Table S1. Results of all experiments conducted with froglets

Year	Date of capture	Date of release	Location of capture	Location of arena, side of the river	N of released	Number of check	Total $N$ of recaptured*	N of Y-O-T-Y froglets**		Rayleig h Z;P	r (CSD)	μ(°)	N in first check	Rayleig h Z;P	r (CSD)	μ (°)	Wind direction
		side populati		-									1	T	T	1	I
2017	September 21	September 21	F1	left	45	4	48 <sup>a</sup>	45	45	3.07; <b>0.045</b>	0.26 (93.9)	182	30	3.09; <b>0.044</b>	0.32 (86.4)	202	N
2017	September 20	September 20	F1	right	39	4	39	39	39	24.08; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.79 (39.8)	140	30	22.93; < <b>0.001</b>	0.87 (29.7)	137	Calm
2017	September 23	September 23	F1	right	45	4	33	33	33	24.47; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.86 (31.3)	140	28	19.84 <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.84 (33.6)	143	Calm
2017 p	oooled sample	e	F1	right	84	4	72	72	72	48.45; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.82 (36.1)	140	58	42.66 <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.86 (31.8)	140	
Frogle	ets from right	side populati	ion, co	aptured o	and rel	lease	d 1-3 de	ays <b>bef</b> e	ore star	t of migra	tion	•		1			
2016	September 18	September 18	F1	left	64	5	57	57	57	2.15; 0.12	0.19 (103.7)		28	0.23; 0.8	0.09 (125.9)		N
2016	September 19	September 19	F1	right	54	3	49 b	48	39	2.46; 0.085	0.25 (95.3)		16	2.06; 0.13	0.36 (82)		N
2017	October 5	October 5	F1	right	44	2	44	44	44	0.49; 0.62	0.11 (121.6)		27	0.99; 0.37	0.19 (104)		S
2018	September 20	September 20	F1	right	32	2	34	33	33	1.15 0.32	0.19 (104.9)		28	0.68; 0.51	0.16 (110.6)		SW
	2017+2018, migration, p	1-3 days	F1	right	130	2-3	127	125	116	2.55; <b>0.029</b>	0.18 (107)	124	71	2.92 0.054	0.2 (102.3)		

2016	September 21	September 21	F1	left	84	4	77	77	76	4.5; <b>0.01</b>	0.24 (96.3)	197	48	4.31; 0.01	0.3 (88.96)	194	Calm
2018	September 23	September 23	F1	right	64	3	59	57	56	2.29; 0.1	0.2 (102.4)		53	1.8; 0.17	0.18 (105.4)		WNW
2018	September 25	September 25	F1	right	36	2	29	29	29	1.46; 0.23	0.23 (99)		25	2.35; 0.095	0.31 (88.1)		W
2018,	pooled samp	e	F1	right	100	2- 3	88	86	85	8.5; < <b>0.001</b> ****	0.2 (102)	NW, SE	78	5.99 <b>0.002</b> ****	0.22 (100.2)	N W, SE	
2018	September 20, 23, 25	September 28	F1	right (repea ted releas e)	118	1	117	117	117	17.62; < <b>0.001</b>	0.39 (78.8)	298	-//-	-//-	-//-	-//-	WNW
2018	September 23	September 23	M	left	119	2	107	104	104	40.36; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0,62 (55,7)	13	101	42.84; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0,65 (53)	13	WNW
2018	September 26	September 26	M	right	101	3	98	89	89°	25.01; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0,53 (64,6)	320	73	21.21; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0,54 (63,7)	328	NW
2015	October 1	October 1	R2	left	54	4	60	60	60	10,47; < <b>0.001</b>	0.42 (75.7)	354	37	11.1 <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.55 (62.9)	354	W
2016	September 20	September 20	R1	right	70	3	69	69	69	29.82; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.66 (52.5)	337	36	17.85; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.7 (47.9)	332	Calm
2016	September 20	September 20	R1	left	65	3	69	69	67	14.89; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.47 (70.3)	319	47	16.1; < <b>0.001</b>	0.59 (59.3)	312	NW
2018	September 24	September 24	R1	right	70	2	70	70	68	22.56; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.58 (60.2)	331	58	24.58 <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.65 (53.1)	329	WNW
2018	September 28	September 28	R1	left	69	3	66	64	63	16.73; < <b>0.001</b>	0.52 (65.9)	356	45	12.46; < <b>0.001</b>	0.53 (64.9)	358	NW
2015+ sampl	2016+2018,		R2 +R 1	left	188	3	194	193	190	38; < <b>0.001</b>	0.45 (72.7)	343	137	32.66; < <b>0.001</b>	0.49 (68.6)	341	
2016+	-2018, pooled	sample	R1	right	140	2-3	139	139	137	52.01; < <b>0.001</b>	0.62 (56.4)	334	94	42.33; <b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.67 (51.2)	330	

Frogl	ets from right	side populati	ion, co	aptured	and re	lease	ed at ni	ght afte	r start o	of migratio	on						
2016	September	September	R1	left	48	2	48	47	29 <sup>d</sup>	7;	0.49	284	26	6.57;	0,5	286	Calm
	22	22								< 0.001	(68)			0.001	(67.2)		
2017	October	October 13	R1	left	45	2	44	39	36	10.86;	0.55	63	27	7.43;	0.53	65	W
	13									< 0.001	(62.7)			< 0.001	(65)		
2018	September	September	R1	left	65	2	62	57	54	14.21;	0.51	23	47	13.77;	0.54	27	W
	26	26								< 0.001	(66.2)			< 0.001	(63.5)		
2016	September	September	R1	right	51	3	53	53	53	6.5;	0.35	336	43	3.52;	0.29	341	Calm
	21	21								0.002	(82.9)			0.029	(89.5)		
2018	September	September	R1	right	70	2	69	60	57	25.9;	0.67	317	55	25.79;	0.69	317	Calm
	27	27								< 0.001	(50.9)			< 0.001	(49.9)		
2016+	-2017+2018,	pooled	R1	left	158	2	154	143	119	13.84;	0.34	21	100	11.86;	0,34	20	
sampl										< 0.001	(84)			<0.001	(83.6)		
2016+	-2018, pooled	sample	R1	right	121	2-	122	113	110	28.82;	0.51	324	98	24.72;	0.5	323	Calm
						3				< 0.001	(66.3)			< 0.001	(67.2)		
Frogl	ets from left s	ide populatio	п, сар	otured ar	nd rele	ased	after s	tart of n	nigratio								
2017	October 7	found in are	na	left		1	46	42	42	8.79;	0.46	194	Only	Only one check			
		A****								< 0.001	(71.7)						
2017	October 7	October 8	are	right	42	2	44	42	42	10.71;	0.51	185	31	14.11;	0.68	175	Calm
			na							< 0.001	(66.9)			< 0.001	(50.8)		
			A														
2017	October	October 11	R3	left	50	4	46	44	44	17.73;	0.64	108	26	16.26;	0.79	107	SSW
	10									<0.001	(54.62)			<0.001	(39.3)		
2018	September	September	R3	left	31	3	31	28	28	14.64;	0.72	173	11	7.37;	0.82	170	W
	30	30								<0.001	(46.1)			<0.001	(36.3)		
2018	September	September	R3	right	82	4	$77^c$	68	68	27.9;	0.64	189	65	27.88;	0.66	190	Calm
	29	29								< 0.001	(54.1)			< 0.001	(52.7)		
2017+	-2018, pooled	sample	R3	left	81	3-	78	72	72	23.07;	0.57	134	37	18.26;	0.7	125	
						4				<0.001	(61.1)			<0.001	(48.2)		
Frogle	ets from right	side populati	ion, co	aptured	before	and	release	ed <b>after</b>	start of	migration	(kept in co	aptivity	)				
2013	September	September	F2	right	65	3	63	63	63	16.86;	0.52	316	49	13.07;	0.52	312	N
	13-20	21	1	-					1	< 0.001	(65.78)		1	< 0.001	(65.9)	1	

2015	September	September	F1	left	69	3	63	63	63	14.99;	0.49	132	62	16.18;	0.51	132	WNW
	20-25	28	&							< 0.001	(68.6)			<0.001	(66.4)		
			F2														
Frogle	ets from right	side populati	ion, ca	aptured a	and rel	'ease	d <b>after</b>	start of	migrat	ion, but ke	pt in capti	vity for	3 days	before rele	ease		
2016	September	September	R1	right	58	3	57	56	55	12,78;	0.56	341	30	8.42;	0.53	1	Calm
	21	24								< 0.001	(61.7)			<0.001	(64.6)		
2016	September	September	R1	left	59	3	54	54	51	18.81;	0.61	350	16	9.93;	0.79	354	Calm
	21	24								< 0.001	(57.2)			<0.001	(39.5)		

Notes: F, captured in forest; M, captured in the meadow; R, captured at the river bank near water.

 $\mu$ , mean vector or compass directions (e.g. N for North, SW for Southwest, NNE for North-North-East, etc.); r, length of mean vector; CSD, circular standard deviation in degrees; significant differences are highlighted in bold.

- \* Total number of recaptured froglets, includes individuals with body length >38 mm and froglets recaptured inside the arena outside of the groove with traps.
- \*\* Total number of recaptured young-of-the-year froglets with body length ≤38 mm includes individuals recaptured inside the arena outside of the groove with traps.
- \*\*\* Number of froglets with body length \le 38 mm and recaptured only in traps. These specimens were included in further analysis.
- \*\*\*\* Since we suspected a bimodal distribution, the Rayleigh test was used after the doubling the angles procedure (Batschelet 1981).
- \*\*\*\*\* Froglets that were found in the traps of arena A (left side of the river) after arena wall was broken by the wind; these froglets correspond to those caught in the meadow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> three of two-year-old specimens from left side population were captured.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Nine specimens were captured near the center.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> A large number of two-year-old specimens were captured.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> The majority of the froglets was found outside of traps.

Table S2. Survey of potential hibernation sites of the froglets in winter and spring of 2019

Site	Environmental remarks	Result of revisions
Bardinskii	The slopes and bottom of the gully are	On April 6 (snow did not melt completely), we found two young froglets in the ground at
gully (capture	formed by soft and moist soil that did	approximately 10 cm depth; they were very inactive and most likely spent the winter there.
site F1)	not freeze during the winter under	No adult specimens were found. Like Bannikov (Bannikov, 1940), we interpret these young
	snow (January 25, the temperature	individuals as the specimens "late" for migration into the river before the final temperature
	dropped to -26°C) and was inhabited	drop. This delay can be associated with accumulation of fat essential for the hibernation. Such
	by insect larvae. Notably, the number	correlation between the start of migration and storing fat was observed in birds (Dolnik and
	of froglets in the gully, where they	Blyumental, 1967; King and Farner, 1963; Sandberg, 2003). Assembling in humid places
	apparently come to eat, increases 2	before migration makes it possible for "late" frogs to survive the winter by burrowing into
	weeks before the start of migration.	moist soil, where the temperature would not drop below zero due to the decay processes.
Stream in the	The stream had running water under	We were unable to find young-of-the-year common frogs. However, the wintering in general
Bardinskii	ice even after severe frosts (-26°C);	is possible, since on March 30 (snow did not melt), a young-of-the-year common toad and
gully	during five surveys (January-March),	numerous live insect larvae were found in soil of the bottom of the stream (12 cm depth).
Moskva	The ice on the Moskva River broke up	on April 6, the first adult frogs appeared on the river bank opposite of the pond 4 and traveled
River	in late March.	in its direction; on April 9, we found the first froglets (approx. a dozen specimens) emerging
		from the river and moving towards the forest.
Ponds		We found no froglets emerging from the ponds.