Tackling the Tibetan Plateau in a down suit: insights into thermoregulation by bar-headed geese during migration
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ABSTRACT

Birds migrating through extreme environments can experience a range of challenges while meeting the demands of flight, including highly variable ambient temperatures, humidity and oxygen levels. However, there has been limited research into avian thermoregulation during migration in extreme environments. This study aimed to investigate the effect of flight performance and high altitude on body temperature (Tb) of free-flying bar-headed geese (Anser indicus), a species that completes a high-altitude trans-Himalayan migration through very cold, hypoxic environments. We measured abdominal Tb, along with altitude (via changes in barometric pressure), heart rate and body acceleration of bar-headed geese during their migration across the Tibetan Plateau. Bar-headed geese vary the circadian rhythm of Tb in response to migration, with peak daily Tb during daytime hours outside of migration but early in the morning or overnight during migration, reflecting changes in body acceleration. However, during flight, changes in Tb were not consistent with changes in flight performance (as measured by heart rate or rate of ascent) or altitude. Overall, our results suggest that bar-headed geese are able to thermoregulate during high-altitude migration, maintaining Tb within a relatively narrow range despite appreciable variation in flight intensity and environmental conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Every year, billions of animals make long-distance migratory journeys between productive winter foraging grounds and seasonally suitable breeding grounds (Hahn et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2016; Somveille et al., 2015). Migrating birds can be found travelling through a range of ambient conditions, exposing them to extremes of temperature, water and oxygen availability (Adamik et al., 2016; Tombre et al., 2008). Birds that migrate at high altitude may experience all of these: extreme cold, low humidity and reduced oxygen availability as a result of declining air density (Altschuler and Dudley, 2006; Butler, 2010). Hypoxia imposes severe challenges on the ability of birds to cope with cold temperatures, especially at high altitude, because thermogenesis is costly in terms of oxygen consumption (Bicudo et al., 2002; Dawson and Carey, 1976; Withers, 1977). Whilst in some waterfowl the energetic cost of thermoregulation is considered irrelevant in calculations of daily energy expenditure (given the relatively greater costs of locomotion, feeding, courtship and vigilance; McKinney and McWilliams, 2005), in extreme environments where ambient temperatures may fall below the thermoneutral zone, metabolic costs to maintain thermal neutrality contribute a larger proportion of daily energy expenditure, especially during migratory stopovers (Williams et al., 2014). Little previous work, however, has examined avian thermoregulation during migration in extreme environments.

Bar-headed geese (Anser indicus) migrate annually from warm wintering grounds in south Asia to breeding grounds in Mongolia (Hawkes et al., 2011), crossing the Himalayas and Tibetan plateau (approximately 1000 km north to south with a mean elevation of 4500 m). The Tibetan Plateau can be inhospitable to migrants, with minimum monthly temperatures below –30°C for September to November (Ding et al., 2018), when bar-headed geese complete their southward migration. How these birds are able to thermoregulate in extreme cold both at stopover sites and during flight has not been investigated.

Resting birds experiencing cold ambient environments, and thus increased thermoregulatory demands, can decrease core body temperature by 2–3°C overnight, accompanied by reduced activity levels (Geiser, 2004; Welton et al., 2002), conserving energy that would otherwise be spent on thermogenesis during overwintering periods. This response is most common in small species (Clarke and Rothery, 2008), such as hummingbirds (Trochilidae), with high specific energy requirements, low thermal inertia and narrow zones of thermal neutrality (Hiebert, 1990). Overnight reductions in body temperature (Tb) cause large ranges of circadian Tb cycles, with minimum Tb below normothermic levels (McKechnie and Lovegrove, 2002) and a reduced response to external stimuli (Carpenter and Hixon, 1988). Given the larger body size of waterfowl, behavioural modifications such as huddling or changes in posture to reduce exposure of non-feathered areas (Brodsky and Weatherhead, 1984) may be sufficient to sustain Tb within normothermic levels during periods of relative inactivity, such as at staging areas. However, during periods of migration, bar-headed geese usually begin flights at night or early in the morning (i.e. in the coldest part of the day) (Hawkes et al., 2012). This suggests bar-headed geese do not conserve energy through suppression of Tb overnight during migration (which may impair flight performance; Carr and Lima, 2013). Furthermore, bar-headed geese may not use behavioural changes such as huddling or positioning to retain heat during the coldest periods, but perhaps generate sufficient heat through flight activity (exercise thermogenesis) to sustain a

1University of Exeter, Centre for Ecology and Conservation, Penryn Campus, TR10 9FE, UK. 2Bangor University, School of Biological Sciences, Bangor LL57 2UW, UK. 3Wildlife Science & Conservation Centre of Mongolia, Bayanzurkh District, Ulaanbaatar, 210351, Mongolia. 4School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK. 5Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 1Z4. 6Department of Biology, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada, L8S 4K1. 7University of Exeter, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Hatherley Laboratories, Exeter EX4 4PS, UK.

*Author for correspondence (c.bishop@bangor.ac.uk)


Received 21 March 2019; Accepted 17 September 2019
consistent $T_b$. By flying in cold conditions, geese complete flights in air with a higher density than would be experienced at similar altitudes later in the day (when it would be warmer), thus requiring less metabolic power to fuel flight (Pennycook, 1969), and may reduce thermoregulatory costs by resting during the day. Because bar-headed geese forage diurnally on vegetation in wintering and breeding grounds, we hypothesise firstly that during the non-migratory period, there will be a diurnal signal in core $T_b$, with peak $T_b$ occurring in the middle of the day. However, during the migratory period, when they may spend more time at altitude in cold conditions, we hypothesise that this will shift to reach peak $T_b$ overnight, even on days with no flight activity.

In addition to the potential energy savings through overnight reductions in $T_b$ described in some species whilst at rest or overwintering (Bicudo et al., 2002), it has been suggested that maintaining a lower $T_b$ during or prior to migration (rather than only at night) reduces thermogenic costs and allows birds to better conserve fat stores (Carpenter and Hixon, 1988). This has been demonstrated in species of waterfowl such as barnacle geese (Branta leucopsis), which decrease mean $T_b$ by up to 4.4°C in the first 20 days of migration (Butler and Woakes, 2001). This energy conservation may serve to reduce the depletion of fat stores during migration, given the lower thermogenic requirements. It remains to be seen whether bar-headed geese also exhibit a decrease in $T_b$ before or during migration, which, although beneficial for energy conservation, may lead to impaired metabolic function (Carr and Lima, 2013).

In contrast to the risk of hypothermia presented by high-altitude environments, the energetic cost of flight at sea level may lead to hyperthermia, which may limit flight duration (Bevan et al., 1997; Butler et al., 1977). There are a number of physiological maladjustments that excessive heat gain might cause, including damage to enzymes, increased oxidative stress and dehydration (Dawson, 1982; McKechnie and Wolf, 2019). Sufficient heat can be generated during flight to reduce flight duration to 15 min in migrating eider ducks (Somateria mollissima), a predominantly diving species (Guillemette et al., 2016), and necessitate periods of cooling following these flights (Guillemette et al., 2017). Bar-headed geese can complete long flights of up to 8 h (Hawkes et al., 2011), suggesting they may not be constrained in such a way, nor spend large periods of time cooling after flight. During steep climbing flights from Mongolia onto the Tibetan Plateau, bar-headed geese do have concurrent cooling after flight. During steep climbing flights from Mongolia onto the Tibetan Plateau, bar-headed geese do have concurrent cooling after flight. During steep climbing flights from Mongolia onto the Tibetan Plateau, bar-headed geese do have concurrent cooling after flight. During steep climbing flights from Mongolia onto the Tibetan Plateau, bar-headed geese do have concurrent cooling after flight. During steep climbing flights from Mongolia onto the Tibetan Plateau, bar-headed geese do have concurrent cooling after flight. During steep climbing flights from Mongolia onto the Tibetan Plateau, bar-headed geese do have concurrent cooling after flight. During steep climbing flights from Mongolia onto the Tibetan Plateau, bar-headed geese do have concurrent cooling after flight.

Specific aims

Biologging techniques provide the opportunity to better understand the physiological challenges that extreme environments may present to animals, and how these challenges are met (Bishop et al., 2015; Cancio et al., 2016). The present study aimed to (i) describe bar-headed goose $T_b$ before, after and during the trans-Himalayan and Tibetan Plateau migration, and investigate whether (ii) bar-headed geese display a strong diurnal signal in core $T_b$ that is disrupted by the timing of their migratory flights, (iii) $T_b$ decreases at the onset of migration and (iv) varying flight effort and altitude cause changes in $T_b$. 

**Materials and Methods**

**Tagging and retrieval**

Bar-headed geese, Anser indicus (Latham 1790), were captured during their annual primary flight feather moult at Terkhiin Tsagaan Lake, Mongolia (48.148°N, 99.577°E) in July 2010 and July 2011, using ‘corral trapping’ (Whitworth et al., 2007). Custom-built loggers (Spivey and Bishop, 2014) were surgically implanted into the abdominal cavity of 59 geese (29 were implanted in 2010 and a further 30 in 2011) under isoflurane anaesthesia; the loggers recorded heart rate at 180 Hz, acceleration in three axes (surge, heave and sway at 100 Hz, in 18 s bursts every 2 min) and intra-abdominal temperature and pressure (once every 30 s) for up to 222 days. We considered intra-abdominal temperature to be analogous to core $T_b$. Loggers weighed 32 g (1.5% of the smallest bird’s body mass), which is well below the recommended maximum 3% body mass for tracking devices (Kenward, 2000). Given the potential for a negative impact of surgery on the birds, it was not considered appropriate to co-deploy loggers with tags that geolocated the birds or sampled the environmental conditions as they flew. Pressure (measurement range 1–110 KPa, ±10 Pa) was converted into estimates of altitude in the international standard atmosphere using the sensor manufacturer conversion of 44331−1[−(1.01325 × pressure in mbar/1000)^1.2092] and is thus referred to as ‘altitude’ hereon, accepting that daily variations in temperature affect the altitudinal estimates. Geese were administered a long-lasting analgesic (buprenorphine) and released at least 4 h after surgery when they were awake, alert and in superficially good health, on the same day as capture. Geese were not kept in captivity overnight following surgery as we deemed this an additional stressor than rather likely to lead to better recovery. We observed no mortalities following logger implantation and birds returned to their flocks following release. The following year, geese were recaptured at the same location to recover the loggers (10 geese were recaptured in 2011 and 16 in 2012).

Of the 26 recovered loggers, seven provided datasets (referred to as geese A–G, including five geese (geese C–G) that provided data presented in Bishop et al. (2015), where they were referred to as 35, 37, 38, 41 and 43, respectively) that described $T_b$ during the southward migration (3 female, mean±s.d. body mass 2.323±0.184 kg; 3 male, 2.523±0.092 kg; and one goose that was not sexed, 2.67 kg), of which four datasets contained heart rate, acceleration, $T_b$ and altitude data, but three failed to record heart rate. Only five of these loggers included the complete southward migration, as the loggers failed in two geese (A and B) before the migration was completed (Fig. S1). The loggers of 19 birds failed to record $T_b$ and are therefore not included in the present study. Collecting such high-resolution datasets from wild birds in extreme environments is clearly challenging and led to a relatively small sample size and we note that it is possible that the birds that loggers were recovered from may have been atypical. After departing their breeding grounds in Mongolia, all geese flew southwards onto the Tibetan Plateau, which extends as far as the northernmost part of Nepal and Bhutan, crossing the Himalayan Mountain range at its southern border shown in the altitude signal (Fig. 1A). Four goose then continued to low-altitude wintering grounds; one goose (G) did not make this descent and over-wintered between 3000 and 4000 m.

**Data processing**

First, every 2 min throughout the logger data collection period, data were summarised into mean values for heart rate (raw ECG summarised to beats min$^{-1}$ using a custom script in R, Spivey and Bishop, 2014), $T_b$ and altitude. Using the date of deployment and sampling frequency, we added a date and time stamp to each 2 min
The tri-axial acceleration data (surge, heave and sway) were processed to derive two metrics for each 2 min block: (i) root mean square dorsoventral acceleration ($Z_{rms}$, m s$^{-2}$) and (ii) vectoral dynamic body acceleration for total body movement (VeDBA, m s$^{-2}$; Qasem et al., 2012; Fig. 1C). The rate of change of $T_b$ (°C min$^{-1}$) and the rate of change in altitude (referred to as rate of ascent/descent, m s$^{-1}$) were calculated and appended to the dataset.

The distribution of $Z_{rms}$ data was plotted, which yielded two clear groups of $Z_{rms}$ above and below 2 m s$^{-2}$ (Fig. S2), which were considered to represent stationary and flight periods, respectively. Individual flights were then identified as continuous sections of $Z_{rms}$ above 2 m s$^{-2}$ that lasted at least 6 min, and assigned unique IDs. This threshold yielded 2121 flights between 6 min and 17.4 h, by seven geese (Table 1).
Table 1. Summary of the number of long and short flights per goose and the mean, minimum and maximum goose $T_b$ during the migration period, while stationary and flying

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goose</th>
<th>Migration date</th>
<th>No. of flights (% migration time)</th>
<th>Mean $T_b$ (range) ($°C$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start</td>
<td>Finish</td>
<td>&lt;60 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>16/08/2011</td>
<td>02/10/2011*</td>
<td>218 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>08/08/2011</td>
<td>30/09/2011*</td>
<td>142 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>23/08/2011</td>
<td>29/11/2011</td>
<td>102 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>21/08/2011</td>
<td>04/12/2011</td>
<td>582 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>10/08/2011</td>
<td>17/11/2011</td>
<td>444 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>12/09/2011</td>
<td>26/11/2011</td>
<td>205 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>25/08/2011</td>
<td>04/11/2011</td>
<td>147 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dates are given as day/month/year. Asterisks indicate the date loggers failed on rather than the end point of migration. $T_b$, body temperature.

Although the geese were not simultaneously tracked with geolocators, it was possible to make a coarse estimate of their location using altitude data to detect periods at the breeding grounds, crossing the Himalayas and the Tibetan Plateau (the mean elevation of Mongolia, approximately 2000 m, is more than a 1000 m lower than the flyway across the Tibetan Plateau at approximately 4500 m) and at low-altitude wintering grounds in India (at less than 1000 m). We deemed the timing of the climb onto the Tibetan Plateau from Mongolia in the north in combination with the onset of long (>60 min) flights as the onset of migration, and the descent into wintering grounds in combination with flight duration as the end of migration (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1). Therefore, hereon in we refer to this period as migration, accepting that some birds may have continued flying through India; however, without the ability to geolocate the birds, we consider this approach to be the most parsimonious.

Seasonal patterns in $T_b$

Is circadian rhythm altered during migration?

To determine whether daily patterns of $T_b$ differed between migratory and non-migratory periods, we first corrected time stamps from GMT to local time, and calculated the median time (hour of day) that the peak daily $T_b$ occurred during migratory and non-migratory periods using circular statistics (following Jammalamadaka and Lund, 2006), and then tested whether these times were uniformly distributed (Rayleigh’s test of uniformity). We then used a Watson–Williams’s test to assess whether the temporal distribution of peak $T_b$ differed between migratory and non-migratory periods. This was repeated on data filtered to include only days when no migratory flights occurred. In order to remove the temporal autocorrelation, we randomly discarded 33% of the data (which was sufficient to prevent a given day being a significant predictor of the following day, as determined by inspection of autocorrelation function plots; Fig. S3). Finally, we used a mixed model (with goose ID as a random intercept and slope) to test whether the daily range in $T_b$ differed outside of migration from that during migration, with a corAR1 correlation term.

Does $T_b$ vary before, during and after migration?

To assess whether there were changes in $T_b$ relating to the onset of migration, we calculated a mean daily temperature for each goose excluding periods of flight. We then used a mixed model with stage of year relative to migration (pre-, during or post-migration) as a fixed effect and day nested inside goose ID as a random intercept and slope to test for differences between mean daily temperature relative to migration, with day included as a corAR1 correlation term to control for temporal autocorrelation.

Changes in $T_b$ with flight effort and altitude

Does $T_b$ change during flight?

To investigate whether flight activity increased $T_b$, we first calculated the change in $T_b$ ($ΔT_b$) for each long flight (>60 min) in the migratory period. We then used a linear mixed model to test whether $ΔT_b$ differed at the start or end of a flight with time of measurement (either start or end of flight) as a fixed effect to predict $T_b$. Individual flight ID was nested inside goose ID as a random intercept, and flight duration was included as a corAR1 correlation term in order to account for temporal autocorrelation amongst varying durations of flight. We also investigated the number of flights that stopped at a peak $T_b$ for that flight and describe the pattern of heat gain and loss within a flight cycle.

Does $T_b$ change with altitude?

To assess whether $T_b$ changed systematically with altitude, we used a mixed model with flight status (stationary or in-flight), altitude considering the number of flights that stopped at a peak $T_b$ for that flight and describe the pattern of heat gain and loss within a flight cycle.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was carried out in R (http://www.R-project.org/). Whenever sample size was sufficient ($N$>5 geese) we used mixed models with REML to analyse trends in $T_b$ with flight ID nested inside goose ID (all models fitted using the nlme package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme). When analysis looked for trends within flights, we only included long flights (>60 min) to provide a sufficient number of data points. Differences between levels within one factor (i.e. stage of year included before, during and after migration) were compared with Tukey’s post hoc pairwise comparison and stated as significant when $P$<0.05 (following Williams et al., 2019). We calculated the amount of variance explained by the random factors or fixed effects using marginal $R^2$ values (Nakagawa and Schielzeth, 2013) and extracted the variance components from each of the models. Given the highly autocorrelated nature of biologging datasets, we included a corAR1 correlation term in all mixed models. Following Harrison et al. (2017), when the number of geese for a given analysis fell below a threshold of five, we generated models and performed statistical tests for each goose separately, with statistical results reported in the supplementary information (Tables S1 and S2). Residuals of all models were checked to confirm model assumptions, including normality and homoscedasticity. Because of the small sample size, in addition to reporting results across all geese we also report individual trends amongst geese and have used figures to highlight differences between geese. We used the following analyses to address each of the following questions in turn: (1) Is circadian rhythm altered during migration? (2) Does $T_b$ vary before, during and after migration? (3) Does $T_b$ change during flight? (4) Does $T_b$ change with altitude?
(binned into 1000 m bins) and the interaction between flight and altitude as fixed effects. We nested flight (or stationary bout) ID inside goose ID as a random intercept and included a corAR1 correlation term to account for temporal autocorrelation. To investigate the effect size of altitude and flight status on goose $T_b$, we calculated 95% confidence intervals (CI) for each coefficient. To better explore the potential drivers of changes in $T_b$ while geese were in flight, heart rate, rate of ascent and altitude were used as fixed effects to predict $T_b$. We included all data recorded during flights over 60 min to detect shifts within flights. Because of the small sample size in this analysis (only four geese provided heart rate data), we modelled each goose separately with flight ID included as a random intercept. Given the high temporal autocorrelation present in the data, we again included a corAR1 correlation term in the model. To account for the non-linearity in the predictor’s relationship with $T_b$, we binned each of the predictors; heart rate was grouped into 50 beats min$^{-1}$ bins, rate of ascent/descent was grouped to 25 m s$^{-1}$ bins and altitude was grouped into 1000 m bins.

RESULTS

Flight and $T_b$ metrics

The seven geese provided a total of 281 long (>60 min) migratory flights (representing 626 h of flight, mean 2.29 h per flight, range 1–17 h) and a total of 1840 short (6–59 min) flights throughout the tracking period (representing 613 h of flight, mean 20 min per flight; Table 1). Long migratory flights occurred throughout the migration south after breeding (Table 1; Fig. S1), with geese flying for 4.9 h a day (mean across geese, 3.4–7.2 h) interspersed with days of no long flights, completing migratory flights on a mean of 21 flight days (goose G differed from the other geese and completed long flights on only 7 days, instead using shorter flights than the other geese, and over-wintered at a higher altitude than the other geese; Fig. S1). Goose D flew on the largest number of days, other geese, and over-wintered at a higher altitude than the other geese A and B failed before the geese completed their migration (Table 1; Fig. S1).

Throughout the tracking period, grand mean (±s.d.) $T_b$ was 39.89±0.14°C. Daily $T_b$ ranged by 2.37±0.17°C (mean±s.d. across geese). The mean maximum $T_b$, recorded across all geese was 42.38°C (range across geese 42.29–43.51°C), the mean minimum $T_b$ measured was 37.48°C (range 36.48–37.59°C; Table 1). The rate of change of $T_b$ was relatively constant during flight (mean±s.d. 0.02±0.017°C min$^{-1}$) and was slower when stationary (−0.002±0.0005°C min$^{-1}$) (Fig. 1E).

Seasonal patterns in $T_b$

Is circadian rhythm altered during migration?

Geese reached their highest $T_b$ per day earlier during migration than during non-migratory periods [02:32 h (range 00:13 h–07:36 h) versus 11:53 h (07:05 h–16:13 h), circular median time across all seven geese; Watson–Williams test, $P$≤0.01 in all geese; Fig. 2; Table S1, Fig. S4]. The temporal shift was reflected in activity patterns as well, with geese becoming more active at approximately 20:00 h and decreasing activity at approximately 12:00 h the next day, remaining active all night. Time of peak $T_b$ per day was non-uniformly distributed in all geese (Rayleigh’s test, $P$<0.1 in all geese; range <0.001 to 0.04; Table S1); geese reached peak $T_b$ between 00:30 h and 03:00 h during migration, with the exception of goose C (median time of peak $T_b$ 07:36 h). During non-migratory periods, geese displayed a diurnal pattern in $T_b$ (Rayleigh’s test of uniformity, $P$<0.05 in all geese, range <0.001 to 0.037; Table S1), becoming active and warmer at approximately 04:30 h to 05:00 h and settling down and becoming cooler at 20:00 h, with $T_b$ ranging over 2.64°C day$^{-1}$ (mean daily value; Fig. 2). During days with no migratory flights, we observed the same trend for earlier peak $T_b$ relative to that outside of migration (though this was not significant in goose C; Table S1). Variation in $T_b$ between geese was larger outside of migration and peak $T_b$ occurred between 16:13 h (goose E, median time) and 07:05 h (goose D; Fig. S4 and Table S1). Furthermore, the daily range in $T_b$ within geese was also larger outside of migration (mean±s.d. range across geese during migration: 2.49±0.35°C day$^{-1}$, outside of migration: 2.64±0.3°C day$^{-1}$, GLMM, Tukey’s post hoc pairwise comparison, $P$≤0.001), with variation between geese explaining 19.06% of the
modelled variance. Goose G had the largest daily range in $T_b$ both during (2.82°C day$^{-1}$) and outside of migration (3.03°C day$^{-1}$) and also displayed the greatest shift in $T_b$ circadian rhythm during migration (Fig. S4).

**Changes in $T_b$ with flight effort and altitude**

Does $T_b$ change during flight?

We found that, in general, flight increased $T_b$ relative to the start of flight, $\Delta T_b$ between the start and end of a flight across all geese was $+0.39\pm0.16°C$ (grand median±s.d); however, there was a moderate amount of variation in the extent of this increase between geese (range 0.37°C in goose D to 0.71°C in goose C). Whilst $T_b$ at the start was cooler than at the end of the flight (GLMM, $-0.45°C$, $-0.38$ to $-0.51°C$, 95% CI), this only explained 13.25% of the variance in $T_b$. Modelled variation between flights (comprising 38.96% of explained variance) was greater than that between geese (16.2%). Median±s.d. $\Delta T_b$ across all flights regardless of goose ID was $0.42\pm0.55°C$ (range $-1.62$ to 2.11°C), highlighting that $T_b$ was reduced during some flights. Fig. 4 shows $\Delta T_b$ for each flight per goose. Increases in $T_b$ were found to occur most rapidly at the beginning of flights (the maximum rate of $T_b$ increase occurred within 10 min of the start of flight in 81% of flights lasting over 1 h; Fig. 1E). For example, in one start of flight flight completed by goose C in early December at overwintering grounds, $T_b$ increased from 39.0 to 40.4°C in the first 8 min of flight, but then slowed to an overall mean±s.d. rate of change of $0.01\pm0.09°C$ min$^{-1}$ and $T_b$ was 41.3°C when the goose landed 154 min later (Fig. 5C).

In 50% of short flights (8–60 min, $n=917$ flights), flight stopped within 2 min of reaching the maximum $T_b$ of that flight (mean maximum 40.5°C; Fig. 5D). This only occurred in 16 flights that lasted over 60 min (across the seven geese). Of these 16 flights, six were followed with cooling in the 10 min period immediately after the end of flight. Few similarities could be seen between these flights – they occurred between 1900 and 5000 m and were conducted by three geese (goose B, E and F). In the 10 min period after the flight, heat was lost at a mean rate of $-0.03°C$ min$^{-1}$ ($-0.01$ to $-0.04°C$ min$^{-1}$ range across geese). The maximum $T_b$ reached at the end of these flights was 0.6°C higher (grand mean) than the mean $T_b$ during all flights by each goose (39.94°C). In addition, there were cases when the geese continued to fly with a higher $T_b$ than the peak $T_b$ observed for the potentially interrupted flights; 91% of flights continued with a higher $T_b$ than the $T_b$ at which flight stopped for goose B, 20% for goose E and 29% for goose F (maximum $T_b$ during flight anywhere was 1.65°C higher than the mean peak $T_b$ across geese for stopped flights, range 1.03–2.83°C). The mean amount of time following a long flight before flying resumed was 83 min (range 6–1288 min) and 37.7% of long flights were followed by a period of at least 1 h before the geese flew again (Fig. 1E).

Does $T_b$ change with altitude?

There was no clear effect of altitude on $T_b$, either for stationary or for flying geese (Fig. 6). The highest overall median±s.d. $T_b$ (40.3±0.25°C) was found between 1000 and 2000 m and the lowest median $T_b$ (39.7±0.6°C) was recorded between sea level and 1000 m (Fig. 6). Post hoc testing revealed that changes in $T_b$ were variable across altitude bins. For example, between 4000 and 5000 m, a mean of 0.24°C heat was lost across geese, whilst between 5000 and 6000 m, 0.11°C heat was gained. At each altitude bin between 2000 and 5000 m, geese were progressively warmer during flight than when stationary (by 0.21, 0.47 and 0.63°C, respectively).
respectively, for altitude bins. Tukey’s post hoc pairwise comparison, $P<0.001$). However, over 5000 m, $T_b$ did not significantly differ according to flight status (i.e. it was the same for geese in flight and while stationary). It is of note that the effect of altitude on $T_b$ whether flying or stationary was very small relative to the variation in $T_b$ within each altitude bin (Fig. 6; Table S2), and these fixed effects explained only 3.56% of the variance in $T_b$, whereas individual flights or stationary bouts nested within geese accounted for 71.14% of explained variance.

Heart rate, rate of ascent/descent and altitude each affected $T_b$ of individual geese differently and to varying extents (Fig. 7). Heart rate had the largest effect on $T_b$ of all predictors, with a small positive trend in all geese, such that an increase of 100 beats min$^{-1}$ caused a rise of 0.26°C (goose D) to 0.68°C (goose C; range of average effect across geese; Fig. 7A). However, the effect of heart rate on $T_b$ was greatest at heart rates over 250 beats min$^{-1}$. $T_b$ in geese C and G appeared to be the most sensitive to changes in heart rate, with increases in $T_b$ between all adjacent heart rate bins over 250 beats min$^{-1}$. In contrast, goose D only gained temperature with increasing heart rate between 350–400 and 400–450 beats min$^{-1}$. Increases in altitude did not have a clear effect on $T_b$ and goose $T_b$ was very variable across altitude bins (Fig. 7C). Goose C responded the most to changes in altitude and significantly lowered $T_b$ at each increase in altitude bin with the exception of between 4000 and 5000 m, where $T_b$ increased by 0.66°C, and as a result was 0.57°C cooler over 6000 m than below 2000 m. However, the other geese were more variable in their response. Goose G was the least affected by altitude and maintained a consistent $T_b$ between the lowest (1000–2000 m) and highest altitude bins (5000–6000 m). Rate of ascent had a very variable effect on $T_b$ across geese (Fig. 7B). Goose D showed a strong relationship between rate of ascent and $T_b$, with faster climb rates causing the largest gains in $T_b$. In contrast, $T_b$ in geese C and G was not affected by progressive increases in rate of ascent and had much wider 95% CI than in the other geese, suggesting more variation between flights. In addition to the high degree of variation between geese, there was also a high amount of variation between flights within geese, with flight ID as a random factor comprising 51.37% of the explained variance (mean value across geese; range: 42.11–69.08%), represented by the large overlapping confidence intervals shown in Fig. 7.

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, we found that despite the cold and inhospitable conditions of the Himalayas, bar-headed goose can maintain core body temperature within approximately 4°C, much like other birds during natural flight, regardless of the ambient conditions they
experience or the altitude at which they fly. Therefore, we found little evidence that they find the cold conditions at high altitude limiting, or that they generate heat to intolerable excess when flying in warm temperatures (29–36°C) at their wintering grounds in India.

We present evidence for a shift in circadian rhythm in response to migration such that bar-headed geese reach peak temperature early in the morning during migration, whereas outside of migration, peak T_b occurs during the daylight hours. We also found a smaller range in daily T_b during migration compared with before or after migration. Contrary to our predictions, observed changes in T_b were not driven by changes in altitude.

**Does migration alter diurnal behaviour?**

The earlier daily peak in T_b and activity during migration, even on days with no migratory flights, is a common response in migratory species (Gwinner, 1996; Rani et al., 2006) that persists even in captive birds (Zúñiga et al., 2016). This may confer additional advantages when migrating at high altitudes. Firstly, by flying at night when air temperatures are lower, birds can reduce flight speed (and associated metabolic costs) because cold air is denser. The increase in barometric pressure in cold conditions also leads to a higher partial pressure of oxygen, fuelling metabolism. In addition, by flying at night, bar-headed geese experience lower, more favourable wind speeds (Hawkes et al., 2012). Therefore, flight costs are minimised, and oxygen availability is maximised. Furthermore, during migration in song birds, it has been shown that metabolic costs incurred through thermoregulation during long stopover periods can exceed flight costs during migration (Hedenström and Alerstam, 1997, 1998) and that these costs are greater in cooler conditions (Wikelski et al., 2003), i.e. overnight. Stopover costs are also important during migration for waterfowl, particularly when environmental conditions can increase thermoregulatory requirements (O’Neal et al., 2018). When energy demand is high, waterfowl may feed both day and night in order to replenish fat stores (Nilsson, 1970; Mcneill et al., 1992) and in addition to this activity-induced thermogenesis, heat production is increased following food consumption (Weller, 1988). Therefore, the results of the present study suggest that, by increasing activity at night, bar-headed geese could mitigate both flight and thermoregulatory metabolic costs.

---

**Fig. 6. Variation in bar-headed goose T_b during flight and whilst stationary at different altitudes.** Boxes show interquartile range, median is shown by the vertical black line inside the box and whiskers show the minimum and maximum T_b across all geese. Coloured circles show median T_b for each goose whilst in flight and coloured triangles show median T_b for each goose whilst stationary (N=7).

---

**Fig. 7. The effect of altitude, heart rate and climb speed on flying bar-headed goose T_b.** Dashed grey vertical line at 0 represents no effect of each predictor on T_b, and larger effect sizes (°C changes from mean T_b) in either direction indicate a stronger negative or positive effect of the predictor, for (A) heart rate, (B) rate of ascent and (C) altitude. Coloured circles show the mean effect per goose (N=4), and horizontal error bars represent the 95% CI. For example, at 2000 m altitude, goose C T_b dropped by 1°C on average. Altitude is grouped into 1000 m bins, rate of ascent/descent is grouped into 0.25 m s^{-1} bins, and heart rate is grouped into 50 beats min^{-1} bins. Data were filtered to include only long (over 1 h) flights and modelled using mixed models with flight ID as a random factor and temporal autocorrelation as accounted for using a corAR1 term.
Are there seasonal patterns in $T_b$?

Relative to $T_b$ at breeding grounds, bar-headed geese were found to be approximately 0.3°C cooler during stationary periods of migration, resting between flights. This slight decrease in $T_b$ occurs at sites on the Tibetan Plateau and Himalayan mountains at altitudes of ~4500 m during the onset of autumn, when ambient temperatures are cold, so a cooler $T_b$ during this period may help to reduce energy costs. However, the extent of this cooling is much smaller than might be predicted given that over the course of migration bar-headed goose $T_b$ may vary by 3.8–5.8°C. Variation in $T_b$ on this scale is not unusual in waterfowl. Greylag geese (Anser anser) show a yearly $T_b$ range of more than 4°C, with peak $T_b$ occurring in the summer months (probably due to both nesting in females and inter-individual interactions in males; Wascher et al., 2018). Furthermore, barnacle geese decrease $T_b$ by 4.4°C at the start of migration over a 20 day period (Butler and Woakes, 2001). This was suggested to reduce energetic costs as thermoregulatory demands are lower, thus minimising fat depletion. Therefore, in the context of the present study, a drop of 0.3°C may have little effect on energy conservation of bar-headed geese. This suggests that bar-headed geese are able to both fuel flight and maintain thermal neutrality during migration. Furthermore, the bar-headed geese in this study were found to be warmest at breeding grounds and coolest at wintering grounds, despite the warmer ambient environments there. Therefore, reductions in $T_b$ were not limited to the migratory period and are unlikely to be useful in energy conservation. Given the increased flight costs at high altitude, it is possible that a sustained $T_b$ (and thus no compromise to flight muscle power; Carr and Lima, 2013) throughout migration may confer a greater advantage for flight in high-altitude environments than a reduction in $T_b$ (and the potential for associated energy conservation).

Does $T_b$ change with flight effort?

Bar-headed geese often gain heat through flight activity, though this was very variable across geese and flights, and could not be reliably predicted by changes in heart rate and rate of ascent. This suggests that the ecological context in which flights took place may be important. For example, on rare occasions, bar-headed geese can gain assistance from favourable winds (Bishop et al., 2015), which may result in fast rates of climb with little increase in metabolic effort. Other biotic factors, such as the use of formation flight to reduce costs (Portugal et al., 2014; Weimerskirch et al., 2001) may further modulate flight effort and heat gain during flight. If each goose completed a flight in the exact same location and at the same time of day, there might be much closer correlations between each flight, but in the natural world this may rarely be the case, highlighting the value of studying animals within the context of their own ever-changing environments.

With few exceptions we did not find evidence of excessive heat gain stopping flights by bar-headed geese, even when $T_b$ of geese increased by 2.1°C during flight. In the few examples when flights did appear to stop at a peak $T_b$, the same geese flew continuously at other times with a higher $T_b$, suggesting that these temperatures were not the reason for the flight ending. This suggests that bar-headed geese do not experience an excess thermal burden through activity thermogenesis (even in the most extreme examples). This is contrary to findings in eider ducks and pigeons, in which heat gain through flight may constrain flight duration (Guillemette et al., 2016; Butler et al., 1977). However, hyperthermia in pigeons may not be directly comparable with that of wild migrating birds, as it was recorded in wind tunnels where flight durations are often shorter than in free-flying birds (Bishop et al., 2002). Furthermore, eider ducks, which are a diving species, may be more susceptible to hyperthermia than bar-headed geese not only because of their diving behaviour but also because of their high body weight to wing area ratio that increases flight costs (Guillemette et al., 2017). Eider ducks migrate in a series of short flights across bodies of ocean where they can land and offload heat via increased blood flow to the legs in the water (Kilgore and Schmidt-Nielsen, 1975), and while resting are protected by effective down insulation. In contrast, the lower wing loading typical of long-distance migratory waterfowl, including bar-headed geese (Lee et al., 2008), reduces flight costs and makes them better able to minimise heat gain during bouts of intense flapping flight, or when they arrive at overwintering grounds and experience warm ambient temperatures.

The rate of change of $T_b$ was consistently highest immediately following the start of flight, suggesting that take-off and climbing lead to some heat gain. This heat gained in the first 10 min of flight is then attenuated throughout the flight, which could suggest that bar-headed geese make use of metabolic heat to increase $T_b$ in a facultative way to enhance flight performance through an increase in reaction rate (Carr and Lima, 2013). $T_b$ is then re-established at later phases of long flights, perhaps passively as the gradient between ambient temperature and $T_b$ in bar-headed geese increases. Bar-headed geese may also be able to vasodilate their leg and beak vasculature during later phases of flight to offload heat to the environment (Midtgård, 1981; Scott et al., 2008; Tattersall et al., 2016).

We have described considerable variation in $T_b$ in bar-headed geese, which varies more between geese and individual flights than with variation in heart rate, rate of ascent/descent or altitude. This may result in part from the small sample size of the present study, and it is possible that a larger sample size may reveal stronger correlations between heart rate and rates of ascent than in the present study. Goose G in particular maintained a stable $T_b$ regardless of rate of ascent but displayed large variation between flights. It was also the largest goose in the study, and only completed a partial migration, in which it flew for shorter durations than the other geese. This highlights that in addition to the environmental factors probably impacting goose $T_b$, such as weather and specific flight costs, the individual physiology of each goose may modulate their $T_b$ response to changing stimuli.

Does $T_b$ change with altitude?

We found that bar-headed goose $T_b$ does not appear to be reduced at high altitude. Given flight muscle is also a major thermogenic site in birds (Bicudo et al., 2002), it is likely that many adaptations to tolerate cold conditions are common to those meeting the demands of flight. Red knots (Calidris canutus) experimentally exposed to cold conditions were found to display adaptive changes relative to knots kept in a thermoneutral zone that mirror the physiological adjustments of species preparing to migrate; these include an increased body mass, heart mass and flight muscle mass as well as adjustments in enzyme activity including higher lipid metabolism and greater oxidative capacity (Vézina et al., 2017). Therefore, the adaptations that enable bar-headed geese to meet the high metabolic demands of flight whilst in hypoxia (Scott et al., 2015) may result in sufficient heat generation by the exercising muscle to maintain $T_b$ even in the cold environments at high altitude. This was demonstrated in an earlier study that revealed captive bar-headed geese do not reduce their metabolic rate through reductions in $T_b$ while at rest in severe hypoxia (Scott et al., 2008).
Bar-headed geese have an enhanced thermal sensitivity for haemoglobin–oxygen binding (Meir and Milson, 2013), and it has been suggested that this trait could improve circulatory O2 transport at cold ambient temperature. In the present study, we measured core (abdominal) $T_h$, and show that bar-headed geese generally maintained a consistent $T_h$ regardless of altitude, which does not offer general support for this hypothesis. The continuing miniaturisation of biologging devices means the extent of regional heterothermy in large birds migrating across broad ranges of climatic conditions can now be discovered by simultaneously recording temperature at several locations of the body (Nord and Folkow, 2018). When this level of detail is combined with rich environmental data of greater spatial and temporal resolution than is possible at present, it may create a powerful tool revealing the extent and strategies of thermoregulation in migrating animals across taxa (McCafferty et al., 2015).
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