

Homing strategies of the Australian desert ant *Melophorus bagoti*. II. Interaction of the path integrator with visual cue information

Ajay Narendra

10.1242/jeb.02791

There were errors published in *J. Exp. Biol.* **210**, 1804-1812.

An error was published in the last paragraph of the *Analysis* section on p. 1806.

The correct wording for the text is:

Trajectories of ants displaced laterally were analysed in four categories based on their search patterns: (a) zero turns: paths that did not have any turns $\geq 50^\circ$; (b) one turn: paths of ants characterised by a single turn $\geq 50^\circ$, these are usually 90° turns directed towards the route-mark corridor; (c) zero loops: paths of ants with one or more turn/s $\geq 50^\circ$, but the paths never form loops; and (d) \geq one loop: paths of ants that turn $\geq 50^\circ$ and form a loop/s.

Errors were also published in the footnote of Table on p. 1809.

The correct wording for the footnote is:

Note: zero turns: paths that did not have any turns $\geq 50^\circ$; one turn: paths characterised by a single turn $\geq 50^\circ$; zero loop: paths with more than one turn/s $\geq 50^\circ$, but never a loop; \geq one loop: paths with turns $\geq 50^\circ$ and also a loop/s.

We apologise to the author and readers for these errors.