P granules after fertilization has been shown to require MBK-2 kinase, while subsequent assembly of P granules at the posterior region of embryos requires protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) (Gallo et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2014). MEG-1 and MEG-3 were found to be the substrates of MBK-2 and PP2A in the granules (Wang et al., 2014). These results demonstrated that the dynamics of liquid RNA granules is regulated by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of assembled proteins.

Our results suggest the importance of protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation for changes in structures and states of Pum1 aggregates. SDS-PAGE analysis demonstrated that Pum1 was phosphorylated during mouse oocyte maturation (Fig. 8A). Interestingly, treatment of oocytes with OA, an inhibitor of PP1 and PP2A, led to rapid dissociation of Pum1 aggregates and induced Pum1 phosphorylation (Fig. 8B–D). Since PP2A was shown to be localized in the cytoplasm of GV-stage mouse oocytes, while PP1 was dominantly localized in the nucleus (Smith et al., 1998), PP2A would be a phosphatase involved in Pum1 dephosphorylation and the maintenance of Pum1 aggregates. Even when the activity of PP1 and PP2A was inhibited by OA, Pum1 phosphorylation was attenuated and the aggregates persisted in the presence of a Plk4 inhibitor (Fig. 8B–D), suggesting that Plk4 is a kinase responsible for Pum1 phosphorylation and aggregate dissolution. However, it is likely that other kinases phosphorylate Pum1, since inhibition of Plk4 activity delayed, but did not completely prevent, the dissolution of Pum1 aggregates and Pum1 phosphorylation after initiation of oocyte maturation (unpublished data). To date, only Nemo-like kinase 1 (Nlk1) has been shown to phosphorylate Pum1 (Ota et al., 2011b). Our results suggest the participation of MPF in the dissolution of Pum1 aggregates (Fig. S6A). Involvement of Nlk1, MPF and other kinases in phosphorylation of Pum1 and dissolution of aggregates remains to be investigated. Puf3, one of the PUF family proteins in yeast, was shown to be phosphorylated at more than 20 sites throughout the entire region (Lee and Tu, 2015). In addition, we previously showed that Pum1 was phosphorylated at multiple sites in an early period of oocyte maturation in zebrafish (Saitoh et al, 2018). These results suggest that many sites, including those in the Q/N-rich domain, might be phosphorylated, resulting in Pum1 aggregate dissolution.

The anti-Pum1 antibody injected into GV-stage oocytes prevented the Pum1 phosphorylation, aggregate dissolution, and synthesis of cyclin B1 and Mad2 (Fig. 7). Since this antibody recognizes amino acid residues from 225 to 275 of Pum1, phosphorylation around this region might be crucial for triggering dissolution of Pum1 aggregates. We previously showed that overexpression of GFP–Pum1ΔC prevented disassembly of RNA granules and phosphorylation of endogenous Pum1 in zebrafish oocytes (Saitoh et al., 2018). Correctively, these results support the notion that phosphorylation of Pum1 is a critical step in promoting dissolution of Pum1 aggregates, disassembly of RNA granules, and translational activation of Pum1-target mRNAs.

**Subcellular structures of Pum1 and homogenous RNA granules**

An intriguing finding in this study is that the Pum1-target Mad2 and cyclin B1 mRNAs formed distinct granules in the oocyte cytoplasm, instead of making granules containing both mRNAs (Fig. 2). Pum1 was found to produce highly clustered structures that surrounded both Mad2 and cyclin B1 RNA granules (Fig. 3). These structures partially resemble those of germ granules in *Drosophila* embryos, in which mRNAs form homogenous RNA clusters and are spatially positioned within the granules, while RNA-binding proteins are evenly distributed throughout the granules (Treich et al., 2015). These findings suggest the existence of a common mechanism by which each mRNA could be organized into homogenous particles. However, in contrast to our findings, the structures of *Drosophila* germ granules were not changed during early stages of embryogenesis and were independent of the control of mRNA translation and degradation (Treich et al., 2015). Therefore, the function of spatially organized structures of germ granules in...
Drosophila embryos seems to be different from the function of subcellular structures of Pum1 and RNA granules in mouse oocytes.

Our results showed that Pum1 aggregates surrounded and overlapped Mad2 and cyclin B1 RNA granules at the periphery but were rarely localized at the center of granules (Fig. 3). Given that Pum1 was shown to bind directly to PBE in the 3′UTR of target mRNAs including cyclin B1 (Kotani et al., 2013; Nakahata et al., 2003; Ota et al., 2011a; Piquet et al., 2008), Pum1-target mRNAs may form highly ordered structures within granules in which the 3′ ends of mRNAs are localized at the periphery of granules, as in the case of the long noncoding RNA, Neat1, in paraspeckle nuclear bodies (Souquere et al., 2010; West et al., 2016). In a small proportion of cyclin B1 and Mad2 RNA granules, Pum1 was localized at the center of granules. Since this type of localization was found in large granules, the central localization may result from co-localization of several granules that are surrounded by Pum1. Structural analysis of the RNA granules will be an interesting issue to be explored.

Details of the molecular mechanisms by which Pum1 is assembled into aggregates remain unknown. One possible model is that Pum1 binds to a target mRNA via the PUF domain and subsequently assemblies into aggregates via the Q/N-rich region. Another possibility is that Pum1 contains two populations; one population binds to target mRNAs and the other functions as structural scaffolds without binding to mRNAs. In addition to the homogenous assembly of Pum1, heterogenous assembly with other RNA-binding proteins may produce aggregates. In any case, the resulting Pum1 aggregates in clustered structures would make compartments that function as regulatory units with related proteins assembled together. These units would enable the coordinate regulation of the translation of assembled mRNAs. In various cells besides oocytes, many mRNAs are known to be transported and localized at subcellular regions through binding of RNA-binding proteins to mainly 3′UTRs (Martin and Ephrussi, 2009; Mili and Macara, 2009; Russo et al., 2008). Recent studies have demonstrated the translationally repressed mRNAs accumulate at protrusions of fibroblast cells and synapses of neuronal cells in a static state (Buxbaum et al., 2014; Moissoglu et al., 2019). Since Pum1 functions in diverse systems and other RNA-binding proteins that harbor prion-like domains may function in a manner similar to that of Pum1, our results will contribute to an understanding of the nature of temporal and spatial control of translation in many cell types of diverse organisms.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation of oocytes and oocytes**

All animal experiments in this study were approved by the Committee on Animal Experimentation, Hokkaido University. Mouse oocytes were dissected from 8-week-old females in M2 medium (Sigma). Oocytes were retrieved from ovaries by puncturing the ovaries with a needle in M2 medium containing 10 µM milrinone (Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical), which prevents resumption of oocyte maturation. To induce oocyte maturation, the isolated oocytes were washed three times and incubated with M2 medium without milrinone at 37°C. Alternately, oocyte maturation was induced by injection of 5 U of pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (hCG; Kyoritsu Seiyaku) 48 h after injection of 5 U of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG; Kyoritsu Seiyaku) and 1 mg/ml leupetin (1:500 dilution) for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant and precipitates were collected and used for immunoblot analysis.

**Zebrafish ovariess**

Zebrafish ovariess were dissected from adult females in zebrafish Ringer’s solution (116 mM NaCl, 2.9 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, and 5 mM HEPES; pH 7.2). Zebrafish ovariess were manually isolated from ovaries with forceps under a dissecting microscope. Oocyte maturation was induced by treatment with 1 µg/ml of 17α,20β-dihydroxy-4-pregnen-3-one (Toronto Research Chemicals), a maturation-inducing hormone (MIH) in fish. For ultracentrifugation analysis, fully grown immature oocytes and oocytes 3 h after MIH stimulation (matured oocytes) were homogenized with an equal volume of ice-cold EB containing 0.2% Tween 20. After ultracentrifugation using an S100AT6 rotor at 90,000 g for 30 min at 4°C, the supernatant and precipitates were collected and used for immunoblot analysis.

**RT-PCR and quantitative PCR**

Total RNA extracted from mouse ovariess or 50 immature oocytes was used for cDNA synthesis using the Super Script III First Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen). The full length of Mad2 mRNA was amplified with the cDNA and primer sets specific to Mad2, mMad2-1 (5′-GATGGTGTTCCGTT-CGATCTAG-3′) and mMad2-21 (5′-GTATACCGACTTTAAAGCTTG-ATTITTA-3′). The amounts of short and long Mad2 mRNA were quantified by using a real-time PCR system with SYBR green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The short and long Mad2 transcripts were amplified with the cDNA and primer sets to both types of Mad2, mMad2-1 (5′-GAAAGAATGGTTCTGTGATAAAACACCA-3′) and mMad2-21 (5′-CTCCGTTCCGACTTAAAGCTTG-ATTITTA-3′). The amounts of short and long Mad2 mRNAs were quantified using a real-time PCR system with SYBR green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The short and long Mad2 transcripts were amplified with the cDNA and primer sets specific to Mad2, mMad2-2 (5′-GGAAGGATCCGATGATGACCG-3′) and mMad2-21 (5′-CTTTCTGTTCCGACTTAAAGCTTG-ATTITTA-3′). The signals obtained with distinct primer sets were normalized with standard curves obtained with plasmid DNAs encoding the short or long Mad2 gene.

**Section in situ hybridization**

**Section in situ hybridization and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)** with the tyramide signal amplification (TSA) Plus DNP system (PerkinElmer) were performed according to the procedure reported previously (Takei et al., 2018). Briefly, fixed ovariess or oovictoria containing oocytes isolated from ovariess were dehydrated, embedded in paraffin and cut into 7-µm-thick sections. Digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled antisense RNA probes for the full length of short Mad2 and sequences specific to long Mad2 were used for detection of Mad2 gene transcripts. No signal was detected with sense probes. After hybridization and washing, samples were incubated with an anti-DIG-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) antibody (Roche, cat. no. 11 633 716 001; 1:500 dilution) for 30 min. To detect Mad2 transcripts by alkaline phosphatase (AP) staining, a reaction with tyramide–dinitrophenyl (DNP) (PerkinElmer) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The samples were then incubated with an anti-DNP-AP antibody (PerkinElmer cat. no. NEL746A; 1:500 dilution) for 30 min, followed by reaction with NBT and BCIP according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To detect Mad2 transcripts by fluorescence microscopy, a reaction with tyramide–fluorescein (PerkinElmer) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To detect nuclei, samples were incubated with 10 µg/ml Hoechst 33258 for 10 min. After being mounted with a Prolong Antifade Kit (Molecular Probes), the samples were observed under an LSM5 LIVE confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss) at room temperature using a Plan Apochromat 63×/1.4 NA oil differential interference contrast lens and LSM 5 DUO 4.2 software (Carl Zeiss).

**Double in situ hybridization of Mad2 and cyclin B1 transcripts** was performed as follows. A fluorescein-labeled antisense RNA probe for cyclin B1 was used for detection of the cyclin B1 gene transcript. Sections (7-µm-
thick) of mouse ovariess were hybridized with a mixture of Mad2 and cyclin B1 antisense RNA probes. Then the samples were incubated with an anti-fluorescein–HRP antibody (Roche, cat. no. 11 426 346 910; 1:200 dilution) for 30 min. Reaction with tyramide–Cy3 (PerkinElmer) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For inactivating HRP, samples were incubated with 1% H2O2 in PBS for 15 min. Detection of the DIG-labeled antisense Mad2 RNA probe was performed as described above. After staining with Hoechst 33258, the samples were mounted and observed under the LSM 5 LIVE confocal microscope. The number of Mad2 and cyclin B1 RNA granules was quantified using ImageJ software, which enables detection of granules according to size (larger than 0.2 µm) and intensity at the center of granules. Similar results were obtained using a fluorescein-labeled antisense RNA probe for Mad2 and a DIG-labeled RNA probe for cyclin B1.

Immunoblotting
Mouse oocyte extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE with Bolt Bis-Tris Plus Gels (Novex), blotted onto an Immobilon membrane using a Bolt Mini Blot Module (Novex), and probed with an anti-human Pum1 goat antibody (Bethyl Laboratories, cat. no. A300-201A; 1:1000 dilution), an anti-human cyclin B1 rabbit antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., cat. no. sc-752; 1:100 dilution), an anti-hamster cyclin B1 mouse monoclonal antibody (V152, Abcam, cat. no. ab72; 1:100 dilution), and an anti-human Mad2 rabbit antibody (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc., cat. no. A300-301A; 1:1000 dilution). The supernatant and precipitates of zebrafish oocyte extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE, blotted onto an Immobilon membrane, and probed with an anti-Xenopus Pum1 mouse monoclonal antibody (Pum2A5, Nakahata et al., 2001; 1:1000 dilution) and an anti-GM130 mouse monoclonal antibody (BD Biosciences, cat. no. 610822; 1:250). The intensity of signals was quantified using ImageJ software.

Poly(A) test assay
RNA ligation-coupled RT-PCR was performed according to the procedure reported previously (Kotani et al., 2013). Four hundred ng of total RNA extracted from pools of 250 mouse oocytes was ligated to 400 ng of P1 anchor primer [5′-P (phosphate)-GGTCACCTGATCTGAAAGC-NH2-3′] in a 10-µl reaction using T4 RNA ligase (New England Biolabs) for 30 min at 37°C. The ligase was inactivated for 5 min at 92°C. Eight µl of the RNA ligation reaction was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions for inactivating HRP, samples were incubated with 1% H2O2 in PBS for 15 min. Detection of the DIG-labeled antisense Mad2 RNA probe was performed as described above. After staining with Hoechst 33258, the samples were mounted and observed under the LSM 5 LIVE confocal microscope. The number of Mad2 and cyclin B1 RNA granules was quantified using ImageJ software, which enables detection of granules according to size (larger than 0.2 µm) and intensity at the center of granules. Similar results were obtained using a fluorescein-labeled antisense RNA probe for Mad2 and a DIG-labeled RNA probe for cyclin B1.

Immunofluorescence
Fixed ovariess were dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 7-µm-thick sections. After rehydration, samples were microwaved for 10 min (500 W) with 0.01 M citric acid (pH 6.0) containing 0.05% Tween 20, followed by cooling down for 40 min. After incubation with a TNB blocking solution (PerkinElmer) for 1 h at room temperature, the samples were incubated with anti-human Pum1 goat antibody (Novus Biologicals, cat. no. NB100-259; 1:100 dilution) at 4°C for overnight. The samples were then incubated with anti-goat IgG-Alexa Fluor Plus 647 antibody (Invitrogen, cat. no. A32849; 1:200 dilution) at room temperature for 1 h. After staining with Hoechst 33258, the samples were mounted and observed under the LSM 5 LIVE confocal microscope. No signal was detected in the reaction without the anti-Pum1 antibody. To further confirm the specificity of signals, a GST-fused N-terminus fragment of mouse Pum1 (amino acids 1–399) (GST-Pum1N) was expressed in Escherichia coli and gel-purified. Before immunostaining of mouse ovariess, 3 µg of anti-Pum1 antibody was incubated with 18 µg of GST-Pum1N in PBS (300 µl reaction) for overnight at 4°C. To simultaneously detect Pum1 and cyclin B1 and Mad2 mRNAs, the samples were immunostained with the Pum1 antibody as described above after detection of the cyclin B1 and Mad2 RNA probes in situ hybridization analysis. The intensities of signals were measured by ImageJ software. Monte Carlo simulation was performed with ImageJ software by creating random dots and measuring distance to Pum1 aggregates. The dimension of the images used was 5000×5000 nm.

mRNA injection and immunostaining
Sequences encoding the full-length and portions of mouse Pum1 (ΔQN, ΔN and ΔC) were cloned into pCS2-GFP-N to produce Pum1 fused with GFP at the N-terminus of Pum1. mRNAs encoding GFP, GFP-Pum1, GFP-Pum1ΔN, GFP-Pum1ΔN, and GFP-Pum1ΔC were synthesized with an mMESSAGE mMACHINE SP6 kit (Life Technologies) and dissolved in distilled water. Then, 10 pg of the mRNAs was injected into fully grown mouse oocytes using an IM-9B microinjector (Narishige) under a Dmi8 microscope (Leica) in M2 medium containing 10 µM milrinone. After being incubated for 4 h at 37°C, the oocytes were fixed with 2% PFA/PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 for 1 h. After permeabilization, the oocytes were incubated with anti-human Pum1 goat antibody (Novus Biologicals, cat. no. A32849; 1:200 dilution) at room temperature for 2 h. After washing of the cyclin B1 or Mad2 mRNA probe in situ hybridization analysis or were washed four times with M2 medium without milrinone for induction of oocyte maturation. At the appropriate time points after resumption of meiosis, the distribution of proteins fused with GFP was observed under the LSM 5 LIVE confocal microscope. To simultaneously detect GFP-Pum1 and cyclin B1 or Mad2 mRNA, the fixed oocytes were attached on slide glasses using Smear Gell (GenoStaff). The oocytes were immunostained with anti-GFP mouse antibody (Roche, cat. no 1 814 460; 1:200 dilution) followed by anti-mouse IgG-Alexa Fluor 488 antibody (Molecular Probes; 1:200 dilution) after hybridization and washing of the cyclin B1 or Mad2 RNA probe in situ hybridization analysis. To analyze the effects of permeabilization on GFP-Pum1 aggregates, the oocytes injected with mRNA encoding GFP or GFP-Pum1 were incubated for overnight at 37°C with M2 medium containing 10 µM milrinone. After observation under the LSM 5 LIVE confocal microscope, the oocytes were transferred to M2 medium containing 0.012% digitonin and 10 µM milrinone. The oocytes were then observed under the confocal microscope at the appropriate time points.

To analyze the effects of GFP-Pum1ΔC on oocyte maturation, the oocytes injected with mRNA encoding GFP or GFP-Pum1ΔC were incubated for 9 and 18 h at 37°C with M2 medium and then fixed with 4% PFA/PBS for 1 h at 37°C. The samples were permeabilized with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 for 20 min, followed by incubation with a blocking/washing solution (PBS containing 0.3% BSA and 0.01% Tween 20) for 1 h at room temperature. The samples were then incubated with Cy3-conjugated anti-β-tubulin antibody (Sigma, cat. no. C4585; 1:130 dilution) for 30 min at room temperature, washed with washing solution, and mounted with VECTASHIELD mounting medium with DAPI (Fukasobi). The samples were observed under the LSM 5 LIVE confocal microscope. To analyze the stability of Mad2 in immature and mature oocytes, 2.5 pg of mRNA encoding GFP-Mad2 was injected into GV- and MII-stage oocytes. After being incubated for 2 h at 37°C, the oocytes were treated with puromycin and observed under the Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope equipped with the Nikon A1Rsi special imaging confocal laser scanning system.
FRAP analysis
FRAP measurements were performed according to the procedure reported previously (Kimura and Cook, 2001; Tsutsumi et al., 2016). A Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope equipped with a Nikon A1Rs1 special imaging confocal laser scanning microscope (Nikon) was used for the measurements. A small area (~10 μm diameter circle) was positioned in a region of the oocyte cytoplasm and bleached using 100% 488 nm laser with five scans. Images were then collected using 1.0% laser power every 5.0 s for 5.0 min. The relative fluorescence intensity in the bleached area was normalized using the intensity in the control area measured subsequently after measurement of the bleached area. The normalized intensities were analyzed using a fitting equation for a double exponential association model. A smaller bleached area (5 μm diameter circle) gave equivalent results. To observe details of bleached area. The normalized intensities were analyzed using a fitting equation for a double exponential association model. A smaller bleached area (5 μm diameter circle) gave equivalent results. To observe details of bleached area.

Puromycin treatment and Pum1 antibody injection
To inhibit protein synthesis, oocytes were treated with 20 μM puromycin in M2 medium and incubated at 37°C. The oocytes were collected at appropriate time points after incubation with puromycin for immunoblotting analysis. A total of 2 pg of anti-Pum1 antibody (Bethyl Laboratories, cat. no. A300-201A) was injected into fully grown mouse oocytes using the microinjector in M2 medium containing 10 μM milrinone. The oocytes were then washed three times and incubated for 18 h at 37°C with M2 medium containing 1 μM milrinone. To analyze the distribution of GFP–Pum1, 10 pg of the GFP–Pum1 mRNA was co-injected with 2 pg of anti-Pum1 antibody into fully grown mouse oocytes, followed by washing and incubation of oocytes as described above. The distribution of GFP–Pum1 was observed under the LSM 5 LIVE confocal microscope.

Phosphatase treatment
The dephosphorylation experiments were performed according to the procedure reported previously (Pahlavan et al., 2000). Briefly, samples of 30 oocytes in phosphate buffer (New England Biolabs) containing 1% SDS, 100 µM PMSF and 3 μg/ml leupeptin were incubated with 17.5 U alkaline phosphatase (New England Biolabs) at 37°C for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by adding an equal volume of LDS sample buffer. The samples were then analyzed by immunoblotting.

Okadaic acid, BI2536, centrinine, U0126 and roscovitine treatment
To inhibit activities of protein phosphatase 1 and 2A, oocytes were treated with 2.5 μM okadaic acid (OA; Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical) in M2 medium containing 10 μM milrinone and incubated at 37°C. OA was dissolved in DMSO as stocks and diluted in M2 medium before use. As a control, oocytes were treated with DMSO. The oocytes were collected at 16 h after incubation for immunoblotting analysis. To analyze the distribution of GFP–Pum1, fully grown mouse oocytes were injected with 10 pg of the GFP–Pum1 mRNA and incubated in M2 medium containing 10 μM milrinone at 37°C for 4 h, followed by treatment with OA as described above. The distribution of GFP–Pum1 was observed under the LSM 5 LIVE confocal microscope. Activities of Plk1 and Plk4 were inhibited by treating the oocytes with 100 nM BI2536 (Chemsence) and 5 μM centrinine (MedChemexpress), respectively, according to the procedure reported previously (Bury et al., 2017). Activities of MAPKs and MPF were inhibited by treating the oocytes with 50 μM U0126 (Abcam) and 50 μM roscovitine (Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical), respectively, according to the procedure reported previously (Nabiti et al., 2014).
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