Table S1. The effects of freezing temperature exposure on egg production in *Eurosta solidaginis*. Values are generalized linear model (quasipoisson distribution) statistics comparing egg production in adult *Eurosta solidaginis* as a result of cold exposure type (repeated exposures or a single exposure), at different temperatures (-10, -15, or -20 °C) as overwintering prepupae. Repeatedly-exposed prepupae received 3, 6, or 10 freezing events of 12 h duration (number of exposures), at a time interval of 1, 5, or 10 days (period between exposures), while prolonged freeze prepupae received a single 120 h freezing exposure either in January or in March (time of year exposed). Retained terms with significant p-values (p < 0.05) are in bold typeface.

Exposure type	Initial model	Minimal adequate model	Term	F	df	Р
Repeated	$Y = body mass + exposure temperature \times period between exposures \times number of exposures$	Y = body mass	Body mass	102.4	1, 148	<0.001
Prolonged	$Y = body mass + exposure temperature \times time of year exposed$	Y = body mass	Body mass	41.31	1, 29	<0.001
Repeated vs.	Y = body mass + exposure type	Y = body mass + exposure type	Body mass Exposure type	140.4 3.91	1, 178 1, 178	<0.001 0.048
prolonged					_, _, 0	

Table S2. The effects of freezing temperature exposure on survival to adulthood in *Eurosta solidaginis*. Values are generalized linear model (binomial distribution) statistics comparing survival to eclosion in *Eurosta solidaginis* as a result of cold exposure type (repeated exposures or a single exposure), at different temperatures (-10, -15, or -20 °C) as overwintering prepupae. Repeatedly-exposed prepupae received 3, 6, or 10 freezing events of 12 h duration (number of exposures), at a time interval of 1, 5, or 10 days (period between exposures), while prolonged freeze prepupae received a single 120 h freezing exposure either in January or in March (time of year exposed). Retained terms with significant p-values (p < 0.05) are in bold typeface.

Exposure type	Initial model	Minimal adequate model	Term	Df	Р
Repeated	Y = exposure temperature × period between exposures × number of exposures	Y = exposure temperature + period between exposures + temperature × period	Temperature Number Period Temperature × Period Temperature × Number Number × Period Temperature × Number × Period	1, 25 2, 21 2, 23 2, 19 2, 17 4, 13 4, 9	0.282 0.340 0.100 0.019 < 0.001 0.012 < 0.001
Prolonged	Y = exposure temperature \times time of year exposed	Y = exposure temperature × time of year exposed	Temperature Time of year Temperature × Time of year	1, 3 1, 4 1, 2	0.808 0.380 0.026
Repeated vs. prolonged	$Y = exposure type \times temperature$	Y = exposure type + exposure temperature	Exposure type Exposure temperature	4, 10 1, 9	<0.001 0.013

Table S3. The effects of freezing temperature exposure on supercooling point (SCP) in *Eurosta solidaginis* prepupae. Values are ANOVA statistics comparing supercooling point (°C) in *Eurosta solidaginis* prepupae as a result of cold exposure type (repeated exposures, a single exposure, or control conditions at 0 °C), at different temperatures (-10, -15, or -20 °C) as overwintering prepupae. Repeatedly-exposed prepupae received 3, 6, or 10 freezing events of 12 h duration (number of exposures), at a time interval of 1, 5, or 10 days (period between exposures), while prolonged freeze prepupae received a single 120 h freezing exposure either in January or in March (time of year exposed). Control prepupae were sampled in January, February, and March (time of year sampled). Reported effect sizes are from a linear model with the same terms and represent total change (in °C) due to the term. Retained terms with significant p-values (p < 0.05) are in bold typeface.

Exposure	Initial model	Minimal	Term	F	Df	P (effect size)
type		adequate model				
Repeated	Y = exposure	Y = exposure	Exposure temperature	0.03	1, 553	0.86 (0.37
	temperature ×	temperature ×	Frequency of exposure	0.48	1, 553	0.49 (-0.82)
	frequency of	frequency of	Number of exposures	18.14	1, 553	< 0.001 (-1.13)
	exposures \times	exposures \times	Exposure temperature \times Frequency of exposure	3.08	1, 553	0.08 (-0.06)
	number of	number of	Exposure temperature \times Number of exposures	0.99	1, 553	0.32 (-0.07)
	exposures	exposures	Frequency of exposure \times Number of exposures	0.25	1, 553	0.62 (0.15)
			Temperature × Frequency of exposures ×	29.12	1, 553	< 0.001 (0.01)
			Number of exposures			
			_			
Prolonged	Y = exposure	Y = time of year	Exposure temperature	2.59	1, 171	0.121 (0.06)
	temperature × time of year exposed and sampled	exposed and sampled	Time of year	23.07	1, 171	<0.001 (-1.61)
Control	Y = time of year sampled	Y = intercept				
Repeated	Y = exposure	Y = exposure	Exposure type	6.59	1, 233	0.011 (-0.77)
VS.	temperature ×	type			_,	
prolonged	exposure type	~ 1				
vs. control	1 71					
vs. control	_ •••					

Table S4. The effects of freezing temperature exposure on glycogen content in *Eurosta solidaginis* **prepupae.** Values are ANCOVA statistics comparing glycogen mass (mg) in *Eurosta solidaginis* prepupae as a result of cold exposure type (repeated exposures, a single exposure, or control conditions at 0 °C), at different temperatures (-10, -15, or -20 °C) as overwintering prepupae. Repeatedly-exposed prepupae received 3, 6, or 10 freezing events of 12 h duration (number of exposures), at a time interval of 1, 5, or 10 days (period between exposures), while prolonged freeze prepupae received a single 120 h freezing exposure either in January or in March (time of year exposed). Control prepupae were sampled in January, February, and March (time of year sampled). Retained terms with significant p-values (p < 0.05) are in bold typeface.

Exposure	Initial model	Minimal adequate model	Term	F	Df	Р
type						
Repeated	Y = protein mass + exposure temperature × period between exposures × number of exposures	Y = protein mass	Protein	79.200	1, 133	<0.001
Prolonged	Y = protein mass + exposure temperature × time of year exposed and sampled	Y = protein mass + temperature	Protein Temperature	40.050 6.638	1, 42 1, 42	<0.001 0.014
Control	Y = protein mass + time of year sampled	Y = protein mass + time of year sampled	Protein Time of year	7.016 6.641	1, 11 2, 11	0.023 0.013
Repeated vs. prolonged vs. control	Y = protein mass + exposure group	Y = protein mass	Protein	120.89	1, 193	<0.001

Table S5. The effects of freezing temperature exposure on glycerol content in *Eurosta solidaginis* **prepupae.** Values are ANCOVA statistics comparing glycerol mass (mg) in *Eurosta solidaginis* prepupae as a result of cold exposure type (repeated exposures, a single exposure, or control conditions at 0 °C), at different temperatures (-10, -15, or -20 °C) as overwintering prepupae. Repeatedly-exposed prepupae received 3, 6, or 10 freezing events of 12 h duration (number of exposures), at a time interval of 1, 5, or 10 days (period between exposures), while prolonged freeze prepupae received a single 120 h freezing exposure either in January or in March (time of year exposed). Control prepupae were sampled in January, February, and March (time of year sampled). Retained terms with significant p-values (p < 0.05) are in bold typeface.

Exposure type	Initial model	Minimal adequate model	Term	F	Df	Р
Repeated	Y = protein mass + exposure temperature × period between exposures × number of exposures	Y = protein mass + exposure temperature × period between exposures × number of exposures	Protein Temperature Period Number Temperature × Period Temperature × Number Period × Number Temperature × Period × Number	 6.971 1.972 3.385 2.530 10.095 5.752 1.693 5.491 	1, 116 1, 116 2, 116 2, 116 2, 116 2, 116 4, 116 4, 116	0.009 0.163 0.037 0.048 <0.001 0.004 0.156 <0.001
Prolonged	Y = protein mass + exposure temperature × time of year exposed and sampled	Y = protein mass + exposure temperature × time of year exposed and sampled	Protein Temperature Time of year Temperature × Time of year	0.272 5.700 7.713 2.836	1, 38 1, 38 2, 38 2, 38	0.605 0.022 0.002 0.071
Control	Y = protein mass + time of year sampled	Y = null model				
Repeated vs. prolonged vs. control	Y = protein mass + exposure group	Y = protein mass + exposure group	Protein Exposure group	0.228 5.930	1, 85 18, 85	0.634 < 0.001

Table S6. The effects of freezing temperature exposure on sorbitol content in *Eurosta solidaginis* **prepupae.** Values are ANCOVA statistics comparing sorbitol mass (mg) in *Eurosta solidaginis* prepupae as a result of cold exposure type (repeated exposures, a single exposure, or control conditions at 0 °C), at different temperatures (-10, -15, or -20 °C) as overwintering prepupae. Repeatedly-exposed prepupae received 3, 6, or 10 freezing events of 12 h duration (number of exposures), at a time interval of 1, 5, or 10 days (period between exposures), while prolonged freeze prepupae received a single 120 h freezing exposure either in January or in March (time of year exposed). Control prepupae were sampled in January, February, and March (time of year sampled). Retained terms with significant p-values (p < 0.05) are in bold typeface.

Exposure type	Initial model	Minimal adequate model	Term	F	Df	Р
Repeated	Y = protein mass + exposure temperature × period between exposures × number of exposures	Y = exposure temperature × period between exposures × number of exposures	Temperature Period Number Temperature × Period Temperature × Number Period × Number Temperature × Period × Number	1.224 21.755 0.019 1.722 5.080 8.571 3.443	1, 117 2, 117 2, 117 2, 117 2, 117 4, 117 4, 117 4, 117	0.271 < 0.001 0.981 0.183 0.008 < 0.001 0.011
Prolonged	Y = protein mass + exposure temperature × time of year exposed and sampled	Y = exposure temperature × time of year exposed and sampled	Temperature Time of year Temperature × time of year	6.428 10.651 8.352	1, 39 2, 39 2, 39	0.015 <0.001 <0.001
Control	Y = protein mass + time of year sampled	$\mathbf{Y} = $ time of year	Time of year	4.716	2, 12	0.031
Repeated vs. prolonged vs. control	Y = protein mass + exposure group	Y = exposure group	Exposure group	8.814	20, 84	<0.001

Table S7. The effects of freezing temperature exposure on long-chain triacylglycerol content in *Eurosta solidaginis* prepupae. Values are ANCOVA statistics comparing long chain triacylglycerol mass (mg) in *Eurosta solidaginis* prepupae as a result of cold exposure type (repeated exposures, a single exposure, or control conditions at 0 °C), at different temperatures (-10, -15, or -20 °C) as overwintering prepupae. Repeatedly-exposed prepupae received 3, 6, or 10 freezing events of 12 h duration (number of exposures), at a time interval of 1, 5, or 10 days (period between exposures), while prolonged freeze prepupae received a single 120 h freezing exposure either in January or in March (time of year exposed). Control prepupae were sampled in January, February, and March (time of year sampled). Retained terms with significant p-values (p < 0.05) are in bold typeface.

Exposure type	Initial model	Minimal adequate model	Term	F	Df	Р
Repeated	Y = total lipid mass + exposuretemperature × period betweenexposures × number of exposures	Y = total lipid mass + temperature + number of exposures	Total lipid mass Temperature Number	2287.28 4.57 2.98	1, 13 1, 130 2, 130	< 0.001 0.0344 0.054
Prolonged	Y = total lipid mass + exposure temperature × time of year exposed and sampled	Y = total lipid mass + temperature × time of year	Total lipid mass Temperature Time of year Temp × time of year	643.40 1.04 1.16 3.79	1, 38 1, 38 2, 38 2, 38 2, 38	< 0.001 0.315 0.324 0.032
Control	Y = total lipid mass + time of year sampled	Y = total lipid mass	Total lipid mass	242.50	1, 13	<0.001
Repeated vs. prolonged vs. control	Y = total lipid mass + exposure group	Y = total lipid mass + exposure group	Total lipid content Exposure group	1189.46 2.78	1, 91 12, 91	<0.001 0.003

Table S8. The effects of freezing temperature exposure on acetylated triacylglycerol content in *Eurosta solidaginis* prepupae. Values are ANCOVA statistics comparing acetylated triacylglycerol mass (mg) in *Eurosta solidaginis* prepupae as a result of cold exposure type (repeated exposures, a single exposure, or control conditions at 0 °C), at different temperatures (-10, -15, or -20 °C) as overwintering prepupae. Repeatedly-exposed prepupae received 3, 6, or 10 freezing events of 12 h duration (number of exposures), at a time interval of 1, 5, or 10 days (period between exposures), while prolonged freeze prepupae received a single 120 h freezing exposure either in January or in March (time of year exposed). Control prepupae were sampled in January, February, and March (time of year sampled). Retained terms with significant p-values (p < 0.05) are in bold typeface.

Exposure e type	Initial model	Minimal adequate model	Term	F	Df	Р
Repeated	Y = total lipid mass + exposure temperature × period between exposures × number of exposures	Y = total lipid mass + exposure temperature	Total lipid mass Temperature	2287.28 6.10	1, 132 1, 132	< 0.001 0.0148
Prolonged	Y = total lipid mass + exposure temperature \times time of year exposed and sampled	Y = total lipid mass	Total lipid mass	768.90	1, 43	<0.001
Control	Y = total lipid mass + time of year sampled	Y = total lipid mass	Total lipid mass	242.50	1, 13	<0.001
Repeated vs. prolonged vs. control	Y = total lipid mass + exposure group	Y = total lipid mass + exposure group	Total lipid content Exposure group	2132.58 5.90	1, 144 4, 144	<0.001 <0.001